

10/4/1988

## SRBA CLAIMS RECEIVING CHECKLIST

CLAIMANT'S NAME City of Victor CLAIM ENT. NO. A 22-00122DATE RECEIVED 10-6-88 CK # 4853 AMOUNT \$ 450-

1. Is the name and address complete with zip code? . . . . . YES ☒ NO ☐
2. Is a priority date entered? . . . . . YES ☒ NO ☐

Is the water use exempt from the Permit requirements, or if it is not exempt, has a Permit been approved or a License issued?

A Permit is required: (1) for groundwater developed after March 25, 1963 (except single family domestic and stockwater wells using less than 13,000 gpd), or (2) for surface water developed after May 20, 1971 (except for instream stockwater). USE CARE IF CLAIM IS RETURNED. REFER TO ADJUDICATION MEMOS # 1 (ADJ. CLAIMS/APPLICATIONS) AND # 9 (REJECTION OF CLAIMS). EXPLAIN IN COMMENTS.

3. (a) Is a source entered? . . . . . YES ☒ NO ☐
- (b) Is a tributary to entered? (if required) . . . . . N/A ☒
4. Is the legal description of the p.d. sufficient? . . . . . YES ☒ NO ☐
5. Is there a description of the diverting works? . . . . . YES ☒ NO ☐
6. Are the water uses appropriate for the form? The short form is limited to domestic and stockwater uses with direct diversion. All claims that include storage or instream stockwater must use long form. . . . . YES ☒ NO ☐
- Is the period of use included? . . . . . YES ☒ NO ☐
- Is a quantity claimed for each use? . . . . . YES ☒ NO ☐
7. Is a total quantity claimed entered? . . . . . YES ☒ NO ☐
8. If required is a consumptive use entered? . . . . . N/A ☒
- Is the consumptive use correct? . . . . . N/A ☒
9. Are the non-irrigation uses listed properly? If this claim is on the short form, and more than one domestic use is listed, single ownership must be stated. If not under single ownership, each household must file a separate claim. See Adjudication Memo # 4. . . . . Comment ☒
10. Is (are) the place(s) of use entered? . . . . . YES ☒ NO ☐
11. Is (are) the county(ies) entered? . . . . . YES ☒ NO ☐
12. Is the ownership of p.u. checked? . . . . . YES ☒ NO ☐
13. Are other water rights listed or "none" checked? . . . . . YES ☒ NO ☐
14. If required, are remarks correct? (If the claimant does not own the place of use, authority for filing the claim must be explained in the remarks.) . . . . . N/A ☒
15. Is the basis of the claim checked? . . . . . YES ☒ NO ☐
16. Is the claim signed by the claimant, and is the signature appropriate? (If an individual is the claimant, has that individual signed the claim, or if an organization is the claimant, is the organization signature block complete?) . . . . . YES ☒ NO ☐
- Has the claim been signed, sealed, and dated by a notary? . . . . . YES ☒ NO ☐
- Was this claim filed on time, or was an extension granted? . . . . . YES ☒ NO ☐
- Is the fee enclosed correct? . . . . . YES ☒ NO ☐
- If this claim is based on an existing water right, has the identification number been entered? . . . . . N/A ☒
- Should this claim be receipted and data entered? . . . . . YES ☒ NO ☐

\$450<sup>00</sup> pd. \$370<sup>00</sup> = claim Fee  
\$80<sup>00</sup> = overpayment

MICROFILMED

Claim checked by: NOV 24 1988

Comments: Claimed as domestic/municipal, municipal appears to be the appropriate use. Decreed as irrigation water.

3268