

Notes

Lou's cellphone 307-690-1553

be back 4-1-07 No later than 15th.

09/12/2006

NOTICE OF ERROR
REPLY

Please type or print clearly, fill out this form completely and mail.

Return this reply form to IDWR by the deadline date printed below if you want IDWR to change your recommendation or you want to let IDWR know that you agree with its recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR RETURNING A NOTICE OF ERROR REPLY IS:

Water right number: 24-4006

GRIFFIN B SCARLETT
BOX 3715

JACKSON WY 83001

Telephone Number: (307) 733-6716

FREDERICK LOUIS SCARLETT

PO BOX 3715

JACKSON WY 83001

Telephone Number: (307) 733-6718

1. Describe the portion of the proposed recommendation with which you disagree (e.g. priority date, period of use, source):
2. Describe the changes you wish to make in the proposed recommendation:
3. Give a brief explanation as to why you disagree with these portions:


Yes I AGREE with this proposed recommendation: no changes need to be made.

DATE: 10/11/06

SIGNATURE: *F. Louis Scarlett*

Please print your name: F. Louis Scarlett

IDWR Regional Office, 900 N Skyline Dr Ste A, Idaho Falls, ID 83402, 2085257161




COMPLETED

KMO 10/25

5/9/06

Cindy Bird - Dept. of Water Resources
15 West Center Street
Soda Springs, Id. 83276

Re: Water Right Claim # 24-4006



Dear Cindy,


After our discussion in February I've visited neighbors and looked into the past water used on the Robinson Place, I purchased last August. It sounds to me, that the place produced crops without a lot of use from sprinklers, but when they were needed they were used without restriction. It was my feeling they felt secure in the belief they had ample water rights to take care of whatever the needs the place would have.

My goal of course is to secure as many acres as possible for myself and my son's future. It is my understanding that the amount of water needed to flood irrigate with the use of a ditch is near double, if not more, than the water used when sprinkling. Therefore it only makes sense to me that, if I had 49 acres allowance thru the canal and ditch system the same amount of water should easily handle a 100 acres with sprinklers. Of course my neighbor Fred Brog couldn't vouch for that past use and figured closer to 80 acres. I would love to see the figure at a 100 acres vs the 49 acres I now have. However any additional acres we can increase I would greatly appreciate. I'm including an affidavit from Fred. Thank You! for your help.

Affidavit: 5/8/06

For the most part the Robinson Place has grown a couple of good crops a year on the sub water. When it has needed irrigation water out of Tin Cup Creek those sprinklers covered a lot of ground. I really couldn't say for sure how many acres, but I'm sure it was over the 49 acres. If I was going for a water right, after the original 19~~10~~ right, I would certainly figure on at least 80 acres.

Fred Brof



Water Resources Field Services
P.O. Box 94
Iona, ID 83427
208-681-9100

To: File A24-200B
Fr: Bryce Contor
Date: 13 September 2005

Bac

Re: General narrative regarding Tincup Creek Adjudication claims.

To date I have done field inspections for all the Tincup Creek Adjudication claims which I received in July 2005. I have not been able to contact the owners of A24-02018, A24-04074A, A24-04074B or A24-10015, though I was able to inspect the places of use and points of diversion. At the location I did visit with a Mrs. V. Haderlie who may be a former claimant for A24-04074A and/or A24-04074B. A24-10668 is in the Tincup area but its source is Salt River, with a Wyoming water right and point of diversion. Places of use are illustrated in Figure 1 at the end of the memo.

This memo discusses several considerations that apply to all Tincup Creek water rights in general. It is filed in paper file A24-2000B but applies to all irrigation claims with Tincup Creek as a source, with notes in each of the other claims referencing the memo.

1. Public Land Survey System.

The overlay of county roads, fences, and claimed places of use on the Public Land Survey System shows a misalignment, as illustrated in Figure 1. Older 2000-series licenses and land patents I have examined describe the parcels in terms of whole quarter-quarter sections, as expected. Newer deeds and legal descriptions show the partial quarter-quarters that appear from the map.

