

Page 1 of 1

|            |          |          |     |        |     |           |               |     |
|------------|----------|----------|-----|--------|-----|-----------|---------------|-----|
| Quick Look | Contacts | Priority | POD | Source | POU | Water Use | Period of Use | Qty |
|------------|----------|----------|-----|--------|-----|-----------|---------------|-----|

### Review/Verify Log

This has already been verified

Claim Number: 27 10358      Status: [inactive]      Version Number: [redacted]

#### Check List

- ☒ Priority Date [redacted]
- ☒ Contacts [redacted]
- ☒ Point of Diversion [redacted]
- ☒ Source [redacted]
- ☒ Place of Use [redacted]
- ☒ Purpose of Use [redacted]
- ☒ Period of Use [redacted]
- ☐ Quantity [redacted]
- ☒ Summary **Yes to All**

#### Contacts

#### Comments

Author: CBIRD      Text: [redacted]

Subj: [redacted]

Date: 03 - 08 - 2005      [redacted]      [redacted]      [redacted]

3/8/05 need to verify Qty.

Complete summary pg.

✓ add rem/ cond. (done 3/8/05 cs)

Print Preliminary report.

## References

Contact  
Help  
Desk

Document  
Management  
Search

Launch  
ArcView

Administrative  
Rules

Query  
Tools

Data  
Entry  
Standards

Show Help

Screen ID  
384  
Process:  
Claim  
Verification  
Record  
Step:  
Update  
Information

Quick Look

Contacts

Priority Date

POD

Source

POU

Water Use

Review/Verify Log

This has already been verified

Status

Claim Number

07 10358

Version Number

Check List

☒ Priority Date☒ Contacts☒ Point of Diversion☒ Source☒ Place of Use☒ Purpose of Use☒ Period of Use☐ Quantity☒ Summary

Yes to All

Contacts

Comments

Author CBIRD

Text

Subj

Date

03 - 01 - 2005

3/1/05 ArcView NOT working. Linked POD +  
POU need to

✓ Need to Link Riverton Data (POD)  
✓ Link Shape.  
- finish questions + Summary

Hide References

Next Step

Return to Home

Previous  
Steps:

Select Claim

Screen  
ID  
384

## Notes

8/2/04 according to Merlin Yancy, he thinks this right should be part of 27-27 NOT 37. He will research and get back to us. CP.

Mailed claimant a letter requesting info or claim will be disallowed.

8-5-04 Megan Leiseth called this morning very concerned on what she still needs to do. Does not fully understand what is still needed, will call on Monday to visit with Cindy. DB

8-17-04 Megan called to see what she needed.

8-18-04 1:19pm returned the call to Megan. Explained to her that this claim is probably based on 27-27, Fred Stevens, with a 1884 priority instead of 1886 as in James Welch. I suggested that she call Merlin Yancy and talk to him because he was doing research for me and was going to look into this. So she could check with him on what he found or what she could do to assist him in his search. CB.

I gave her another month to come up with something. 9-16-04.

11/1/15 we haven't heard from the claimant and this is not Welch water. It also does not fit into the Fred Stevens 27-27 water either. The legal description is not part of the. POB for Fred Stevens.

Disallow claim. Claimant need to provide additional information.

6-24-05 Kent Hordere "McDermott & copy off O/C form. BT

3 March 2004

To: Cindy


Re: 27-10847

I have prepared a layout for this claim. There are other possible overlaps, all dealing with Welch water. A recap of the potential overlapping files is as follows:

- 27-10357 Thyberg, Samuel Tad. Letter sent from AG's office. Response date 3/26/04
- 27-10358 Leiseth, Jason. Letter sent from AG's office. Response date 3/26/04.
- 27-10370 Durney, Gordon H. I did research of the Watermaster Reports 1975-1088. A recap is in the file. No correspondence from claimant in the file.

I have exported the layout to a .jpg file

Barbara

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized loop followed by a smaller loop and a trailing line.

