

2
RECEIVED

JUN 12 2006

DEPARTMENT OF
WATER RESOURCES

1
2
3 Roger D. Ling, ISB No. 1018
4 Michael P. Tribe, ISB No. 6816
5 LING, ROBINSON & WALKER
6 Attorneys at Law
7 P.O. Box 396
8 Rupert, Idaho 83350
9 Telephone (208) 436-4717
10 Facsimile (208) 436-6804

11 Attorneys for Objector, William A. Poulton

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS**

In Re SRBA) Subcase No. 45-00167A

Case No. 39576)
**OBJECTION TO
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

COMES NOW William A. Poulton, the objector in Water Right No. 45-00167A,
by and through his attorneys of record Ling, Robinson & Walker, and files this *Objection to
Motion for Extension of Time* for the following reasons:

1. That a *pro se* litigant is held to the same standards and rules as those of an
attorney. Golay v. Loomis, 118 Idaho 387, 393, 797 P.2d 95, 101 (1990).

2. That the Court graciously allowed the claimant additional time to file her
response brief to objector's *Motion to Strike*. The Court granted the claimant sufficient time to
prepare such response brief.


3. That claimant, in her *Motion for Extension of Time*, stated that she "was
not familiar with the length of time it would take to prepare the response adequately. In addition,
a few of the affiants in the response were not available during the time prescribed." Again, the

1
2
3 claimant is using the excuse that she is not an attorney to seek advantages over the objector, who
4 is represented by an attorney and is bound by the Rules of Civil Procedure. Further, claimant
5 does not need to interview or talk to any affiants in objector's *Reply*, as claimant should simply
6 be responding to the *Motion to Strike* which deals with claimant's defective exhibits and
7 affidavits.

8 WHEREFORE, for the above-stated reasons, claimant's *Motion for Extension of*
9 *Time* should be denied.

10 DATED this 8th day of June, 2006.

11
12 LING, ROBINSON & WALKER

13 By: 
14 Michael P. Tribe
15 Attorneys for Objector, William A. Poulton
16

17 **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

18 I hereby certify that on the 8th day of June, 2006, I served the original and true and
19 correct copies, respectively, of the foregoing OBJECTION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT as follows:


20 Leah Rose
21 994 S. 150 E.
22 Burley, ID 83318

23 IDWR Document Depository
24 P. O. Box 83720
25 Boise, Idaho 83720-0098

26 Chief, Natural Resources Division
27 Office of the Attorney General
28 State of Idaho
P. O. Box 44449
Boise, Idaho 83711-4449

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

by depositing said document in the United States mail, postage prepaid, in envelopes addressed to said persons/entities at the foregoing addresses.


Michael P. Tribe