

Joyce King
2382 Broderick Dr.
West Jordan Ut 84084

To: Mr. Mikesell
Date: June 8, 2005
From: Joyce King
Subject: Claim number 21-12534

Pages including cover 2



Joyce King
2382 Broderick Dr.
West Jordan Ut 84084

June 8, 2005

State of Idaho
Department of Water Resources
900 N. Skyline Dr. Suite A
Idaho Falls ID, 83402-171

Re: Claim 21-12534

Dear Mr. Mikesell,

A letter of explanation regarding claim 21-12534 went out by post this morning which should clear up all confusion regarding claim 21-12534. I don't know how long it takes for mail to arrive from here to there. However, I had been reading my copy checking for mistakes and I spotted one but to late. The mail man had already taken the letter. I cannot believe I omitted the date of the easement when I typed the description off a copy of my Dad's will. The date is critical for you to understand my water rights.

That said, I had left out the line that stated the date. If you should need the actual record on file at Fremont County Court house. Upon request I can have American Title pull that record for me. The correction is as follows.

"Easement for canal over and across a portion of above described Lot 4 of Section 18, Lot 1 of Section 19, and Lot 3 of Section 13, granted by Archie E. Hill and Arnetta Hill; I.R. Hendrickson, and Helen Hendrickson, to Conant Creek Canal Company, Inc by instrument recorded August 26, 1953, in volume O of Miscellaneous, page 399, under recorder's instrument No. 277175, records of said County."

I stated the date of the easement granted in the letter of explanation but leave out the date when I wrote the letter. In addition please let me know if you require copy of the stipulation filed with the court in regard to water rights settlement filed in April of 1999.

Sincerely Yours,



MEMORANDUM

To: Ernie Carlson
From: Tony Olenichak
Subject: Joyce King Water Right Claims
Date: September 14, 1999

I've recently received documentation in the mail from Joyce King. Apparently, this documentation is to support her Falls River water right claim. I believe you also received copies of the same documentation with her completed SRBA claim form. She said she has also talked to Allen, Dave, and you concerning her situation over the telephone. I thought I would prepare a short memo to sum up my understanding of her water right situation to perhaps clear up any confusion that may exist after reading her documentation. Also, in addition to Joyce King, I have also been contacted by Tawni Weaver who also inherited a piece of the same original homestead and plans to submit an SRBA claim. Here is the issue as I understand it (without discussing storage water, stock certificates, neighbors or family fueds):

There are two pumps on Falls River, identified by Water District #1 as the Loosli #3 (T8N,R42E,S23) and Dan Reynolds (T8N, R42E,S24) pumps. These pumps divert Fall River water to some of the lands that apparently were historically flood-irrigated by the Conant Creek Canal system. However, a "water right transfer certificate" was never completed to add these pumps to the Conant Creek Canal water right (unlike the Jay Hill and Dan Zundell pumps described in Transfers #1676 and #2158 for which the circumstances appear similar). Many of the laterals from the Conant Creek Canal system that once served the properties have been obliterated. Water District #1 delivery records, since 1978 when small irrigation pumps were first inventoried by the district, document only the amounts of storage water delivered to the Loosli #3 and Reynolds pumps during periods of regulation. I was unable to find any indication that Water District #1 ever delivered natural flow to these pumps after the first day of regulation of any year.

I explained to both Joyce King and Tawnie Weaver that Water District #1 will not deliver any natural flow rights to these pumps in the future unless a "transfer of water right" is approved by the IDWR, or an SRBA claim for the pumps is decreed by the court. Joyce King has requested that Conant Creek Canal Company file a transfer to move a piece of their water right to her pump. She said she would also file a SRBA claim if they do not act on her request.

I think Joyce King is going to file a claim based on a portion of the Conant Creek Canal Company's decreed right. However, Tawni Weaver appeared to be leaning towards filing beneficial-use claims based on the original date of the homestead and other water uses prior to the Rexburg Decree. I explained the difficulties they may face proving their claims, so I advised them to document the historical water use for the property in detail and submit it with their SRBA claims.

September 10. 1999

Idaho Dept. of Water Resources
150 Shoup Ave. Suite 15
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

Re: Requests for transfer of water right/ claim to water right

Dear Mr. Carlson,

Enclosed are copies of letters to various parties and a claim for 1901 priority date water right. These should be self explanatory however, Tony Olenichak may be able to provide more information to you.

In essence I am asking for a transfer of water right as suggested by Tony from the headgate of CCC. I do not know if this will be forthcoming or not and due to the I am preceding with my claim. I wanted to get this in so as not to further delay and will send the documentation I do have shortly. I am limited in documentation due to my not being able to have access to some records which would be useful but in the hands of my niece who has control of my parents records. What I do have should be ample to provide documentation.

In addition to my request for transfer or claim I would like to inquire concerning the status of a claim filed for an 1896 water right by CCC. This claim for water rights has my Grandfather's land included and prior to my Mother's death I had given my promise to attempt to salvage that property. I do not know the feasibility of this after all these events has taken place. I no longer have the stock my Father assigned to the lands in the old system. It would seem my only recourse would be through adjudication.

