

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Barbara

SUBJECT: Layout

FROM: Cindy

DATE: 1/27/05

Please see Skip's map for POI. POD is the
Miners ditch, NOT Groundwater. Please
show the ditch as the POD.

Skip has indicated some areas that
need to be taken out of the POI.

Then it is ready for the ECVR.

() For your information

☒ Response required

CB
signature

References

Contact
Help Desk

Document
Management
Search

Launch
ArcView

Administrative
Rules

Query
Tools

Data Entry
Standards

Show Help

Screen ID
384

Process:
Claim
Verification
Record
Step:
Update
Information

Quick Look

Contacts

Priority Date

POD

Source

POU

Water Use

Period

Review/Verify Log

Status

Claim Number

27

10999

Version Number

1

Check List

☒ Priority Date☒ Contacts☒ Point of Diversion☒ Source☐ Place of Use☒ Purpose of Use☒ Period of Use☒ Quantity☐ Summary

Yes to All

Contacts

Comments

Author

CBIRD

Text

Subj

Date

01

- 27

- 2005

Link POU, answer POU questions.
No summary page.
- Print Preliminary report..

4/27/05
CB

I added F01 & P21. Computers should auto-matically add C18.

Hide References

Next Step

Return to Home

Previous
Steps:

Select Claim

Screen
ID 384

Notes

A27-10999

1/ Exam done 11-20-2003 with Gary Empey.

2/ This claim should be numbered A27-00020 with a suffix.

3/ Source is the Blackfoot River via the Minus Ditch. Original handwritten claim is correct. When the Department did the data entry a mistake was made on "source" and a "well" or "groundwater" was typed in.

4/ The P.O.D shown on the Spatial Dynamics map is incorrect. The P.O.D is the Pump station for the Minus Ditch at GPS point 0633411 File-MINIER
0231919 GPS Tag A0009078

Notes

10-1-03. Claim is based on decree
(27-C Stevens) with a source
of Blackfoot River. Need a letter
sent to claimants requesting
information on the source charge, -
going to have Skip check this out.

1/27/05 This is our only claim for Empey.
Please recommend as noted. CB.

Contacts Data Entry Form

[illegible]

Current Owner _____

Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____

Current Owner Claim

Current Owner _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: Gary Empey Phone Number: 7856292

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: 11-20-03 Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are approximately 20 lines visible. The paper appears to be a standard notebook page.

Reviewer: CB

Date: 1/5/04

Contacts Data Entry Form

Priority Date Data Entry FormClaim Number: A27-10999

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: 5-1-1878 Recommended Basis: Decree - William Kirkpatrick☐ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

decree (27-C)

Reviewer: CBDate: 1/5/04**Priority Date Data Entry Form**

Priority DateClaim No. 27-10999

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. *decree*
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-10999

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

____ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
3S	35E	9	1	SE NE	Buttman	Blackfoot Run
GPS Coordinates: <u>0633411</u>				<u>0231919</u>		
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						

Other rights from this POD? Many - This is Miner's Ditch

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

On-siteLink GPS for the Miner's ditchGPSTAG A0009078.Transfers Changing POD None.Reviewer: CBDate: 1/3/04

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27-10999

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes ☒ No ☐

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes ☐ No ☐

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes ☐ No ☐

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

Yes ☐ No ☒

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10

If No, go to 6

Yes ☐ No ☐

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 9

Yes ☐ No ☒

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes ☐ No ☐

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes ☐ No ☐

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes ☒ No ☐

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete

If No, POD review is complete.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Point of Diversion

Source

Claim No. 27-10999

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes (☒) No (☐)
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes (☐) No (☐)
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11
Yes (☐) No (☐)
4. Does the parent right have a Source?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
Yes (☒) No (☐)
5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7
Yes (☒) No (☐)
6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7
Yes (☐) No (☐)
7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10
Yes (☐) No (☐)
8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9
Yes (☐) No (☐)
9. Did the claimant file a transfer?
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10
Yes (☐) No (☐)
10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?
If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
Yes (☐) No (☐)
11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.
Yes (☐) No (☒)

Source

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-10999☐ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other
Irrigation			*	

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

* calculate shape file acres
on-site was done

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use NOReviewer: CBDate: 1/27/05

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 27-10999

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes ☒ No ☐
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes ☒ No ☐
5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6
Yes ☒ No ☐
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☐
7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8
Yes ☐ No ☐
8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
Yes ☐ No ☐
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
12. Did the claimant file a transfer?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☒

