

Notes

5/31/05 27-32 & 44 are Blackfoot River (17.6 cfs)

27-63 was decreed as Home Spring ctk, 6.1 cfs

No Home Spg ctk on the TOPO'S Sheep ctk?

Is the land under 27-63 irrigated. Poll the same on 27-63, 66 & 32. Brian Lake & Preston Allen owns property under Poll.

This right should probably have a pt of injection from Home Spg ctk (Sheep ctk)?

6/2/05. Preston Allen & Son's owns.

Combines 27-32, 42, 43 & 44. (1360 Acres)

Convert 9 shape PPLS for Blackfoot River rights, for 27-4137 & 27-11016.

27-4137 is being recommended as an enlargement claim to decrees.

Same on my D drive as 27-4137-12027. 2p.

(Should have been 27-11016 Not 12027).

6/22/05 Preston & Kent Allen came in to the office to discuss amending this claim.

Since my system is down & I had Kent Allen partner of Preston Allen & Son's, sign a field amendment form and we created the shape in ArcView for the now Preston Allen place irrigated by the Blackfoot River. (Preston purchased a portion of Roland Allen's land).

Ernie's going to let me know if we can recommend stock under this decreed right during irrigation 504.

Notes

5-31-05 27-32, 27-66 & 27-63 have the same decreed
POU. The combined diversion rate is 1200 inches,
on 1200 acres. Bear lake Grazing has $320/1200 = 0.266$
and Preston Allen has $880/1200 = 0.7333$; however
in 1941, the ^(Austin Bros) Allen & Bear lake Grazing split up
land and water and from the documents it appears
Allen's got 27-32, 27-63 and Bear lake grazing got
27-66.

27-44, 27-70 & 27-85 have the same decreed
POU. Decreed to 669.25 acres, 1164 inches of
water from the Blackfoot River, Lanes Creek
and Trail Creek respectively.

320 acres is owned by Preston Allen.
160 acres is owned by Randy Panter.
189.25 acres is owned by Bear lake Grazing.

Randy Panter (Joyce Bennett) claimed 6.4
a 320 acres under 27-85A. Most of the ^{claimed} POU
is different than the original POU. They claimed
land down into T8S, R43E secs 9 & 16.

Recommended only the land in the decreed POU in
Sec 33, T7S R43E. (20 acres); except SESE in
Sec 32 was not part of the original POU.

In the 1941 agreement, Allen's got 27-44 (320")
Austin Rept 27-70 & 27-85 was not mentioned.

Notes

5-31-05 Cont'd So under 27-11016 a combined right would only be 27-32, 27-63 and 27-~~44~~

Randy Pantis appears to be the only claim on 27-85.

Roland Allen claimed 27-70 under 27-11016.

Preston Allen claimed 27-70A.

Bear Lake Grazing claimed 27-70 under 27-11271.

Roland Allen claimed 27-64 under 27-11016.

Preston Allen claimed 27-66A.

Bear Lake Grazing 27-64 under 27-11271.

27-63 was claimed by Roland Allen under 27-11016.

" " " " Preston Allen " 27-10096.

" " " " Bear Lake Grazing 27-11275.

Meeting with Kent Allen, Huston Allen & Sons.

Discussion:

1. 27-11016. Combined several decrees with priority dates ranging from 7-3-1885 to Nov. 1, ^{16, 22}1886. See if they prefer splitting this right apart to claim the earlier priority date. Was filed on by Roland Allen.
2. Irrigated acres from the Blackfoot River are 1227.67 acres.
3. Irrigated acres from the Slug Creek is 811 acres.
4. 27-11016 Combined 27-44, 70, 85, 63, 66 & 32. According to the agreement 27-70, 85 & 66 should not be claimed by the Allens. (27-44, 63 & 32 240 cfs)
5. 27-10096 Combines 27-42, 43, 63 & 87.
27-87 shouldn't be claimed by Allens
27-63 ~~should be~~ was accounted for under 27-11016.
division rate for 27-42 & 43 9.6 cfs.
6. 27-11016 Is the pod different?
7. 27-12003 (27-4157) Slug Ck.
We still need evidence of priority on Slug Ck.
8. 27-12027 (27-4) is it overclaimed? 2 cfs
Same POU as other Blackfoot Rights. T2946.

Claim no. A27-12000
A27-11016

Claimant Contact Log

Date 6-30-04 Remarks Agent initials

AWJ

The Exam was done on 6-28-04 with Kent Allen of Preston Allen & Sons Ranch. There was one diversion dam on the Blackfoot River for these two claims. At each end of the diversion dam was a ditch to flood irrigation to part of the ranch property.

The GPS data for the Diversion Dam is as follows

GPS 2713410 GPS File # - ALLEN


1290056 GPS Tag # - A0009075

In each ditch was a measuring box constructed by request of Water Master of District 27. The attached measurements were done with a Pygmy Meter. The total cfs being used was 12.13 cfs at that time. The capacity of the system is 50-75% more at full flow.

The place of use will have to be reviewed with Kent at the Soda Springs Office. He has additional air photos that might help define the irrigated place of use.

