

Carlson, Ernie

From: Shaff, Don
Sent: Thursday, July 26, 2007 8:47 AM
To: Carlson, Ernie
Subject: RE: Director's Reports with errors or omissions

Good morning,
Shucks. I will write the Court submitting ADRs with copies to the parties and regular service to US and State. The exception is 35-13553 where I'm afraid we're stuck. The claim was for 2.67 and recommended for 2.64. The good news the quantity of use on paper is greater the quantity withdrawn on the ground. The only risk is a transfer when we would get a chance to approve how much could get moved. I'll send you copies when the letter goes out the door.
Thanks,

Don Shaff
(208)287-4921
don.shaff@idwr.idaho.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Carlson, Ernie
Sent: Wednesday, July 25, 2007 5:42 PM
To: Baxter, Garrick; Shaff, Don
Cc: Carlson, Dave
Subject: Director's Reports with errors or omissions

I have the undesirable task of advising you we have identified three director's reports from the late claims report with errors or omissions. None of these DRs were contest though it would have facilitated correction if objections had been filed. Consequently I am seeking your guidance on how to proceed, hopefully with a course of action that will result in getting them corrected.

35-13565 There are two blank fields in the conditions. Not sure of the sequence on why this occurred but the present version of the recommendation has the appropriate numbers in these fields.

35-13553 Recommended claimed Q of 2.64 cfs for 100 acres. Recent well logs indicate depth to water in QQ where well is located is approximately 30 feet deeper than we figured when calculation the theoretical rate of diversion. New calculation indicates theoretical diversion rate is 2.0 cfs. which equal 0.02 cfs/ac

35-13554 Recommended 1.32 cfs but only claimed 1.22 cfs.

Your thoughts
Ernie