My hypothesis is that the original licenses and patents were described according to some kind of preliminary PLS layout that corresponded to the roads, fences and apparent property lines. It seems that later a new PLS survey was performed which resulted in all the partial quarter-quarters in individual parcels.

Based on this hypothesis I have extrapolated the place of use of original license 24-2007 to the claimed places of use based the license. This does not appear to be an accomplished transfer, even though the GIS polygons of my proposed place of use have slightly different legal descriptions than the nominal legal description of the original license. This is illustrated in Figure 2.

Because there are no competing or overlapping claims from this source, I believe an exhaustive chain of title documenting the exact change in legal description is not necessary to correctly recommendation the historical water use that is authorized by the license.

The Wyoming places of use were mapped from scanned images of paper maps from the Wyoming State Engineers Office (provided by claimants) and appear to be consistent with the actual fence lines and apparent property lines.

2. Ownership Issues

Apparently due to this problem with the PLS system, there are some potential ownership issues, as follows:

- a. A24-2000B and A24-4006. These were both claimed by entities with the name "Robinson" in the organization name, and Perry Robinson (208 356-5325) was listed in both files as a contact. In fact Perry Robinson is only associated with A24-4006. The land has changed ownership but he has agreed to continue to provide information as needed for the Adjudication review.
- b. Notes in the files suggest that A24-04074B now belongs to Melvin Hoopes, owner of adjacent claim A24-10015. Visiting with Mrs. V. Haderlie at a home on the place of use, and inspection of the fences, roads, and claims maps, suggest that this is incorrect and the land belongs to Haderlies. The claim adjoining on the other side, A24-04074A, also is in the name of a Haderlie. I have requested a current plat map and ownership list from the Caribou County Assessor.
- c. There apparently is or has been an ownership dispute regarding some of the Wolfley lands. This does not appear to affect the irrigated portion of the lands proposed to be recommended under A24-10029.

3. Nature of Beneficial Use

Claims ~~A24-10676~~, ~~A24-10677~~ and ~~A24-10678~~ contain wording to the effect that these semi-arid lands need irrigation to be productive and therefore the existence of a patent indicates that irrigation must have been present. Some of the lands in Tincup were granted under the Homestead

Act and some under the Desert Entry Act. I think irrigation may have been required under the Desert Entry Act but I don't think it was under the Homestead Act. The attached average precipitation map suggests that at Freedom, annual precipitation is in the 14 inch to 22 inch range. The upper end of that range begins to approach the range where dry farming can be successful. It appears that precipitation increases quite rapidly with elevation as the valley floor rises towards the west. Dry farming is being practiced just up-slope of A24-10015, for instance, but I saw no dry farming along the state line near A24-02018 and other claims. This argument (that the patent establishes priority, because irrigation is necessary for agricultural production) may only apply to the eastern-most claims, if at all.

Claims A24-10031, A24-10668, A24-10676, A24-10677 and A24-10678 appear to be under typical "full irrigation" with sprinklers. This may also be true of A24-02018. A24-02007A and A24-02007B appear to be under active irrigation by gravity. These are the eastern-most claims at the lowest elevation, near the state line. I did not make a detailed investigation, but it appears that the adjacent lands in Wyoming are irrigated if they are in production.

For the other claims, higher in elevation and west of those listed above, the irrigation that takes place presently appears to be confined to dry years or to the first year of establishing an alfalfa stand. For these claims I believe the evidence supports intermittent irrigation, with all of the lands being irrigated in at least some years, but seldom would the whole farm have been irrigated in a given season. In some cases a permissible place of use with a smaller limit of irrigated acres in any one year would be most likely to correctly describe the actual irrigation practice.

4. Overlap of Wyoming Water Rights.

Claim A24-10668 appears to be based on Wyoming "Certificates of Diversion of Water" from the Salt River, with part of the place of use in Idaho. Figure 1 illustrates other lands with Wyoming water rights, most of which appear to be under active irrigation.

