Notes

11-1-04 [illegible]

7/7/04 claimant came in and signed the amended claims CB

Notes

4/27/04. Field exam needed. Measuring equip. needed: pygmy meter & flume needed

available: Claimant is available on the dates listed below: May 10 or 11th, 17, 18th, 24, 25, 26th.

Please measure rates; verify pod, and POU. Claimant said there should be some additional land that is not shown on Spatial Dynamics map. Claim needs to be amended to add in-stream stock rates. Stock diversion will be recommended as 0.02 cfs, and Domestic because it is a continuous flow not diverted.

Priority date: ~~11-16-1946~~ 1/1/1934 cfs

Domestic house was burned down abnt 5 yrs ago.

6/17/04 Claim needs to be amended to add the POU found during field exam. - Suggest changing the diversion rate to 4.93 cfs. Because 27-76 is off 7/1.

Is stock watering in stream?

6/30/04 Amended claim to correct POU, PODS and diversion rate. Double check with Alma the stock watering.

4-1-04

Alma Hubbard cell 221-5424

4/20/04 Claim 27-76 claims the same POU.

4/27/04 - 1. When was the home built?
2. Is stock watu instream?
3. Where is Jarvis & Willow Springs?
4. Domestic system, source, continous flow?

The 11-16-1946 is the first Warranty Deed submitted that refers to the property in the S½ of the SW in Section 14 and N½ of the NW in section 23, located in T5S, R42E.

The 1977 Warranty deed from Selma Hubbard to Alma Hubbard conveys specific water on the warranty deed. While the 1946 deed only conveys ... "all water and water rights ..."

Proposed priority date Nov. 16, 1946.

I tried to call Alma a couple times at home but his line was busy and the cell number asks me for the cell box number? CB.
I need to talk to Alma about this claim. The water affidavit doesn't describe the land in S½ SW of Sec. 14, + N½ NW. of Section 23, at all.

Notes

Questions:
- address update needed
- evidence supports a ~~1933~~ date not 1890.
- Change in POD
- Change in POU

12/23/03 sent letter addressing these issues with a 1/23/04 response date.

2/6/04 No response to request.

2/9/04 Needs field exams and measurement

2-25-04 Left Brent Whiting [illegible]

3/9/04 Chg in POD/POU - Alma said it was some time before 1987. I explained to Brent Whiting that we still need a Month, day + year

Brent Whiting 232-6101

232-6109 fax Brent Whiting a copy of the address update

They are still looking for evidence but Brent felt it should be 5 years prior to 1934, 1929 from the affidavit. OK

priority 4/1/1929 CB.

3-17-04 Alma Hunt [illegible] looking [illegible]

[illegible] Title [illegible]

3/25/04 Alma Conner and [illegible] with address

Title

Notes 21-11317 & 27-11317

10/6/03 Please verify the ownership of this property. & if the evidence of property letter dated 5-15-03 went to the correct Owner then refer to Candice/Vicki Music to send a letter requesting evidence.

If the owner is different please send letter with response date requirement.

10-7-03 Per Owner, no. [illegible] irrigated. Pasture [illegible]

10-7-03 [illegible]

10-15-03 [illegible]

10-22-03 Talked to [illegible] office he said they've never a[illegible] this as irrigated. They show it as meadow land, but he thinks Hubbard flood irrigate.

11-4-03 [illegible] called. Wanted [illegible] "ownership, evidence" [illegible] send [illegible] it. VBT

12/23/03 The described Poll in the 1934 affidavit for the decree (Meadow Crk 27-76) and springs described pou is different then the claimed Poll, Address update.

Notes

Claims A27-76 + A27-11317

1/ I met with claimant Alma Hubbard on site on June 2, 2004. The ground inspected is a permissable place of use irrigated by Meadow creek and several Springs. This is all flood irrigation and the entire place of use was crossed by large + small conveyance ditches. The map of the place of use was modified by the applicant + is less acres than originally claimed. Map is attached.

