



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

900 N. SKYLINE DR., SUITE A, IDAHO FALLS, ID 83402-1718

PHONE: (208) 525-7161 FAX: (208) 525-7177 WEB SITE: www.idwr.state.id.us

EASTERN REGION

December 2, 2004

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

**JOSH REESE
M-R RANCHES
HC 60 M-R
MOORE ID 84255**

RE: EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY FOR SRBA LATE CLAIMS 34-13594, 34-13595, 34-13596

Dear Mr. Reese:

The Idaho Department of Water Resources is nearing the end of water rights review in Basin 34, the Big Lost drainage. The Notice(s) of Claim identified with the number shown above has been filed in the Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA). The Notice of Claim indicates water usage is based on "Beneficial Use". Water rights of this type are established by the historical use of water, dating back to when water was first used for the purpose claimed, at or on the place of use identified on the Claim. The date the water was first put to beneficial use, known as the priority date, has not been confirmed by License, Decree, or Permit. For this reason, proof must be provided supporting the priority date. As the claimant, you are responsible for submitting the necessary proof to support the priority date.

Your claims, as referenced above, claim the following Priority Dates:

34-13594: Jan 1, 1924 from springs tributary to Dry Fork Creek

34-13595: Apr 1, 1926 from Chicken Canyon Creek tributary to Dry Fork Creek

34-13596: Jan 1, 1926 from a spring that sinks

It is important that each element of the Notice of Claim be verified. For instance, regarding claims for irrigation, the evidence should tend to show that diversion works were in place, that they were capable of diverting the claimed diversion rate, and that the diverted water was beneficially used on the entire claimed place of use on or before the claimed priority date.


For your reference, I have provided a printout of your Notice of Claim and the pamphlet entitled "**Evidence of Priority**". The pamphlet describes the types of evidence which are acceptable and suggests which documents will fulfill this requirement. While I encourage you to read the entire pamphlet, I direct your attention to the two sections entitled "**What Kind of Evidence is Required**" and "**Some Examples of Documents Which May Be Evidence of the Priority Date**". **PLEASE READ THE ENCLOSED PAMPHLET.**

It is our goal to recommend historical water rights within the Snake River Basin as accurately as possible. Field exams to verify other elements of the Notice of Claim have been or soon will be conducted by Department personnel.

.....
The Department will not recommend the Notice of Claim be decreed by the court if evidence of a priority date is not submitted. The Notice of Claim will be disallowed.
.....

Please submit documentation supporting the priority date as soon as possible, referencing all documents with the corresponding claim number.

Respectfully submitted,



Ernest Carlsen
Water Right Supervisor
Adjudication Bureau

cc: File

Enclosure: Brochure on "Evidence of Priority"