For your understanding my Grandfather's homestead is presently in CRP which we had signed up again for another ten years. It was in CRP at the time we adjudicated it. At one of the board meetings Mr. Rigby had indicated that lands which had water transferred in the 1940's was not required to be recorded and my Father had kept water resources beneficial for his Father's property. We as a family always considered it as having water rights we wanted to preserve. To date so much confusion has occurred and changes made I do not know what the chances are of saving it.

May I ask what steps I could take to save this property. It is currently owned by my nephew who is in the process of rebuilding my Grandfather's homestead and plans to raise his children at this site. As indicated to Tony Olenichak my family has been involved in a struggle to keep our water rights despite the changes in the CCC system and its changing direction. The adjudication process was our last hope to restoring what we have fought so long to keep.

Along with these copies of letters you will find a history as given to CCC in regard

to transfer of water right. I plan to use all this material with my claim as it provides a clearer basis of what has occurred. In these papers you will find some assessment records of my Father's from Fremont Madison. In the 1970's my Father attempted to water from Falls River due to the fact that the canal had issued additional shares of stock which resulted in new water rights to lands which had no rights and this was slowly stripping my family of our rights. We had to stand helplessly by and not be able to get enough water for our lands as the system became more and more diluted in its ability to deliver the water to the end of the system.

My Father did not make himself understood nor provide to water resources exactly what the problem was. While it was true he was on the end of the ditch this situation was really quite different than one normal position at that end. This was a very small system which was not designed for more land to be given rights and this harmed my family in regard to our water rights. My situation has a direct bearing on what took place at that time. The problems became worse as time passed. The restructuring of the system would have corrected the problems for my family and did for those in the system but the ditch having been sealed over has cut of the CC rights to lands in the old system.

During the time of those denied applications there existed so much confusion in connection with one of our renters who paid assessments directly to Fremont Madison for rental of our storage water. To clear up that confusion and prevent that misunderstanding from resurfacing to affect my claim I am enclosing those assessments. In addition a note written to Dale Swenson around 1979 when my Father was looking for his storage water which was credited to Curtis Loosli. Fremont Madison cannot be blamed for such confusion and if Fremont Madison did serve to complicate matters from my Father they have more than made up for it with assisting me. I think the world of Dale Swenson who has over the years been so kind in his assistance to me. In fact I have asked Dale to try to recall where some records are which I did have copies of at one time which would serve as evidence of the pump which replaced the ditches to my properties. I have a general date but this would be of assistance. In regard to my own claim I do not anticipate difficulties with Fremont Madison as I should have the storage water as back up as required by Fremont Madison for those in Falls River.

I would however like to get this confusion of those denied applications cleared up, or at least some understanding given to IDWR concerning that confusion of the time. I do not take issue with it simply because my parents water rights were so confusing. The judgement made by IDWR at that time is minor to the confusion which has existed. On my part this is defense 101, making sure the ghosts of past confusion does not surface to create still more confusion for me to work through, or serve to harm my own claim.

Thank you for consideration of this letter. Please respond to my inquiry concerning my Grandfathers property. Its general location can be seen by the hand made map I enclose.

Sincerely Yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Joyce King". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned below the typed name "Joyce King".

State of Idaho
 Water District 1
 900 N. Skyline Dr. Suite A
 Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402-1718

To: Tony Oleinichack

From: Joyce King

Date: September 8, 1999

RECEIVED

SEP 13 1999

Department of Water Resources
 Eastern Region

Re: Transfer or claim/ water rights

Dear Tony,

Enclosed are some histories sent to CCC and additional letters. Also I am sending letter to you to CCC. I am sending these in order to provide you with some framework and have indicted to CCC that they can contact either you or Earnest Carlson for discussion. I will also send Mr. Carlson the same and as soon as Roger sends the backup will send to Mr. Carlson. I may simply recreate what was sent to Roger for this purpose since there may be delays on this. This backup sent to you would relate to Transfers and claims. I will also send copies of Fremont Madison assessment records referenced in history and the hand drawn map. It is probably not necessary to send those to you but I will anyway.

Hopefully what I have provided can assist in a better understanding while what is provided is by no means all which has occurred. I have never seen so many confused gather together in what can only be defined as confusion in the aggregate. I have the advantage in this situation in understanding others confusion because I have been working on it so long. One chief difficulty with my relatives is I never spoke with them and they did things without consulting me based on their understanding, which left me with a continuing task of trying to straighten out that confusion. Now I play the role of confusion coordinator and this is not an easy task when I have been as confused as the next person. I do not consider myself infallible, However I can say at this point in discovery that decreed was being delivered to my properties after my Father passed away and never stopped until the ditch was sealed over regardless of the fact that what did come was considerably lessened. No doubt about it that water was developed due to all these circumstances.