## Notes

- 12-8-03 Evidence of priority letter to Claimant. BP
- 12-11-03 Left message on a phone 785-4219. They are not coming up for trial. 12x
- 12-11-03 P.D. returned letter to Danny. BP
- 12/14/03 Sent ownership change & evidence of priority letter to current owners, Jason D. & Megan Gay Leiseth.
- 12-15-03 Megan called. She will send the ownership change, warranty deed & map. She is going to find out when the property was first irrigated. AB
- 1-21-04 Called Megan about evidence of priority. No answer - left a message on answering machine. AB
- 1-23-04 Megan returned a call. I told her we need the ownership change & warranty deed & yes we do need evidence, she said oh. I told her that we were just giving them more time to find evidence, but we will need it as soon as possible. I told her that a public meeting was being planned sometime in February. They would get a letter when a date was set. AB
- 1-30-04 Received ownership change, warranty deed & map from Claimant. AB
- 2-27-04 Received the letter sent to Danny Daniel from AG's office, re-sent it to Jason Leiseth. DB

3 March 2004

To: Cindy

Re: 27-10847

I have prepared a layout for this claim. There are other possible overlaps, all dealing with Welch water. A recap of the potential overlapping files is as follows:

- 27-10357 Thyberg, Samuel Tad. Letter sent from AG's office. Response date 3/26/04
- 27-10358 Leiseth, Jason. Letter sent from AG's office. Response date 3/26/04.
- 27-10370 Durney, Gordon H. I did research of the Watermaster Reports 1975-1088. A recap is in the file. No correspondence from claimant in the file.

I have exported the layout to a .jpg file

Barbara

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized loop followed by a smaller loop and a trailing line.

21 January 2004

Claim 27-10358

I called Megan Leiseth (782-3485) she said she called last week and was told by either a Pauline or Cindy that she could have more time to work on getting the information. Also she stated that she was told that she didn't need to provide evident to support when the water was put to beneficial use for irrigation.

Since the date of the note in the file is dated in December I told her that I would check with Pauline and/or Cindy and let her know if she really needed to provide evidence to support when the water was put to BU.

We gave her an extension of time but didn't tell her what that time would be.

We need to clarify if we need the evidence and a deadline to get the information.

Barbara

## Contacts Data Entry Form

| Owner Type                                                                      | Full Name     | Address       | City      | State | Zip | Phone    |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------|-----|----------|
| Current Owner                                                                   | Jason Leiseth | 212 S. 550 W. | Blackfoot | ID    |     | 782-3485 |
| Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |               |               |           |       |     |          |
| Current Owner                                                                   | Megan Leiseth |               |           |       |     | 83221    |
| Current Owner                                                                   |               |               |           |       |     |          |

Representing Attorney: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Accompanied By: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Relationship to Claimant: \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Field Exam: \_\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_\_

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

Ownership change received 1-30-2004

Reviewer: CBDate: 4/7/04

Contacts Data Entry Form

**Priority Date Data Entry Form**Claim Number: A27-10358

Water Right Priority Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Water Right Basis: \_\_\_\_\_

Claimed Priority Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Claimed Basis: \_\_\_\_\_

Recommended Priority Date: 3-20-1884 Recommended Basis: decree10-1-1884decree☐ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

decree27-27 Steven's decreeReviewer: CBDate: 2/1/05**Priority Date Data Entry Form**

**Priority Date**Claim No. 27-10018

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes ☐ No ☒  
If Yes, go to 2  
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes ☐ No ☐  
If Yes, go to 3a  
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐  
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b  
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes ☐ No ☐  
If Yes, go to 11  
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes ☒ No ☐  
If Yes, go to 5  
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐  
If Yes, go to 6  
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐  
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15  
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐  
If Yes, go to 10e  
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☐  
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15  
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐  
If Yes, go to 11  
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes ☐ No ☐  
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15  
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes ☐ No ☐  
If Yes, go to 12  
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐  
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15  
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes ☐ No ☐  
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15  
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes ☐ No ☐  
If Yes, go to 15  
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes ☒ No ☐  
If Yes, go to 16  
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒  
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete  
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

*decree*  
**Priority Date**

## Summary

Claim No. 27-18358

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2

If No, go to 3

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4

If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes (☒) No (☐)

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☒)

5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8

If No, go to 8

Yes (☒) No (☐)

8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

## Summary

Summary Data Entry Form

Claim No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

P01 Rem / Cond Gen

P21 Rem Exp Split from 27-37

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

yes

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

Claim No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer

CB

Date

2/1/05