

Notes

2/6/05 recommend as claimed.

Check IDWR Standard SOL. 4/1 - 10/31
or 4/15 - 11/15?

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Barbara

SUBJECT: Pol layout

FROM: Cindy

DATE: 2/6/05

please add the zoomed POL to
the layout.

also check IDWR STD SOL!

what is the SOL? 4/1 - 10/31


Also: Add a copy of Robert Millen analysis.

Ready for LCVR work

() For your information

() Response required

signature



Larry Hinderager

Larry's amended claim correcting the POU from the NENE to the NWNE in T3S R35E, sec. 8 now connects his piece with "L" the State of Idaho Public Lands to Finetta E. Stevens, dated July 21, 1909.

Finetta E. Stevens

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:- GREETING:

WHEREAS, **Finetta E. Stevens**, of Bingham County, Idaho has deposited in the General Land Office of the United States a Certificate of the Register of the Land office at Blackfoot, Idaho, whereby it appears that full payment has been made by the said Finetta E. Stevens, according to the provisions of the Act of Congress on the 24th day of April, 1820, entitled, "An Act making further provisions for the Sale of the Public Lands," and the acts supplemental thereto, for the

Lots numbered 1, 2 and 4 of Section 8 in Township 3 South of Range 35 E.B.M., in Idaho, containing 105 acre and 94 hundredths of an acre, according to the Official Plat of the Survey of the said lands returned to the general Land Office by the Surveyor General, which said tract was purchased by the said Finetta E. Stevens.

NOW, KNOW YE, That the United States of America in consideration of the premises, and in conformity with the several Acts of Congress in such case made and provided, have given and granted, and by these presents do give and grant, unto the said Finetta E. Stevens, and to his heirs, the said tract above described.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the same, together with all the rights, privileges, immunities and appurtenances of whatsoever nature thereunto belonging, unto the said Finetta E. Stevens, and to her heirs and assigns forever, subject to any vested and accrued water rights for mining, agricultural, manufacturing or other purposes, and rights to ditches and reservoirs used in connection with such water rights, as may be recognized and acknowledged by the local customs, laws and decisions of Courts and also subject to the right of the proprietor of a vein or lode, to extract and remove his ore therefrom, should the same be found to penetrate or intersect the premises hereby granted, as provided by law, and there is reserved from the lands hereby granted, a right of way thereon for ditches or canals constructed by the authority of the United States.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I, William McKinley, President of the United States of America, have caused these letters to be made Patent, and the Seal of the General Land Office to be hereunto affixed.

Given under my hand, at the City of Washington, July 21st, 1909.

U. S. SEAL

BY THE PRESIDENT, William McKinley
 F. M. McKean, Secretary
 C. H. Bush, Recorder of the General Land Office.

Recorded- June 1st, 1910 at-
 1:32 P.M., in Book "I" of-
 Deeds at page 217 of the re-
 cords of Bingham County, Idaho-

GL 1 in NW NE
 GL 2 " SE NW
 GL 4 " SW NW

T3S, R3E

(L)

Notes

8/12/04 Cont'd

- Larry will check the old Miner's Ditch records to see if the Hoper's had other shares prior to the purchase of the property.
- He is also going to see if the Hoper's had other land when they purchased this that they could of moved water off of.
- He will also check with Merlin Yancy to see if he has found any information.

9-24-04 Letter from Claire about 9/11 is a book.
certificates, accounts, etc.

Notes

7/18/01 Amended claim in J.F. with
Ernie & Larry CB

7/19/01 mailed claimants a letter
requesting information on ownership
of right.

8/1/01 Larry ~~has~~ has a 2:30 apt today. He brought in

several documents back to Robert Miller on this
night.

8/17/04 Larry ~~has~~ has a 2:30 apt today. He brought in
several documents back to Robert Miller on this
night.

Fred Stevens acquired the right (100" of the Blackfoot)
from probate from Robert Miller. He sold some land
and the 100" of Blackfoot River water to Richard Lowder
in 1922. The Hofer purchased the land and water
from Mr. Lowder.

In 1925 the Hofers split the property and
conveyed 76 shares to Albert Hofer (Miner's Ditch)
and 44 shares to (George Hofer) also in the
Miner's Ditch. If the shares are worth
1 inch then they just conveyed more than 100"
of water.