Off the record I believe there are really no bad guys, just confused guys. I am not furnishing all this extra material out of concern for my having a water right. My understanding is that my properties have never been without water since first use. I do have questions regarding some 31 farmable acre's. I think there exists the possibility that while this was in the system that it may have gone without water and did so long enough to lose its water right. By the same token because I am ignorant about water rights under a canal system, which allows shareholders to transfer stock to lands within boundaries, I truly do not know the rules. The way I

have chosen to handle this is to insert some explanation to Mr. Carlson to best of my understanding. I have gone with one priority date.

Do I think there existed a conflict of interest in regard to my interests the law firm representing me being the same law firm which represented CCC in the past and which my interest affected? "Absolutely", this can be seen by anyone. Do I think this law firm betrayed me in the interest of CCC? "Absolutely not," it is my opinion that anyone having integrity knows that this is a constant, this being the case have built in inner systems, which regulate behavior. I suppose accusations of having a desire not to see a lawsuit take place, to seek a workable solution to the problem. I don't know if this was the case because I was not in that loop. I do not know the bias. I was urged to settle and did so from one factor, which was trust because I did not know, nor did my attorney have time to tell me. Recently I sent a letter asking questions and have never heard a response. I feel perhaps my questions may have been judged as sour grapes instead of wanting to know more about what took place. I cannot say whether I am violating settlement terms or not because I do not know the basis. My questions were not answered.

I do believe my attorney had to act on information given and I know that information was confused. I know now some information I gave confused. One piece of information was informing him the Curtis Loosli pump still watered all my land. I said this because I was told this. For all I know this may still is true, I was as shocked as anyone to find this out. I thought the Curtis Loosli pump was the Dan Reynolds pump because when I arranged measurement of storage water from it I named my site and named by land and said the Dan Reynolds pump was near. I was to upset to confirm with renter Dan Reynolds for some time. People can only assess and make judgement on that which is given, be this my attorney, or Mr. Foster who was to be the expert to assist. My attorney may still think the Curtis Loosli pump water all my land when conflicting statements came from renter Dan Reynolds later on. I have tired to narrow down which one waters which. It is even possible that the Curtis Loosli pump did water all and some pipeline was installed after I learned different. I would not be surprised by a lot at this point in discovery. What I do know is the arrangement kept me from missing the water sooner than I did.

In regard to my attorney and attempting to analyze his actions it would be a given that CCC claiming water was not delivered for forty to fifty years had impact. Both my attorney and Mr. Foster are going to come together in some type of judgement, as always is the practice. Judge Walker had admitted to lack of knowledge and had in one sense removed his adequately to judge. Judge Walker is in my opinion a wise Judge and a fair one. It is my opinion that out of this was born the diverting of water prior to 1971. While my present knowledge brings understanding that this action can indeed constitute a beneficial use right based upon date of priority. I found after settlement in studying a benifical use water right that we were back to the same theory that water was simply diverted. I had said this was not the case.

In regard to my attorney Mr. Thatcher, I don't believe he would know how not to do all within his power to safe guard the interests of these clients. This is my own assessment of him. This does not make my assessment infallible but my assessment nevertheless.

Part of the reasons I continued with my own discovery in regard to my parent's water rights was to form the basis for my claim. Plus completing the task my

parents put into motion. This seems appropriate to do, in addition I admit to being driven to seek the truth of something if it does not make sense. I believe IDWR will discover the same discrepancies I have discovered, which my attorney must have discovered and all others as well. I discover discrepancies of my own within myself, which provides even more discovery. Sometimes the task becomes making sure one is being honest with themselves. Nevertheless the same process allows us to continue narrowing down the root cause of the confusion.

I can cite one such factor, which became confused, and this confusion no doubt then was a factor, which later on confused my attorney. I begin with the premise of my Father telling me he matched storage water to stock for my properties, related to my attorney some experiences suggesting possible factors of how my water got placed back into the system. I did not know about the circumstances then. I was well aware of my Mother looking for the water as I sat in the midst of my own confusion thinking she was looking for the water due to her belief that Curtis Loosli was being credited with our extra shares. While this was a factor I did not really see the missing water until after her death and only then became looking for the water myself. Nevertheless although I was around during all this time I was witness to what was happening. Therefore, know about the lines, and the drought and that the renters had to exchange to provide even coverage and something about putting the water into a block, it was all these experiences which added to my belief decreed water went to my properties after my Father's death. Plus comments I have documented in a letter which was one of our exhibits in which my neices former finance made reference to some transfer. These being only a fraction of some of the events, which evidence that decreed water, was used. Codicils made my Mother and later revoked suggest some placement of water designed to be used beneficially for all lands which included mine. This would have been a condition, or after effect of having to allocate to serve drought conditions yet still be left in place to place water where needed with my niece in charge of such allocation.

I believe everyone runs into trouble when they attempt to intertwine their own truths with others and make them fit. It does not work, and I have done this just as others must have done this. In addition, when one attempts to match up comments coming from my Mother, then it becomes a matter of sorting out your confusion while continue to sort out hers. One thing in my favor is I was expert in sorting out Mom's confusion, which really did have a basis if one, knew how to communicate.