Purpose of Use

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-10999☐ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Tw	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Tw	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
35	35R2	9	NW	NE	Bingham	2	*	Irving

Total Acres _____

Document how the POU was verified:

* recalculate shape on mapTransfers Changing POU NOReviewer: CBDate: 1/27/05

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Place of Use

Claim No. _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 2a
If No, go to 2b
- 2a. Is a POU required?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 14
- 2b. Is a POU required?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 14
3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 7
4. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 6
If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14
5. Does the parent right have a POU?
If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 7
6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14
If No, go to 7
7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 13
8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13
If No, go to 9
9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?
If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10
If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12
10. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 13
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11
11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 13
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
12. Was a transfer filed?
If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14
14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POU review is complete.
If No, POU review is complete.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Place of Use

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-10999

☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4-1	10-31	* 0.06	

Overall Diversion Rate 0.06 ^{0.05 CB}

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

* original right 27-20 is overclaimed

According to the miner's ditch Impsey
has 2 1/2 inches CB

Reviewer: CB

Date: 4/27/05

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes ☒ No ☐
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☒
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☒
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
Yes ☐ No ☐
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
Yes ☐ No ☐
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Was a transfer filed?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☐
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
Yes ☒ No ☐
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
Yes ☐ No ☒
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
Yes ☐ No ☐
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
Yes ☒ No ☐
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards?
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
Yes ☐ No ☐
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use?
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
Yes ☐ No ☐
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☒

Period of Use

Quantity

Claim No. 27-10999

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☒)

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☒)

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Quantity

Summary

Claim No. 27-10999

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2

If No, go to 3

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4

If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☒)

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8

If No, go to 8

Yes (☒) No (☐)

8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Summary

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18

P21

Exp.

Remark

27-20

F01

Exp

Remark

minus ditch

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

yes

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

yes

Claim No.:

27-10999

Reviewer

QB

Date

1/27/05

Basin No.	Sequence Number	Split Suffix	Description	R	D	Basis of a Claim	WY-mm-dd Priority Date	File Movement	Remarks	Y N/A Layout	Usage	Other Water Rights Used	Stevens Decree #	Total CFS	Stevens Recommended
27	20	C	Ellsworth Family Trust			Decreed	1878-05-01	To skip 10/14/03. To skip 10/14/03. To City			Irrigation		20	0.80	0
27	10999		Empey, Gary L.			Decreed	1878-05-01	11/24/03 To skip 10/14/03. To City			Irrigation		20	0.09	0.05
27	20	A	Lilja, Claude E. Elmyr			Decreed	1878-05-01	11/24/03 To skip 10/14/03. To City			Irrigation		20	0.20	
27	20	B	Steecklein, LeVerelle			Decreed	1878-05-01	11/24/03 To skip 10/14/03. To City			Irrigation		20	1.00	
27	11117		Warren, John & Lisa			Decreed	1878-05-01		Grover, Decore, previous owner		Irrigation		20	0.03	
2	Disallowed						2	R2 = Reserved - No further action					5	2.12	2.00

Miner's Ditch Water

Water Rights in Inches

Name	Eastern Idaho	Corbett Slough	Kirpatrick	Fox Whitten 1880	Fox Whitten 1885	Leon Mislin	John Mislin	Blackfoot Irrigation	Robert Millen	James Welch
~~Empey~~			2.5							
McNutt			1							
Hoge			36.5							
Kirpatrick			5							
Lilya			5							
Collard				20						
Dechant			50							
Hinderager	66.7	9								
Himilton		74			35	12				
Burgeman, Ed	2.5									
Burgeman, David	2									14
Cristensen	1.5									
Petersen	1									
Smith	51				15					
Hinderager	33.3	55								
Hill					24			50		
Stokes		21.3							41.7	12
Cushman		12								
Lindsey		10								
Mickelson		21							43.5	
Colson										
Haddon									5	
Bingham									4	
Carson	12								5	
Elison										
Younie Ditch									5.8	
Geist						31.5	120			
Total	170	202.3	100	20	74	48	120	50	105	26

Miner's Ditch

Inches	CFS	Sum	Priority Date	
48.0	0.96	0.96	4/1/1873	Leon Misslen
120.0	2.40	3.36	7/1/1874	J Misslen
100.0	2.00	5.36	5/1/1878	Kirkpatrick
20.0	0.40	5.76	3/25/1880	Fox & Whitten
102.0	2.04	7.80	5/25/1880	Eastern Idaho
	0.00	7.80	5/1/1885	Leon Misslen
120.0	2.40	10.20	5/1/1885	Misslen
74.0	1.48	11.68	6/1/1885	Fox & Whitten
68.0	1.36	13.04	10/1/1885	Eastern Idaho
26.0	0.52	13.56	6/20/1886	Welch
202.3	4.05	17.61	4/1/1939	Corbett Slough
50.0	1.00	18.61	4/1/1939	Blackfoot Irrigation