Notes

6/15/04 3:15pm Larry called and left a message that he just got back and received the letter but was leaving again. Couldn't get to this until end of July. 785-5678. We have been trying to get information from Mr. Henderager since November/December 2003.

This claim is not the same place as Larry Henderager. The plat map from the County is in the NWNE of Sec. 8 not NENE of Sec. 8. T3S R35E.

4pm. I called Larry back to explain we have 2 problems.

1. The legal doesn't match the Warranty deed & County plat.

2. That what water from the Blackfoot is on this piece of property.

Larry is going to try and get the information on the legal squared away before he leaves. He will call Thursday to maybe meet me in I.F. with the information - CB.

6-21-04 Received amended claim & ownership change from claimant. DB

Notes

Claim A27-6756

- 1/ I tracked the ownership of Mr. Waring's property as it appears on the included Instruments Record. It appears to have become Mr. Hinders property in Dec. 2003.
 - 2/ John Ellison seems to be the one who divided the property into lots 1 & 2 with the original intent of building 2 homes. This never happened & Larry Hinders bought the 2 lots shown outlined in "green" as original tax # 7294.
 - 3/ The Quit claim deed enclosed, from Linda Waring to June Ziegler has the original metes & bounds description. The assessors office in Bingham County tells me that metes & bounds were abandoned when property became "Ellison Subdivision, lots 1 & 2".
No comparison record seems to exist.
- 6-8-04 Letter to claimant re ownership. BP

Notes

- 1-22-04 Received warrant from claimant.
- 1-26-04 Received warrant from claimant.
- 2-4-04 Talked to Bingham City Assessor's office.
Kathy said T729H is located in T35, R35E
Section 8 - NOT Section 9. Hinderager
apparently is the wrong person. BAP
- 2-4-04 See memo to file for recap of research.
- 2-4-04 Received FAX from Bingham Assessor.

Notes

10-20-03 Bingham Co records show
C. Larry Hinderager as the new owner
address 434 West Riverton, Blackfoot
Sent ownership change letter to him.

11-18-03 Phoned Larry 785-5678 to ask
him to return ownership change to
answer, left message.

11-18-03 2:00 pm CB Larry called me to
say that he does not plan to stay in
from Jordan. He is a 100% owner. He
thought that the price was too high
but they had not agreed to buy it yet.
I said that I was willing to buy it
to look at it. I was willing to
be here in the morning. I was
in I F & T. I was

Larry is also a partner in the
Aviation Company and is a 100% owner.

12-4-03 Met with Larry Hinderager
in Blackfoot at the Aviation
Carol meeting. He has a copy of
copy of his warrants. I did not.

12-10-03 Larry Hinderager 785-5678. He
is working on the 100% share. I was
island. I was a 100% owner.

Contacts Data Entry Form

[illegible]

Current Owner See Adam

Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____

Current Owner _____

Current Owner _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: _____ Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the paper.

Reviewer: 12/15

Date: 1/17/04

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-10756

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: 5-1-1885 Recommended Basis: Decree☐ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

Decree
Robert Miller water

Reviewer: CBDate: 2/4/05

Priority Date

Claim No. _____ - _____

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. *decree*
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-10756

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____. County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____. County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____. County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

____ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
3S	35E	9	1	NWSENE	Bingham	Blackfoot R

GPS Coordinates: 43.1762671 -112.3585806Miners
ditch

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

Other rights from this POD? yes, Miners ditch

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

Transfers Changing POD MReviewer: CBDate: 2/4/05

Point of Diversion

Claim No. -

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☒)

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (☒) No (☐)

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete

If No, POD review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Source**Claim No.** -

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes ☒ No ☐
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a Source?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
Yes ☒ No ☐
5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7
Yes ☒ No ☐
6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7
Yes ☐ No ☐
7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10
Yes ☐ No ☐
8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Did the claimant file a transfer?
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10
Yes ☐ No ☐
10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?
If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☒

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-10756☒ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
35	35E	8	NWNE		Bingham		5.4	Irrigation

Total Acres 5.4

Document how the POU was verified:

1987/88 Napp photography

Transfers Changing POU NoReviewer: CBDate: 2/6/05

Place of UseClaim No. -

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 2a

If No, go to 2b

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2a. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 14

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2b. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 6

If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

If Yes, go to 6

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10

If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 13

If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 13

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

If Yes, go to 14

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/ or condition. POU review is complete.