To continue in explanation of how discrepancies can and do exist in my parent's water rights I cite my understanding right after the first settlement when I did get my stock. We had allocated stock based upon my Father's comments yet for some reason I had this belief I cannot use the stock in the system. I had really formed this belief at that time. Naturally I traced the factors which created this belief which were true but the final discovery came last week. Nothing like working on the nuts and bolts of your own claim to clear up your own confusion. What I was confusing is the storage water, which had been permanently assigned to Falls River. Prior to permanent attachment in Falls River both decreed water and storage water had to both been taken through this same ditch at SW1/1 Sec 18 Rge 43 which was a legal division point of CCC along with the headgate delivery from which it originated. Of course when the ditch, which did deliver, to your properties had been sealed over which was at that time some two years, this did tend to confuse.

In my recollection I had confused the storage and the decreed water. What I am saying is that in regard to discrepancies if I have them others have them and I don't

believe it is so much that others are trying to deceive me but they suffer from what I will now define as dyslexia in connection with my parent's water rights. This is why I felt it so important to continue discovery and to define the structure, which should enable this dyslexia to rearrange itself and from this we can all then understand we have been looking at the same thing from different angles.

My Mother used to say about every month that she wished my Father would come back and tell her how he had structured things. When all one had to do is examine what was in place in the beginning. People were telling her what it was and though their understanding and environmental factors the very structure my Father had put into place was being disrupted. These were the things I witnessed through comments at the time. I was seeing this structure being altered and to date these same people who took part in the altering have developed some amnesia in regard to what they themselves took part in, and would instead claim this is how it has always been.

Suffice to say if you were my attorney would you not begin to question yourself and began to respond more to others input. I don't believe he would think I lied but may thought me confused and it affected everything. From these discoveries I can also have more understanding why many became confused at the time of my Father's denied applications to water from Falls River.

I have further refined my discovery to embrace other factors which caused the seeming disappearance of the water to my Mother but I should not go off on such detail. I can certainly now relate of my Mother seeming obsession in her continuing belief that the former renter had our water. This dyslexia of my parents water rights can cause such obsessions. It reminds me of that movie close encounters in which Richard Dreyus has seen something he cannot define. He goes completely bonkers and goes around creating these images on the dining room table made of mud and while his family begins to fear his mental functions, he cannot release himself from what he knows. Richard Dreyus found his space ship and I found the ditch.

This definition made perhaps is extreme but nevertheless when one is dealing with buried memories from the past and one's subconscious mind is trying to work something out for you, it was not unusual for me to wake up from sleep seeing the water running in front of me, and while I told myself I was becoming as obsessed as my Mother had appeared to be, one cannot really stop the process until what is sought is found.

I find that one of the most awesome experiences has been observing others in relation to what they understood my parent's water rights to be. What they did, and what they arranged. I could write a book about depth psychology within the framework of water rights.


There does exist some real complications that were put into place due to the confusion which took place. I do not have any desire to take issue with, or seek retaliation from anyone. I have tried to communicate to allow others to have understanding, I agreed not to take stock and therefore this action to straighten out this situation becomes others responsibility. No doubt others might resent my openness and what may be judged as upsetting the apple car. However, I cannot sacrifice my own integrity in this situation either. I want CCC to have ample water and my relatives in the system to have ample water. I am not requesting stock nor attempting to upset the structure set in place by the new design. What I am doing is providing the opportunity for CCC to take responsibility for my situation

because they are responsible for my situation. I cannot force or compel them to do so.

However, by their actions I am being asked to be irresponsible to others who have had no part in this confusion. Those people who have filed claims for water rights do have rights equal to mine and are fully as important in their quest for a water right. Water has become far too precious an asset for all concerned. There is not enough, which allows room for this type of solution without causing harm to those who also seek water rights. It is in consideration of this I feel compelled to tell the truth to the best of my ability to enable IDWR to make judgement in a fair manner which does allow fairness to all.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely Yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Jayce King".

cc: Earnest Carlson


Map of Project: show clearly the point of diversion, place of use, section number, township, and range number.

Scale: 2 inches equals 1 mile

RECEIVED

SEP 13 1999

Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region



Foot

ASSESSMENT RECORD

ADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT-ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

FREMONT

YEAR	NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WASTE LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINT'NCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOT. ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSM'TS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
1950	40		1200	720	2400		3120		40		5
1951				450	2160		2640				
1952				600	2280		2880				
1953				600	2160		2760				
1954				600	2160		2760				
1955				420	2040		2460				
1956				420	2040		2460				
1957				420	2040		2480				
1958							2460				
1959							2720				
1960							3120				
1961											
1962											
1963											

To provide explanation for confusion during K.R. Henry Application for permits during 1970's.

NAME:

WAT Van

DESCRIPTION:

da

ESS:

Drummond St. Anthony, Ida.

ANAL:

Genert F. R. and R. R. R.