11/1/25
CB

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Skip
FROM: Cindy

SUBJECT: Source chg.
DATE: 10-1-03

The basis of this claim is the Stevens
deceit. So, the deceit source is Blackfoot
River, but they claimed G.W. (27-20)

Please check out for me,

When did change occur?

27-20 is completely claimed in SR BA under
A, B & C already?

() For your information

(X) Response required

CB
signature

A27-10999

P.O.U. looking North

Fence on
west edge of
property



#1

A27-10999 P.O.U looking North



#2

A27-10999 Pou Looking NE.




South boundary
Fence

#3

Water Right Claim Number 27-10999
Township , 3S35E

01/05/2001

No - Minor Ditch Hookup
is
P.O.D



Legend

Irrigated Area	Section Line	Roads
Place of Diversion	Quarter Section Line	Streams and Canals



INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Bartana

SUBJECT: Source chg letter

FROM: CB

DATE: 10-1-03

If Skip can't determine the source
change then send them a letter like the
attached sample

() For your information

☒ Response required

CB
signature

PRIORITY

Letter No. 8

Claim based on posted notice, priority claimed later than date of posting

The Notice of Claim listed above is based on a posted notice, and claims a priority date later than the date of posting shown on the posted notice. To establish the priority date of the water right claimed, you must submit one of two types of evidence.

First, you may submit evidence of a priority date as of the date the notice was posted. Such evidence must show completion of the water right described in the posted notice by actual use within a reasonable time following the date the notice was posted. Completion within five years is generally considered reasonable, but longer periods may be considered reasonable depending on the circumstances. If you choose this option, you may wish to amend your Notice of Claim to claim the date of posting, which is earlier than the priority date claimed.

Second, you may submit evidence of a priority date as of the date of beneficial use. Such evidence must tend to show the earliest date the water was put to actual use. If such evidence is submitted, then your claim will be treated as a claim based on beneficial use. A brochure describing the types of evidence that can be submitted for this purpose is enclosed.

Your response must be received by this office by the response date shown above. If no response is received, then your claim will be recommended for disallowal for lack of proof of priority date.

SOURCE AND ~~PD~~

Letter No. 9

**Claim based on decree or license, date of change;
~~Claim based on beneficial use, no change/date of change~~**

IDWR's initial investigation of the Notice of Claim listed above indicates that there has been a change in [source] ~~[point of diversion]~~ as described below:

[Previous source: *Blackfoot River*
New source:]

[Previous point(s) of diversion: *Claimed Source: GWR*
~~New point(s) of diversion:~~]

A water right owner who has made a change in use of a water right prior to commencement of the SRBA without prior IDWR approval may claim the change in the SRBA. IDWR may recommend a right as changed, however, only if the change meets certain requirements. One of those requirements is that the change not result in injury to other water rights, or that the change be conditioned to prevent injury to other water rights.

[If the claim is based on a decree or license, then include the following paragraph.]

Before IDWR may recommend your claim, you must provide IDWR with the date the change was made and some evidence tending to support that date. If the change was made before November 19, 1987, then the date provided must include the month, day and year. If the change was made after November 19, 1987, then a specific date is not necessary, and the evidence need only support that the change occurred sometime after November 19, 1987. An information sheet is enclosed that describes the types of evidence that can be submitted for showing the date of the change.

[If the claim is based on beneficial use, then include the following paragraph.]

Before IDWR may recommend your claim, you either must provide IDWR with evidence that there has been no change (that the current [source] [point of diversion] is the original [source] [point of diversion]), or you must provide IDWR with the date the change was made and some evidence tending to support that date. If the change was made before November 19, 1987, then the date provided must include the month, day and year. If the change was made after November 19, 1987, then a specific date is not necessary, and the evidence need only support that the change occurred sometime after November 19, 1987. An information sheet is enclosed that describes the types of evidence that can be submitted for showing the date of the change.

[For all letters, include the following paragraph.]

Your response must be received by this office by the response date shown above. If no response is received, then your claim to water from the new [source] ~~[point of diversion]~~ will not be recommended to be decreed.

Response date: 10-24-03.