If No, POU review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-10756☒ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

1987/88 N App photo grazing
done

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use noReviewer: MBDate: 2/4/05

Purpose of Use

Claim No. _____ - _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes (☒) No (☐)
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes (☐) No (☐)
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13
Yes (☐) No (☐)
4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes (☒) No (☐)
5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6
Yes (☒) No (☐)
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11
Yes (☐) No (☐)
7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8
Yes (☐) No (☐)
8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12
Yes (☐) No (☐)
9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
Yes (☐) No (☐)
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
Yes (☐) No (☐)
11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes (☐) No (☐)
12. Did the claimant file a transfer?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes (☐) No (☐)
13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.
Yes (☐) No (☒)

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-10756☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/1	10/31	0.12	

Overall Diversion Rate 0.12 Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

IDWR STD 504.

Reviewer: CBDate: 2/4/05

Period of Use

Claim No. _____ - _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes (☒) No (☐)
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes (☐) No (☐)
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
Yes (☐) No (☐)
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes (☐) No (☒)
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
Yes (☐) No (☐)
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
Yes (☐) No (☐)
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
Yes (☐) No (☐)
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
Yes (☐) No (☐)
9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
Yes (☐) No (☐)
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
Yes (☐) No (☐)
11. Was a transfer filed?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
Yes (☐) No (☐)
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
Yes (☐) No (☐)
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
Yes (☐) No (☐)
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
Yes (☐) No (☐)
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
Yes (☐) No (☐)
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards?
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
Yes (☐) No (☐)
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use?
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
Yes (☐) No (☐)
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.
Yes (☐) No (☐)

Quantity

Claim No. -

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9

If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☒)

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☒)

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.

If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Summary

Claim No. -

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2

If No, go to 3

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4

If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☒)

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8

If No, go to 8

Yes (☒) No (☐)

8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

NO, combined limits

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18

F01 ~~Q~~ sup rem. conveyed thru miners stock

P21 " " split from 27-3.

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

yes

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

Claim No.:

27-10756

Reviewer

CB

Date

2/6/05

Basin No.	Sequence Number	Split Suffix	Description	R	D	Basis of a Claim	yyyymm-dd Priority Date	File Movement	Remarks	Y N/A Layout	Usage	Other Water Rights Used	Stevens Decree #	Total CFS
27	3	G	Bingham, Paul	R		Decreed	1885-05-01	To Skip 8/13/03 To Cindy 3/9/04	Link to Stevens 27-3, 57, 29? Claimed 108	Y	irrigation		s	0.10
27	10527		Bingham, Paul		D	Decreed	1885-05-01		Claimed 108	N/A	irrigation		s	0.00
27	10296		Daniels, Kathy & David			Decreed	1885-05-01		Miner's Ditch, former owner Orris Lindsey		irrigation		s	0.87
27	10341		Haddon, Bill D.			Decreed	1885-05-01				irrigation		s	0.09
27	3	C	Hill, Stanley & Cronquist, Susan	R		Decreed	1885-05-01	To Skip 12/28/04 To Cindy 1/28/05	T108, Originally claimed 2.0	Y	irrigation		s	0.73
27	10756		Hinderager, Larry (Wring, Linden M.)	R		Decreed	1885-05-01	To Skip 3/8/04		Y	irrigation		s	0.12
27	3	D	Reid, Casey W.			Decreed	1885-05-01	To Skip 8/13/03 To Cindy 1/22/04 To Ernie 2/1/06			irrigation		s	0.57
27	3	E	Reid, Paul			Decreed	1885-05-01	To Ernie 2/1/05			irrigation		s	0.43
27	3	F	Shupe, Paul		D	Decreed	1885-05-01	To Skip 8/13/03	claimed 0.14 cfs	N/A	irrigation		s	0.00
27	10344		Stark, David			Decreed	1885-05-01		Colson, Robert F. previous owner.		irrigation		s	0.09
													10	3.00