EC:

23

TWP:

8

RNG:

42

ACCT. NO.

RECORD

TRICT-ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

YEAR	NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	LAND	BENEFITS	ACRE	ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSM'TS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
1950	80		2400	1440	4800		6240		80		5
1951				960	4320		5280				
1952				1200	4560		5760				
1953				1200	4320		5520				
1954				1200	4320		5520				
1955				840	4080		4920				
1956				840	4080		4920				
1957				840	4080		4920				
1958				880	4080		4960				
1959				960	3960		4920				
1960				1080	3840		4920				
1961				2400	3840		6240				
1962											
1963											

NAME:

Rice, J. B. Henry, Kenneth

ADDRESS:

St. Anthony, Ida.

DESCRIPTION:

W3SE1

CANAL:

Fall River Canal

1

SEC:

8

TWP:

7

RNG:

41

ACCT. NO.

342

ASSESSMENT RECORD

FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT-ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

YEAR	NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WASTE LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINT'NCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSM'TS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
1950	24	16	1080	648	2160		2808		36		5
1951				432	1944		2376				
1952				540	2052		2592				
1953				540	1944		2484				
1954				540	1944		2484				
1955				378	1836		2214				
1956				378	1836		2214				
1957				378	1836		2214				
1958				396	1836		2232				
1959				432	1792		2214				
1960				432	1728		2514				
1961				1080	1728		2808				
1962											
1963											

H.R. Henry

NAME: *S. Hilt, Archie E. Henricksen, Helen A. Henricksen, Jack* ADDRESS: *Ashton, Ida. murdock EXT.*
 DESCRIPTION: *Steenmann, Jack Lot # 4* CANAL: *Conant* 1 1/2
 SEC: 18 TWP: 8 RNG: 43 ACCT. NO. *226*

ASSESSMENT RECORD

FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT-ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

YEAR	NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WASTE LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINT'NCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSM'TS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
1950	80		2400	1440	4800		6240		80		5
1951				960	4320		5280				
1952				1200	4560		5760				
1953				1200	4320		5520				
1954				1200	4320		5520				
1955				840	4080		4920				
1956				840	4080		4920				
1957				840	4080		4920				
1958				880	4080		4960				
1959				960	3960		4920				
1960				1080	3840		4920				
1961				2400	3840		6240				
1962											
1963											

H.R. Henry

NAME: *Neilsen, Hans A.* ADDRESS: *Ashton, Ida.*
 DESCRIPTION: *S1 NE 1/4* CANAL: *Conant* 1

This is the 30 shares of Conant area

ASSESSMENT RECORD
FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT-ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WASTE LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINT'NCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSM'TS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
70	10	2100	1260	4200		5460		70		
			840	3780		4620				
			1050	3990		5040				
			1050	3780		4830				
			1050	3780		4830				
			735	3570		4805				
			735	3570		4305				
			735	3570		4305				
			770	3570		4340				
			840	3465		4305				
			945	3360		4305				
			2100	3360		5460				

NAME: Henry Hennel ADDRESS: St. Anthony, Ida.
 DESCRIPTION: Schofield, Mrs. Wm. R. CANAL: Conant
 SEC: 19 TWP: 8 RNG: 43 ACCT. NO. 731

ASSESSMENT RECORD
FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT-ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WASTE LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINT'NCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSM'TS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
35	5	1050	630	2100		2730		35		
						2310				
						2520				
						2415				
						2415				
						2152				
						2152				
						2152				
						2170				
						2152				
						2152				
						2730				

*This Certificate is
K.C.
from Stearns, no 37
for 87-5 horses
I ignore this copy
got caught with
note attached.*

NAME: Henricksen, Helen A. ADDRESS: Ashton, Ida.
 DESCRIPTION: Stearns, Jack CANAL: Conant
 SEC: 10 TWP: 8 RNG: 43 ACCT. NO. 731

ASSESSMENT RECORD

FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT-ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

EAR	NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WASTE LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINT'NCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSM'TS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
50	20	60	600	360	1200		1560		20		225
51				240	1080		1320				
52				300	1140		1440	1950			
53				300	1080		1380				
54				300	1080		1380				
55				210	1020		1230				
56				210	1020		1230				
57				210	1020		1230				
58				220	1020		1240				
59				240	990		1230				
60				570	960		1530				
61				600	960		1560				
62											
63											

NAME: Henry, Delila 90 Kenneth R. ADDRESS: Ashton, Ida.
 DESCRIPTION: E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ CANAL: Conant Fall River 10th Camp
 SEC: 22 TWP: 9 RNG: 44 ACCT. NO. 925

ASSESSMENT RECORD

FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT-ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

EAR	NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WASTE LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINT'NCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSM'TS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
950	55	25	1650	990	3300		4290		55		100
951				660	2970		3630				
952				825	3135		3960				
953				825	2970		3795				
954				825	2970		3795				
955				578	2805		3383				
956				578	2805		3383				
957				578	2805		3383				
958				605	2805		3410				
959				660	2973		3633				
960				743	3240		3983				
961				1650	2640		4290				
962											
963											

NAME: Henry, Kenneth R. ADDRESS: Drummond, Ida.
 DESCRIPTION: W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ CANAL: 50 5 Conant Ind. Rights 1

4

sec. 20 TWP 8 RGE. 43

FREE

Voiced out.