2/ Photo # 1 shows an 18" culvert diversion off Meadow Creek. GPS coordinates are 2702694 1313667 USR, GPS Tag # A0C12286 File # 27-76
Photo # 2 shows Meadow creek side of 18" culvert. The water is too low to run through the culvert

3/ Photos # 3+4 show Jarvis Springs which joins diversion from Meadow Creek in a common ditch. GPS data is, 2702767 1313694 USR
GPS File - JARVIS No GPS Tag

4/ Photos # 5+6 show a Second 18" culvert diversion from Meadow Creek and ruined diversion dam
GPS data, 2702690 1313383 USR GPS Tag # A0C12287
GPS File # - 27-76A

COPY

Notes

2/

5/ Photo #7 shows an unregulated ditch approximately 5' high x 3' wide on Meadow Creek at site of ruined diversion dam. This site also would take Meadow Creek water for irrigation when flows were high enough.

6/ Photo #8 shows Willow Spring which joins Jarvis Spring flow + Meadow Creek (From Diversion) in a common ditch. GPS data is 2702952 1213479 WSP GPS File # - Willow; no GPS tag

7/ Photo #9 shows combined flows of Jarvis + Willow Springs NE Meadow Creek water in ditch since creek was too low to contribute.

8/ Photo #10 shows above conveyance ditch, approx 44" wide x 12" deep. Flows were too slow to move Pygmy meter.

9/ Photo #11 shows the 18" culverts on internal ditch system. Canvas + wood dams used to block culverts + divert flow west toward applicant standing in Pix then South to irrigated fields.

COPY

Notes

3/

1a/ Photos 12, 13 + 14 show Chubb Spring which ponds up + flows out an 18" culvert on the west end and a 36" culvert on the East end. This cut-bank shown in pix 14 is 35' to 40' wide. It combines downstream with the Jones Spring, Willow Spring, Meadow Creek ditch which comes in from the west.

1b/ Photo 15 is the 36" culvert out flow from Chubb Spring. GPS data; 270343 E 4313503 N USR GPS tag # 400,1258, GPS File # CHUBB

All this water can eventually inject into Meadow Creek if not used. I was able to take a flow measurement at this juncture. Measurement notes are attached + represent combined Spring flows. Total was 4.73 cfs.

COPY

IDWR Field Exam Form 04/03/2002

Contacts Data Entry Form

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Phone
Current Owner	Alma A Hubbard	Rt 1 Box 34	Co.			

Name connector: and ____, or ____, and/or ____

Current Owner _____

Current Owner _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: Alma Hubbard. Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: 6/2/04 Time: 10AM.

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

Reviewer: CB Date: 6/17/04

IDWR Field Exam Form 04/03/2002

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-11317

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: 4/1/1934 Recommended Basis B.U.

_____ **Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis**

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

Warranty deeds.

Reviewer: CD Date: 12/23/03

Priority Date

Claim No. 27 - 11317

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes (___) No (X)
   If Yes, go to 2
   If No, go to 4

2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 3a
   If No, go to 4

3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
   If No, go to 4

3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 11
   If No, go to 4

4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes (___) No (X)
   If Yes, go to 5
   If No, go to 7

5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 6
   If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15

6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
   If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15

7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes (___) No (X)
   If Yes, go to 10e
   If No, go to 8

8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes (___) No (X)
   If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
   If No, go to 9

9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes (___) No (X)
   If Yes, go to 11
   If No, go to 12

10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
   If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15

11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 12
   If No, disallow with condition P01.

12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes (X) No (___)
   If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
   If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13

13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
   If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14

14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 15
   If No, disallow with condition P01.

15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? B. U. Yes (X) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 16
   If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.