5. Unclaimed historical water use.

Some lands in the North of section 9 and the south of Section 4 were historically irrigated by an owner named Carl Robinson, according to some claimants. They appear not to be irrigated now and I have no claim for

these lands.

6. Priority Administration.

While the claims and licenses have different priority dates, it appears that there has been no application of priorities as between Tincup water users. One user said he had heard that "Haderlie had the first right on the creek" but none described operation of priority cuts in allocation of water. It appears that either there is always enough Tincup Creek water for local demand or that an informal rotation system operates in times of scarcity.

In Basin 31 an agreement signed by all the users out of Mud Lake and filed at the county courthouse was incorporated in the partial decrees by reference. This was done by using a special condition attached to certain water rights (see 31-6 for an example). With the viewpoint that one purpose of the Adjudication is to correctly describe actual administrative practices that have been in place for long periods of time, I would propose doing something similar for the Tincup Creek (and tributaries) recommendations, if the users were to come forward with a unanimously-adopted agreement stating that in times of shortage, Tincup water is allocated among local users by rotation, regardless of nominal priority date.

Notes

2/23/06 Lou Scarlet stopped
by the office to discuss this right
He purchased it in 8/2005.
What we need to do:

2-23-06 ~~10~~ process ownership change.

2-23-06 ~~10~~ - Cogo Scarlet deed.

- Amend claim with 10/10/1902 priority.

What Lou needs to do:

- find out when the sprinkler system
was installed 1967-1969?

- How it was used.

- He may need to file an additional
claim for additional acres under
sprinkler.

- Bryce is recommending only 49 acres
under the original flood irrigation
system.

5/8/06 5:33 pm left a message for Lou to
send affidavit. CB

5/9/06 faxing affidavit. Lou Scarlet

9-12-06 Recommendation based on Land Patent
and Tin Cup narrative for evidence of
priority.

=== COVER PAGE ===


TO: _____

FROM: GRIFFIN SCARLETT

FAX: 3077336718

TEL: 3077336718

COMMENT:



5/8/06

Cindy Bird - Dept. of Water Resources
15 West Center Street
Soda Springs, Id. 83276

Re: Water Right Claim # 24-4006

Dear Cindy,

After our discussion in February I've visited neighbors and looked into the past water used on the Robinson Place, I purchased last August. It sounds to me, that the place produced crops without a lot of use from sprinklers, but when they were needed they were used without restriction. It was my feeling they felt secure in the belief they had ample water rights to take care of what ever the needs the place would have.

My goal of course is to secure as many acres as possible for myself and my son's future. It is my understanding that the amount of water needed to flood irrigate with the use of a ditch is near double, if not more, than the water used when sprinkling. Therefore, it only makes sense to me that, if I had 49 acres allowance thru the canal and ditch system the same amount of water should easily handle a 100 acres with sprinkler. Of course my neighbor Fred Brog couldn't vouch for that past use and figured closer to 80 acres. I would love to see the figure at a 100 acres vs the 49 acres I now have. However any additional acres we can increase I would greatly appreciate. I'm including an affidavit from Fred. Thank You! for your help.

Affidavit: 5/8/06

For the most part the Robinson Place has grown a couple of good crops a year on the sub water. When it has needed irrigation water out of Tin Cup Creek those sprinklers covered a lot of ground. I really couldn't say for sure how many acres, but I'm sure it was over the 49 acres. If I was going for a water right, after the original 19~~10~~ right, I would certainly figure on at least 80 acres.

Lud Brof

[illegible]

Notes

10-21-04 Cassard's Ope.

Ray Robinson

PO Box 335

Freedom, WY 83120

10-25-04 Letter to claimant re evidence of priority. BP

11-12-04 Roy's daughter called she will be sending warranty deed's death certificate, etc... if there is anything else we need let her know. PB

11-15-04 Received docs from claimant. BP

11-22-04 Letter to claimant re further evidence & change of ownership. BP

12-1-04 Received docs from claimant BP

12-7-04 Letter to claimant re further evidence BP

12-17-04 Perry Robinson, trustee called 354-5325 km. Calling on Barbara's request. They called Perry back and he will come by next week. I suggested he call first CB.