Ashton, T.

CANAL:

ACCT. NO. 762

FREMONT-MADISON

Ashton, Idaho

CANAL:

50 5
Conant-Index Rights

ACCT. No. 766

ASSESSMENT RECORD

FREMONT

ADJACENT IRRIGATION DISTRICT - ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

YEAR	NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WASTE LAND	ASSIGNED BENEFITS	RATE PER ACRE	RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSMENTS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER
1947	20	16	1080	24.00	22.60		544.00		70	
1948				24.00	21.00		54.00			
1949				25.20	25.20		504.00			
1950				25.20	25.20		504.00			
1951										
1952										
1953										
1954										
1955										
1956										
1957										
1958										
1959										
1960										
1961										
1962										
1963										

NAME:

HENRY, R.R.

Ashton, Idaho

DESCRIPTION:

NE 1/4 NW 1/4; NE 1/4 NE 1/4

CANAL:

Conant

1

SEC. 19

TWP. 6

RNG. 43

ACCT. NO. 264-5

ASSESSMENT RECORD

ADJACENT IRRIGATION DISTRICT - ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

YEAR	NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WASTE LAND	ASSIGNED BENEFITS	RATE PER ACRE	RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSMENTS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
1947	20	16	1080	10.80	17.28		28.08		36		
1948				17.28	10.80		28.08				
1949				12.96	12.96		259.20				
1950				12.96	12.96		259.20				
1951				12.96	12.96		259.20				
1952				12.96	12.96		259.20				
1953				12.96	12.96		259.20				
1954				12.96	12.96		259.20				
1955				12.96	12.96		259.20				
1956				12.96	12.96		259.20				
1957				12.96	12.96		259.20				
1958				12.96	12.96		259.20				
1959				12.96	12.96		259.20				
1960				12.96	12.96		259.20				
1961				12.96	12.96		259.20				
1962				12.96	12.96		259.20				
1963				12.96	12.96		259.20				

NAME:

HENRY, R.R.

ADDRESS:

Ashton, Idaho

Lot #4

CANAL:

Conant

1 1/2

SEC. 18

TWP. 8

RNG. 43

ACCT. NO. 761

ASSESSMENT RECORD

YEAR	UP ACRES	TOTAL LAND	TOTAL BENEFITS	RATE PER ACRE	RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	TOTAL BENEFITS	ACRE FEET	DEBENT NUMBER
1969	40		1600							
1970				28.80	28.80		1152			
1971				21.60	21.60		864			
1972				21.60	21.60		864			
1973				21.60	21.60		864			
1974				21.60	21.60		864			
1975				16.20	16.20		648			
1976				16.20	16.20		648			
1977				16.20	16.20		648			
1978				16.20	16.20		648			
1979				16.20	16.20		648			
1980				28.80	28.80		1152			
1981				28.80	28.80		1152			
1982				28.80	28.80		1152			
1983	11	110								

NAME: Moore, G.E. CANAL: St. Anthony Idaho

DESCRIPTION: SE 1/4 SE 1/4 TWP: 9 RING: 42 ACCT. NO. 811

ASSESSMENT RECORD

YEAR	UP ACRES	WASTE LAND	TOTAL BENEFITS	RATE PER ACRE	RATE PER ACRE	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	TOTAL BENEFITS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
1969	20	00		6.00	6.00	120				
1970				6.00	6.00	120				
1971				6.00	6.00	120				
1972				6.00	6.00	120				
1973				6.00	6.00	120				
1974				6.00	6.00	120				
1975				6.00	6.00	120				
1976				6.00	6.00	120				
1977				6.00	6.00	120				
1978				6.00	6.00	120				
1979				6.00	6.00	120				
1980				6.00	6.00	120				
1981				6.00	6.00	120				
1982				6.00	6.00	120				
1983	42 1/2	0	775					32 1/2		
1984				11.40	11.40	1305				
1985				11.40	11.40	1305				

NAME: Henry, Kenneth ADDRESS: Ashton, Idaho

DESCRIPTION: 11 mi. 1/2 E 21 N 1/4 CANAL: Fall River

TWP: 9 RING: 44 ACCT. NO. 966

ASSESSMENT RECORD

FR

YEAR	NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WATER LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINTENANCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID AS	ACRE	RECEIPT
1950	80		2400	24.00						
1951				38.40	24.00		62.40			
1952					28.80		57.60			
1953				11.50	10.80		57.60			
1954				28.80						
1955				24.60	40.80		126.00			
1956					20.70		60.00			
1957				60.00	36.00		144.00			
1958				33.60			144.00			
1959				43.20						
1960				38.40	33.60		72.00			
1961					25.80		72.00			
1962				112.00	20.80		144.00			
1963										

NAME:

Henry, Kenneth R.