16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes (___) No (X)
   If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
   If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

IDWR Field Exam Form 04/03/2002

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-11317

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec. ____, ____, ____, ____. County: ____

Source: ____ Tributary: ____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec. ____, ____, ____, ____. County: ____

Source: ____ Tributary: ____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec. ____, ____, ____, ____. County: ____

Source: ____ Tributary: ____

____ **Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source**

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source	
5S	42E	10		SW SE NE	Caribou	Jarvis Spring	File JARVIS
GPS Coordinates:		2702707			1313694 USR	NO TAG	
5S	42E	10		NE NE SE	caribou	willow Springs	File willow
GPS Coordinates:		2702952			1313479 USR	NO TAG	
5S	42E	11		SE SW NW	Caribou	Chubb Springs	File Chubb
GPS Coordinates:		2703433			1313302 USR	NO TAG#	Apr, 2003
GPS Coordinates:							
GPS Coordinates:							
GPS Coordinates:							
GPS Coordinates:							

Other rights from this POD? ____

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

link POD File Chubb ; JARVIS; willow

Transfers Changing POD NO

Reviewer: CB Date: 2/5/04

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27-11

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes (___) No (X)
   - If Yes, go to 4
   - If No, go to 2

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes (___) No (X)
   - If Yes, go to 3
   - If No, go to 6

3. Has the License been issued? Yes (___) No (___)
   - If Yes, go to 5
   - If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

4. Does the parent right have a POD? Yes (___) No (___)
   - If Yes, go to 5
   - If No, go to 6

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file? Yes (___) No (___)
   - If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10
   - If No, go to 6

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD? Yes (___) No (X)
   - If Yes, go to 7
   - If No, go to 9

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer? Yes (___) No (___)
   - If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9
   - If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

8. Was a transfer filed? Yes (___) No (___)
   - If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10
   - If No, go to 9

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD? Yes (X) No (___)
   - If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10
   - If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required? Yes (___) No (___)
    - If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete
    - If No, POD review is complete.

Source

Claim No. 27-115.1

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes (___) No (X)
   - If Yes, go to 4
   - If No, go to 2

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes (___) No (X)
   - If Yes, go to 3
   - If No, go to 6

3. Has the License been issued? Yes (___) No (___)
   - If Yes, go to 5
   - If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

4. Does the parent right have a Source? Yes (___) No (___)
   - If Yes, go to 5
   - If No, go to 7

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file? Yes (___) No (___)
   - If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
   - If No, go to 7

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice? Yes (___) No (X)
   - If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
   - If No, go to 7

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source? Yes (___) No (X)
   - If Yes, go to 8
   - If No, go to 10

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer? Yes (___) No (___)
   - If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
   - If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

9. Did the claimant file a transfer? Yes (___) No (___)
   - If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
   - If No, go to 10

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source? Yes (X) No (___)
    - If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
    - If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required? Yes (___) No (X)
    - If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
    - If No, Source review is complete.

Source

IDWR Field Exam Form

04/03/2002

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-11317

_____ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

field exam

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use NO

Reviewer: QB

Date: 1/3/01

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 27-11544

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
   If Yes, go to 4
   If No, go to 2
   Yes (___) No (___)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
   If Yes, go to 3
   If No, go to 6
   Yes (___) No (___)

3. Has the License been issued?
   If Yes, go to 5
   If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13
   Yes (___) No (___)

4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?
   If Yes, go to 5
   If No, go to 6
   Yes (___) No (___)

5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?
   If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
   If No, go to 6
   Yes (___) No (___)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?
   If Yes, go to 7
   If No, go to 11
   Yes (___) No (___)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
   If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
   If No, go to 8
   Yes (___) No (___)

8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?
   If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
   If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12
   Yes (___) No (___)

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
   If Yes, go to 11
   If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
   Yes (___) No (___)

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
    If Yes, go to 11
    If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
    Yes (___) No (___)

11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?
    If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
    If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
    Yes (X) No (___)

12. Did the claimant file a transfer?
    If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
    If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
    Yes (___) No (___)

13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
    If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
    If No, purpose of Use review is complete.
    Yes (___) No (___)

Purpose of Use

IDWR Field Exam Form 04/03/2002

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-11317.

X Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Document how the POU was verified:

field exam

Transfers Changing POU NB

Reviewer: CB

Date: 7/8/04

Place of Use

Claim No. 22-113

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License. Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 2a
   If No, go to 2b

2a. Is a POU required? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 5
   If No, go to 14

2b. Is a POU required? Yes (X) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 3
   If No, go to 14

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 4
   If No, go to 7

4. Has the License been issued? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 6
   If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

5. Does the parent right have a POU? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 6
   If No, go to 7

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14
   If No, go to 7

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU? Yes (X) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 8
   If No, go to 13

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer? Yes (X) No (___)
   If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13
   If No, go to 9

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10
   If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

10. Was an enlargement claim filed? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 13
   If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 13
   If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

12. Was a transfer filed? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14
   If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU? Yes (X) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 14
   If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/ or condition. POU review is complete.
   If No, POU review is complete.

IDWR Field Exam Form

04/03/2002

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-11317

X Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

field exam

Reviewer: CB

Date: 4/3/02

. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes (___) No (X)
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes (___) No (X)
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

. Has the License been issued? Yes (___) No (___)
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18

. Does the parent right have a Period of Use? Yes (___) No (___)
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? Yes (___) No (___)
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? Yes (___) No (X)
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12

7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use? Yes (___) No (___)
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8

8 Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer? Yes (___) No (___)
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11

9. Was an enlargement claim filed? Yes (___) No (___)
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

0. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? Yes (___) No (___)
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12

1. Was a transfer filed? Yes (___) No (___)
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12

2. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? Yes (X) No (___)
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13

3. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? Yes (___) No (X)
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15

4. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes (___) No (___)
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18

5. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes (X) No (___)
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18

6. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? Yes (___) No (___)
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18

7. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use? Yes (___) No (___)
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18

8. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required? Yes (___) No (X)
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.

Period of Use

Quantity

Claim No. 27-

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 4
   If No, go to 2

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 3
   If No, go to 6

3. Has the License been issued? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 5
   If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 5
   If No, go to 7

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 8
   If No, go to 7

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes (___) No (X)
   If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
   If No, go to 7

7. Is a flow measurement required? Yes (X) No (___)
   If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
   If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 10
   If No, go to 9

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? Yes (___) No (___)
   If Yes, go to 10
   If No, go to 11

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use? Yes (___) No (___)
    If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
    If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer? Yes (___) No (___)
    If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
    If No, go to 12

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required? Yes (___) No (___)
    If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
    If No, quantity review is complete.

Quantity

Summary

Claim No. 27 - 1

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? Yes ( ) No (X)
   If Yes, go to 2
   If No, go to 3

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? Yes ( ) No ( )
   If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
   If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed? Yes (X) No ( )
   If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
   If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended? Yes ( ) No ( )
   If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
   If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? Yes (X) No ( )
   If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6
   If No, go to 6

6. Would you like to view the recommended right? Yes (X) No ( )
   If Yes, go to 7
   If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right? Yes ( ) No ( )
   If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8
   If No, go to 8

8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? Yes ( ) No ( )
   If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9
   If No, go to 9

9. Would you like to set the verification date? Yes (X) No ( )
   If Yes, the review is complete.
   If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Summary

Claim No.: _____

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18

C05

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

NO

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

yes

Claim No.: 27-11317 Reviewer CB Date 7/8/21

State of Idaho
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276
Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

July 1, 2004

Alma Hubbard
P.O. Box 341
Grace, ID 83241

Ref: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Notice of Claims 27-76 and 27-11317

Subject: Amending the Notice of Claims

RESPONSE DATE: JULY 16, 2004

Dear Alma,

I had you on my calendar for today at 9am for an appointment to amend the above-mentioned claims. When I didn't see you I amended the claims per our previous discussions and the field examination done by Harold Jones. I've enclosed amended claims for 27-76 and 27-11317 for you to review. Please review the claims closely and if you agree with the amended claims please sign them in front of a notary and return them to my office by the above response date.

If you have any questions on the amended claims please feel free to contact me in my office to discuss it.

Respectfully,

Cindy E. Bird
Adjudication Bureau

Enclosure: Amended Notice of Claim to water rights 27-76 & 27-11317.