12-20-04 Robinsons came in. Had some documents. They are taking them home & will "plat" the docs & get back to us. BP

11-29-04 Received ownership change

12-23 Received more docs.

5-27-05 Put a copy of the plat topo, ortho maps in the file. PB

7-15-05 Dawn from Title Co. called wanted to know if we had all the info. we need, if not what else? Perspective buyer will call on Monday.

Contacts Data Entry Form

Owner Type Full Name Address City State Zip Phone
 Current Owner: Perry Robinson Trustee LeRoy & Leda Robinson Joint Trust
 Name connector: and _____ or _____ and/or _____
 Current Owner: 384 West 4650 North
 Current Owner: Rexburg, Idaho 83440
see 2nd ownership change 208-356-5325
 Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: _____ Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

POU 6-1-1910
TSS, R46E Sec. 9
NE NE, SE NE
NE SE, 58 ac.
Sec. 10 NE NW, NW NW
SW NW, SE NW, NE SW
NW SW @ 88 ac.

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Contacts Data Entry Form

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A24-04006

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis: _____

☐ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

Please see general Tihap narrative in A24-2000B. BAC

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A24-04006

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of Q	QQ of Q	County	Source
55	46E	10		SW	NW NW		
GPS Coordinates: <u>2739791 E</u>							<u>1315582 N</u> <u>DOTM 83</u>
55	46E	10		SE	NW NW		
GPS Coordinates: <u>2739876 E</u>							<u>1315555 N</u> <u>DOTM 83</u>
GPS Coordinates: _____							_____
GPS Coordinates: _____							_____
GPS Coordinates: _____							_____
GPS Coordinates: _____							_____

Other rights from this POD? A24-02000B was also served by elect. pump.

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

Electric pump is no longer in place. It was installed in 1967 and was the POD in use in 1987. Historically NW & SE sides of Tinney Creek were served by separate ditches & POD per phone call w/ Perry Robinson. Claimant Gaby (A24-10029) confirms existence & use of ditch for N side of Tinney Creek. BAC 18 Aug 05.

Transfers Changing POD _____

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A24-04006☐ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

Immigration observed during field exam. BAC 18 Aug 05
Please see general Tinian narrative in A24-2000B. BAC 3 Sept 05

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use _____

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A24-04006☐ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Please see GIS printout

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Document how the POU was verified:

GIS, field inspection. Phone call w/ Perry Robinson
confirms historic irrigation on both sides of Tincup. N side
was served by ditch that came fr. NW. S. side was
served by ditch that served other lands S of
state highway, which historically was under the same
operation as this farm. BAC 18 Aug 05

Irrigation on S side of Tincup probably did not irrigate all of current
POU. Mr Perry Robinson remembers watering of a "huge garden"

Transfers Changing POU _____

area. I propose recommending 49
18 acres within 140.6 acre POU.

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

BAC
3 Sept 05

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A24-04006☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

Please see pump data in A24-2000B. Cement pump is
adequate for 0.02 cfs/acre. Bae 3 Sept '05
 $49 \times 0.02 = 0.98 \text{ cfs}$

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Claim No.: _____


This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents: *maps (1)*
POLL list (1), GPS list (1), photos (3). Bac 3 Sept 05

A24-4006

Reviewer

Date _____



4/1 - 10/31

E 1/2 NE Sec 9

Evidence has
the land in
the name of the
Trust.

Database has
not been changed.



Close

From: Carlsen, Ernie
To: Payne, Barbara
Cc:
Subject: RE: 24-4006
Sent: 8/15/2005 12:58 PM

Importance: Normal

Barbara I suspect the file has been given to Bryce Contor so he can conduct a field inspection on the POD and POU. Eventually the file will be returned to Cindy for her assesement. I suggest you hang onto the documents so they can be placed in the claim file upon its return to your office.