Ashton, Idaho

DESCRIPTION:

S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$

CANAL:

Conant

1

SEC: 24

TWP: 8

RNG: 42

ACCT. NO.

728

ASSESSMENT RECORD

NUMBER	WATER LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINTENANCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID	ACRE	RECEIPT
10		1200	12.00	19.20		31.20			
11				12.00		31.20			
12				14.40		28.80			
13			8.40	20.40		28.80			
14			14.40	16.80					
15			10.80	20.40		31.20			
16				14.40		30.00			
17			14.40	10.80		32.40			
18			16.80	17.40		34.20			
19			19.20	16.80		36.00			
20			21.60	14.40		36.00			

AME:

Henry, Kenneth R.

ADDRESS:

Ashton, Idaho

DESCRIPTION:

Fall River Ind. Right

CANAL:

Fall River Ind. Right

SEC: 23

TWP: 8

RNG: 42

ACCT. NO. 727

8

ASSESSMENT RECORD
FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT-ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

FORM 100-1000-1 CROZET VIRGINIA #95088-8

PAR	NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WASTE LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINT'NCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSM'TS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
3	80	0	1680	29.70	19.80		49.50		56		
				31.92	21.84		53.76				
				28.50	28.52		57.02				
6			2040	38.76	26.52		65.28		68		
				59.16	36.72		95.88				
8				63.24	33.64		96.88				
8			2370	73.47	37.92		111.39		79		
				59.25			59.25				
0				59.25			59.25				
				71.10			71.10				
2				94.80			94.80				
				75.84			75.84				
4				71.10			71.10				
				94.80			94.80				

NAME: Henry, Kenneth R. ADDRESS: Ashton, Idaho
 DESCRIPTION: W 1/2 NW 1/4 CANAL: Conant
 SEC: 20 TWP: 8 RNG: 43 ACCT. NO. 766

ASSESSMENT RECORD
FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT-ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

FORM 100-1000-1 CROZET VIRGINIA #95088-8

PAR	NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WASTE LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINT'NCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSM'TS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
5	40		1080	37.80	18.36		56.16		36		
6			1080	20.52	14.04		34.56				
				31.32	19.44		50.76				
8				33.48	17.28		50.76				
8			1260	39.06	20.16		59.22		42		
				31.50			31.50				
6				31.50			31.50				
0			1050	26.25			26.25		35		
				31.50			31.50				
2				42.00			42.00				
				33.60			33.60				
4				31.50			31.50				
				42.00			42.00				

NAME: Henry, Kenneth R. ADDRESS: Drummond, Idaho
 DESCRIPTION: Lot 4 CANAL: Conant

ASSESSMENT RECORD
FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT-ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

ACME VISIBLE, CHURCH, VIRGINIA #95088-B

AR	NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WASTE LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINT'NCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSM'TS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
3	92½	0	975	17.55	11.70		29.25		32½		
				18.53	12.67		31.20				
				34.13	16.57		50.70				
4				18.53	12.67		31.20				
				28.28	17.55		45.83				
8				30.23	15.60		45.83				
				24.38			24.38				
2				24.38			24.38				
				29.25			29.25				
2				37.00			37.00				
				10.08			10.08		10½		
4				9.45			9.45				
				12.60			12.60				
6				9.45			9.45				

22.5 AF to #727
1/2 done

Timber
Sage

NAME: Henry, Kenneth R. ADDRESS: Ashton, Idaho
 DESCRIPTION: E½NW¼ CANAL: Fall River (Ind. Rights)
 SEC: 22 TWP: 9 RNG: 44 ACCT. NO. 966

ASSESSMENT RECORD
FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT-ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

ACME VISIBLE, CHURCH, VIRGINIA #95088-B

AR	NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WASTE LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINT'NCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSM'TS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
3	40	0	1800	32.40	21.60		54.00		60		N/A
				34.20	23.40		57.60				
				63.00	30.60		93.60				
1			1800	34.20	23.40		57.60				
				52.20	32.40		84.60				
8				55.80	28.80		84.60				
				45.00			45.00				
0				45.00			45.00				
				54.00			54.00				
2				72.00			72.00				
				57.60			57.60				
4				54.00			54.00				
				72.00			72.00				
6				54.00			54.00				

See
Jury
Ashton Immediate

NAME: Henry, Kenneth R. ADDRESS: Ashton, Idaho
 DESCRIPTION: SE¼SE¼ CANAL: Marysville

ASSESSMENT RECORD
FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT-ST. ANTHON **IDAHO**

FORM 10-58 (REVISED) CROCKETT VIRGINIA #95088-8

NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WASTE LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINT'NCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSM'TS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
105	15	4710	18840			188 40		157		
			9216			9216		96	61 A.F. to #727	9
			8640			8640				
			11520			11520				
			8640			8640				

