

11 January 2006

To File: 24-4018, 24-4019, 24-4020, 24-4022, 24-2021

Kennington and Toner

Re: Analysis of Files for evidence, etc.

I prepared a timeline from documents in the Kennington claim files (not Toner because there wasn't any.) There are some gaps. See timeline. I put a copy in each file.

We are missing any documentation wherein Toner, et al purchased the ground from Kennington.

I prepared shapes for the Kennington claims using their claimed QQs. I started with 4018. It is the only one where I found a "sketch" of the ground in question. I did NOT use the amended claim because it was in Section 28, not Section 29. There wasn't any unclaimed irrigated acres left in Section 28.

I did 4020 and 4022, which covered the irrigated ground (per the 1987 imagery) in the claimed QQs.

24-4019 claimed QQs already claimed in other claims. I left that at the time the claim was prepared they were probably off by a quarter. So I used SOME of Bryce's outline to include the acres not already included in other claims. His shapes overlapped too much. I don't think any of my shapes overlap. The unclaimed acres in Sections 28 and 29 are all uphill from the irrigated ground. Since they claim to flood irrigated, I didn't see how that could happen.

I have printed out a drawing and then hand labeled the claim numbers as well as the PODs.

PODs. I didn't even attempt to locate the claimed PODs. I prepared shapes for the PODs using Bryce's GPS'd. He located 2 PODs for each claim. They overlapped as well. See drawing for location.

As I am reviewing my drawing, I notice that the piece located in the NWSE of Section 29 is outside the boundary of the Warranty Deed dated 7/21/2004. That will decrease that shape by 2.4 acres.

The Kennington's claimed 190 acres. My shapes total 134.

Barbara