-----Original Message-----

From: Payne, Barbara
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2005 12:03 PM
To: Carlsen, Ernie
Cc: Bird, Cindy
Subject: 24-4006

Hi Ernie,

On November 22 I sent the claimant a letter asking for evidence of priority and an ownership change. The local title company brought over support documents for this claim. The file is now in IF. I am attaching a Word document containing a timeline of the documents. Do you want me to send the documents to you or wait for the file to be returned here for Cindy's analysis?

Barbara :-}

8-15-05 Fredrick Lewis Scarlett Trustee (Lou)
307 733-6718
called checking on status. Bf

24-4006

**Perry Robinson
Claim 24-4006 Timeline**

10/10/1902	Desert Land Certificate #1142, USA to Walter R. Barber. T5S, R46E, Sec. 3, Lots 5, 6 and SW T5S, R46E, Sec. 4, SESE T5S, R46E, Sec. 9, E1/2NE T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, Lots 1, 2 and NW 621.45 acres Water rights for mining, agricultural, manufacturing or other purposes Rights to ditches and reservoirs Recorded #59263 on 12/11/1917, Patent Record #6, page 609.
4/19/1907	Homestead Certificate #5204, USA to Fred Luthi T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, Lots 3, 4 & E1/2SW, 170.10 acres Water rights for mining, agricultural, manufacturing & other purposes Recorded #10737, Patent Record #5, page 308.
6/2/1909	Deed, Walter & Clara Barber to David W. Ross T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, metes & bounds, 121.9 acres Recorded #3278, DeedsBook 29k page 394
6/2/1909	Deed, Fred & Clara Luthi to David W. Ross T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, metes & bounds, 3 acres Recorded #45270
6/2/1909	Deed, Walter R & Clara Barber to David M. Ross T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, metes & bounds, 121.9 acres Instrument #15272, Recorded Deed Record #19, page 394.
7/26/1909	Deed, Arthur Clark and Ida Clark to David W. Ross T5S, R46E, Sec. 9, metes & bounds, 30.2 acres Recorded #15271
10/15/1919	Deed, David M. Ross to Luther & Johanna Haderlie T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, metes & bounds, 155.1 acres All canals, ditches and water rights Recorded #31562.
12/16/1953	Warranty Deed, Luther & Johanna Haderlie to Byron & Ruth Haderlie

T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, metes & bounds, 155.1 acres
All canals, ditches, and water rights
Recorded #33893.

4/8/1969

Warranty Deed, Byron & Ruth Haderlie to Roy & Leda Robinson
T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, metes & bounds.
All canals, ditches and water rights.
Recorded #66245.

10/30/1978

Warranty Deed, Roy & Leda Robinson to David & Linda Clinger.
T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, metes & bounds.
Water and ditch rights.
Recorded #99679

4/15/1986

Warranty Deed, Leroy (Roy) & Leda Robinson to Leroy Robinson & Leda Robinson, Trustees for Leroy Robinson & Leda Robinson Joint Living Trust.
T10s, R46E, Sec. 10, metes & bounds.
All water, water rights, mineral rights, improvements
Recorded #166992

4/15/1986

Quit Claim Deed, Robinson Joint Living Trust to Leroy Robinson Martial Trust.
T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, metes & bounds.
All water, water rights, mineral rights, improvements
EXCEPT 2 acres
Recorded #166993