Handwritten:
 mending place
 K.C. Pratt

NAME: **K.R. Henry** ADDRESS: **Ashton, Idaho**
 DESCRIPTION: **L-4; L-1; NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$; NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$** CANAL: **Conant Canal**
 SEC: **19** TWP: **8** RNG: **43** ACCT. NO. **761**

ASSESSMENT RECORD
FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT-ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

FORM 10-58 (REVISED) CROCKETT VIRGINIA #95088-8

AR	NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WASTE LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINT'NCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSM'TS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
3	80	0	2400	43.20	28.80		72.00		80		
				45.00	31.20		76.80				
				84.00	40.80		124.80				
			2790						93		
10			2790	53.01	36.27		892.8			16 Supp 1978	
				80.91	50.22		131.13				
24				8649	44.64		131.13				
8			3270	101.37	52.32		153.69		109		
				81.75			81.75				
10				81.75	81.75		81.75				
30			3080	75.75			75.75		101	61 A.F. to #727	
				90.90			90.90				
72				121.20			121.20				
				38.40			38.40		40		

Handwritten:
 Tuckman place
 Mary

Handwritten:
 [Crossed out text]

NAME: **Henry, Kenneth R.** ADDRESS: **Ashton, Idaho**
 DESCRIPTION: **SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$** CANAL: **Conant**
 SEC: **19** TWP: **8** RNG: **43** ACCT. NO. **761**

VERMONT

ASSESSMENT RECORD
ISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT-ST. ANTHO. IDAHO

4646 [VISIBLE] C802

● 2000年10月

[illegible]

4E: Henry, K.R.

ADDRESS:
P.O. Box 4, Ashton, Idaho

DESCRIPTION:
E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$

CANAL: Ind, Rights-Fall River

SEC: 22	TWP: 9	RNG: 44	ACCT. NO. 1064
---------	--------	---------	----------------

FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT-ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

95088-0

[illegible]

IE: Henry, K.R.

P.O. Box 4, Ashton, Idaho

DESCRIPTION:
SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$

CANAL: Marysville

ASSESSMENT RECORD

FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT - ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WASTE LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINTENANCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSMENTS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
7 80		2370	82 95			82 95		79		
			71 10			71 10				
			9480			9480				
			10665			10665				
			82 95			82 95				

E: Henry, K.R.

RIPTION: W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 4, Ashton, Idaho

CANAL: Conant Canal

SEC: 20 TWP: 8 RNG: 43 ACCT. NO. 838

ASSESSMENT RECORD

FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT - ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WASTE LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINTENANCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSMENTS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
7 105	15	2880	100 80			100 80		96		
			8640			8640				
			11520			11520				
			12760			12760				
			100 80			100 80				

E: Henry, K.R.

RIPTION: L-1-4; NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$; NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 4, Ashton, Idaho

CANAL: Conant Canal

ASSESSMENT RECORD

FREMONT-WADSWORTH IRRIGATION DISTRICT ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

AR	NUMBER OF ACRES ACCURSED	WASTE LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINTINCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSMENTS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER
7	40		1050	36 75			36 75		35	
				31 50			31 50			
9				4200			4200			
				4725			4725			
1				36 75			36 75			

ME: Henry, K.R.

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 4, Ashton, Idaho

SCRIPTION: Lc4

CANAL: Conant Canal

SEC: 18 TWP: 8 RANG: 43 AGGT. NO. 829-A

ASSESSMENT RECORD

FREMONT-WADSWORTH IRRIGATION DISTRICT-ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

AR	NUMBER OF ACRES ACCURSED	WASTE LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINTINCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSMENTS	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
37	80		2070	72 45			72 45		69		
				62 10			62 10				
9				8280			8280				
				9315			9315				
11				72 45			72 45				

ME: Henry, K.R.

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 4, Ashton, Idaho

SCRIPTION: S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$; NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$

CANAL: Conant Canal

by 1987 - 618.5 shared.

ASSESSMENT RECORD
FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT-ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

NO.	NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WASTE LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINTENANCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSMENT	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
37	40		5670	198 45			198 45		189		
				170 10			170 10				
39				226 80			226 80				
				255 15			255 15				
71				198 45			198 45				

NAME: Henry, K.R. ADDRESS: P.O. Box 4, Ashton, Idaho
 DESCRIPTION: Tax 2; part NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ CANAL: Ind. Rights-Fall River
 SEC: 23 TWP: 8 RNG: 42 ACCT. NO. 790

ASSESSMENT RECORD
FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT-ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO

NO.	NUMBER OF ACRES ASSESSED	WASTE LAND	ASSESSED BENEFITS	MAINTENANCE RATE PER ACRE	REPAYMENT RATE PER ACRE	SPECIAL	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	UNPAID ASSESSMENT	ACRE FEET	RECEIPT NUMBER	PAID
87	80		2400	84 00			84 00		80		
				72 00			72 00				
39				96 00			96 00				
				108 00			108 00				
71				84 00			84 00				

NAME: Henry, K. R. ADDRESS: P.O. Box 4, Ashton, Idaho
 DESCRIPTION: W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ CANAL: Fall River Canal