A27-76



Headgate off Meadow Creek

COPY

A27-76



Meadow Creek

at culvert site

COPY

A27-11317



Jarvis Springs

COPY

A27-11317



Jarvis Springs

COPY

A 27-76




water
Should be here, but
flows are too low

2nd Meadow Creek Intrusion

COPY

A27-11317



Ruined diversion dam on Maidaa Creek

COPY

A27-76



unregulated irrigation ditch on Meadow Creek

Ditch adjacent to 2nd culvert diversion on Meadow Creek

COPY

A27-11317



Willow Springs

COPY

A27-76 & A27-11317



Ditch that carries Spring & Meadow Creek diversions

COPY

A27-76 & A27-11317



Canmar Conveyance ditch

COPY

A27-76 + A27-11317



2, 18" Culvert that redistributes ditch flows

Common Conveyance Ditch

COPY

A27-11317



Chubb Springs

COPY

A27-11317



Chalk Springs

COPY

A27-76 + A27-11317



COPY

A27-11317




26" Culvert outflow @ Chubb Springs

COPY

Water Right Claim Number 27-00076

11/29/2000

Township , 5S42E



Legend

- Irrigated Area
- Place of Diversion
- Section Line
- Quarter Section Line
- Roads
- Streams and Canals

COPY

N

500 0 500 1000 Feet


SPATIAL DYNAMICS

Places of use modified by Applicant! 6-2-2004

Water Right Claim Number 27-11317

12/14/2000


Township , 5S42E



Water Right Claim Number 27-00076

11/29/2000

Township , 5S42E



Legend

- Irrigated Area
- Place of Diversion
- Section Line
- Quarter Section Line
- Roads
- Streams and Canals

N

500 0 500 1000 Feet

SPATIAL DYNAMICS

WATER DISTRICT NO. 01

Date June 2[illegible], 19__ **DISCHARGE MEASUREMENT NOTES**

_____ River at _____

Angle coefficient	Dist. from initial point	Width	Depth	Observation depth	Revolutions	Time in seconds	VELOCITY: At point	VELOCITY: ...ent in vertical	Adjusted for hor. angle or _____	Area	Discharge
	.	1.0									
	.	1.0	.4		2		1.			.	.
	.	1.0	.		3		1.			.	.
	4.0	1.0	.7		[illegible]		1.			.	1.
	5.0	1.0	.8		[illegible]	[illegible]	1.[illegible]			.	.
	6.0	1.0	.9		52	[illegible]	[illegible]			[illegible]	[illegible]
	7.0	1.0	.1		43	40	1.00			[illegible]	.9[illegible]
	8.0	.5	[illegible]		[illegible]						
											7.45
⊙											[illegible]

No. _____ of _____ Sheets. Comp. by _____ Chk. by _____

.0 .10 .20 .30 .40 .50 .60 .70 .75

.90 .85 .90 .92 .94 .96 .97 .96 .90 1.00 .90 .96 .97 .96 .94 .92 .90 .85 .90

Claim AR1-11317

COPY

Notes


Original Notes

Meadow Creek - GPS 2702694
1313667

GPS Tag A0012286 File 27-76

Photo 1 & 2 - Headgate of Meadow Creek (Low)
18" Diam Culvert - GPS 2702707
1313694

(Photos 3 & 4 Jarvis Springs File # JARVIS



Photos 5 & 6 - 2nd 18" culvert diversion from Meadow Creek
GPS - 2702690
1313303
File # 27-76A
Tag A0012287

Photo 7 - Second Ditch by Hwy POD - unregulated (5' x 3' size)

Photo 8 - Willow Spring GPS 2702952
1313479

Photo 9 - Combined flows of Willow Spring, Jarvis Spring & Meadow Creek (No water)
File # Willow


Conveyance Ditch - 44" wide x 12" deep

Photo 10 - Meter - flow too slow to move it

COPY

Photo 11 – 2 - 18" culverts - Canvas + wood dams can be installed to back water up toward applicant + thru South

Photo 12, 13, 14



COPY