WD	4/21	Edward Hanson & Mattie B h/w-Solon Barber	7/14/97
Deed	4/22/	Solon Barber-Walter R. Barber	8/8/01
FRR	4/40	Rogers, Rcvr-Walter R. Barber	12/27/01
Pat	✓ 1/160	USA-Walter R. Barber E½NE¼ 9 NW¼ 10	10/10/02
Deed	4/300	Walter R. Barber & Clara-David M. Ross #5272	6/2/09
Pat	1/105	USA-Arthur R. Clark E½SE¼ 9 W½SW¼ 10	4/18/05
WD	4/300	Arthur R. Clark-David M. Ross - #5271	7/26/09
Pat	- 1/116	USA-Fred Luthi (Lots 3, 4, E½SW¼ 10)	4/19/07
Deed	✓ 4/229	Fred Luthi & Clara-David M. Ross -	6/2/09
WD	37/390	David M. Ross, sgl-Luther H Haderlie & Johanna L #31562	10/15/19
Mtg	----1/187-	Rel 1/351	10/15/19
Mtg	----6/225-	Rel 3/105	10/15/19
WD	38/172	Luther H Haderlie & Johanna L h/w-Byron F. Haderlie & Ruth A	12/16/53
Mtg	----34482	Byron F. Haderlie & Ruth a-UMLCorp	12/5/55
Asgn	2/489	UMLCorp-Mutual Life Ins.	12/27/55
SevAgt	-4/264-	Byron F. haderlie & Ruth A h/w-FmHA (49388)	2/16/59
WD	✓ 31562	David M. Ross, sgl-Luther H. Haderlie & Johanna 37/390 -	10/15/19-11/12/52
WD	✓ 33893	Luther H. Haderlie & Johanna L h/w-Byron F. Haderlie & Ruth A	12/16/53-3/23/54
Mtg	----37022	Asgn 37127 (49659)	12/5/55-12/9/55
SerAgt	42566	Byron F. Haderlie 7 ruth A-USA, FmHA	2/16/69-4/10/59
Mtg	----43345-	Asgn 43456 (Rel 49658)	8/7/59-9/22/59
Mtg	----49481	Byron F. Haderlie & Ruth A-FLB (111317)	2/1/63-2/8/63
Rel	49388	USA; FmHA-Haderlie (42566)	1/18/63-1/23/63
Rel	49658	Mutual Life Ins-Haderlie (43345 41/164)	2/28/63-3/14/63
Rel	49659	Mutual Life Ins-Haderlie (37022 34/482)	2/28/63-3/14/63
Mtg	49666	Byron F. Haderlie & Ruth A h/w-Luther H. Haderlie & Johanna	12/20/63-3/15/63
Mtg	----60668	Byron F. Haderlie & Ruth A h/w-IFNB (66248)	5/26/67-5/31/67
WD	✓ 66245	Byron F. Haderlie & Ruth A h/w-Roy Robinson & Leda A h/w	4/8/69-4/22/69
Mtg	----66246	Roy Robinson & Leda A-Byron F. Haderlie & Ruth A (100754)	4/8/69-4/22/69
Rel	66247	Luther H Haderlie & Johanna L-Byron F. Haderlie (49666)	4/8/69-4/22/69
Rel	66248	IFNB-Byron F. Haderlie (60668)	5/26/67-4/22/69
OGL	86094	Roy Robinson & Leda A h/w-Placid Oil Co	2/18/76-2/29/76
OGL	87059	Roy Robinson & Leda A h/w-Placid Oil Co. 10 yr	2/18/76-5/28/76
WD	✓ 99679	Roy Robinson & Leda A h/w-David S. Clinger & Linda K (Corr 99866)	10/30/78-3/16/79
Rel	100754	Byron F. Haderlie & Ruth A h/w-Roy Robinson & Leda A (66246)	6/11/79-6/13/79
Sat	111317	FLB-Byron F. Haderli (49481)	2/9/82-2/17/82
WD	✓ 166992	LeRoy Robinson aka Roy & Leda h/w-Leroy Robins & Leda Robinson	3/27/87-10/15/02
		Joint Living Trust, dated 4/15/86	
QCD	✓ 166993	Perry Leroy Robinson & Leda Robins, Trustee-The Leroy Robinson	5/21/96-10/15/02
		Marital Trust 1/2 int	
Stat	167253	Perry L. Robinson (Statement of Trust)	11/20/02-11/25/02
**PLE	61206	Byron Haderlie-Lower Valley Power & Light	1/18/67-8/1/67