Ownership should be Preston Allen & Sons


South
canal



North
canal


A27-11016 + A27-12000

Diversion Dam



A27-11016 & A27-12000

South Canal Measurement Box



A27-11016 + A27-12000

North Canal Measurement Box

6-28-2004
Date _____, 19__ **DISCHARGE MEASUREMENT NOTES**

Date _____

DISCHARGE MEASUREMENT NOTES

Black Foot

River at Preston Allen Ranch

[illegible]

No. _____ of _____ Sheets. Comp. by _____ Chk. by _____

	.0	.10	.20	.30	.40	.50	.60	.70	.75
1									
2									
3									
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									
29									
30									
31									
32									
33									
34									
35									
36									
37									
38									
39									
40									
41									
42									
43									
44									
45									
46									
47									
48									
49									
50									
51									
52									
53									
54									
55									
56									
57									
58									
59									
60									
61									
62									
63									
64									
65									
66									
67									
68									
69									
70									
71									
72									
73									
74									
75									
76									
77									
78									
79									
80									
81									
82									

Total;
12.13
of

System Capacity is
Larger than measurement

stet

References

[Remedy](#)[Launch
ArcView](#)[Administrative
Rules](#)[Query
Tools](#)[Data
Entry
Standards](#)[Show Help](#)Screen ID
384Process:
Claim
Verification
Record
Step:
Update
Information**Quick Look****Contacts****Priority Date****PDD****Source****POU****Water Use****Review/Verify Log**Status **Active**Claim Number **27** **11016**Version Number **1**[View Documents](#)**Check List**[Print Form](#)☒ **Priority Date**☒ **Contacts****No** ☐ **Point of Diversion**☒ **Source**☐ **Place of Use**☒ **Purpose of Use**☐ **Period of Use**☒ **Quantity**☐ **Summary**[Yes to All](#)

Contacts

CommentsAuthor **CBIRD**

Text

Subj

Date **05** - **04** - **2005**[Add](#)[Edit](#)[Remove Selected](#)[Hide References](#)[Next Step](#)[Return to Home](#)[Previous
Steps:](#)[Select Claim](#)Screen
ID
384

Notes

8/18/04 after outlining the POA from our meeting. we come-up with 1227.67 acres irrigated from the Blackfoot River and 810.94 acres from slug creek. See attached overview outline.

Put the documents that outlines the split between the Upper & Lower Austin Ranch.

I need to talk to Kent Allen about the priority date. To see if they may want to split this apart to claim the 1885 priority date.

The remark from the Notice of Claim states this combines rights 27-44, 70, 85, 63, 66 & 32 for 566" total or 11.32 cfs. This doesn't match what is on the claimed diversion rate?

According to the analysis 27-70, 85 & 66 should not be claimed by the Allens.

Combined diversion rate for 27-44, 63 & 32 should be 24.0 cfs.

priority date wouldn't change if they left as combined right.

27-63 is from Home Spring Ck. change in source?

pt of injection? T6S, R44E sections 28, 29 (POW). 1951 TOPO has Sheep Ck in this area. it may have been renamed.

Notes

4/16/04 field exam.

4/16/04 this property is changing from Roland Allen to Preston Allen & Sons.

4/14/04 Papers were signed today on the sale. Canton title will send over a copy of the warranty deed tomorrow. CB

4/23/04 received ownership change on 27-11016, 27-12000, 27-12003 & 27-11334.

claimed - Combination: 27-44, 70, 63, 85, 66, 32

8/16/04 Kent Allen came in for a meeting on the POU for Allen Ranches. We outlined the pro. on the 1974 Orthograde. Kent had purchased some 10-16-1956 photos that he also brought in to show the pro.

While Kent was here Paul Kesteb, Bear Lake Grazing, stopped by and we showed them the documents that we have on the split. ~~He~~ Paul said he would have to disagree with it because they also use Bacon & Timothy (Pale, Dale & Lake Crk), as well as Home Spring Crk to irrigate. I explained to Paul that there could be an accomplished transfer but they can't just use the water that was awarded to Allen Ranches and the total number of irrigated acres can't exceed the decree. Paul's support to bring in a FSA photo with the irrigated pro outlined for the total number of irrigated acres outlined. We set a Aug 30th meeting with the Allen's and Bear Lake Grazing.

Claim no. A27-12000
A27-11016

Claimant Contact Log

Date 6-30-04 Remarks Agent initials

WJF

The Exam was done on 6-28-04 with Kent Allen of Preston Allen & Sons Ranch. There was one diversion dam on the Blackfoot River for these two claims. At each end of the diversion dam was a ditch to flood irrigation to part of the ranch property.

The GPS data for the Diversion Dam is as follows

GPS 2713410 GPS File # - ALLEN
1290056 GPS Tag # - A0009075

In each ditch was a measuring box constructed by request of Water Master of District 27. The attached measurements were done with a Pygmy Meter. The total cfs being used was 12.13 cfs at that time. The capacity of the system is 50-75% more at full flow.


The place of use will have to be reviewed with Kent at the Soda Springs office. He has additional air photos that might help define the irrigated Place of Use.

Ownership should be Preston Allen & Sons

8-26-70

AC - 31

10203



Puston allen
Extended 5021
Blackfoot River

27-11016

Preston Allen and Son's



IMG_0784

Photo number

Photo Rename

4/6/04

Date

12:05 AM

Time

PM

Photo 6: 11:51 AM Looking SW at land
in sections 35, 36. Preston has the land to the
right of the road. It is irrigated by the
Blackfoot River.

T7S, R43E.

CBird

27-11016

Preston Allen & Son's.



IMG-0785

Photo number

Photo Rename

4/6/04
Date

Date _____

12:06 AM
Time (PM)

Time

PM

Photo 7: 11:52 Am. Looking south at land in sections 26 and 35, T7S, R43E. Irrigated by the Blackfoot River.

Ch...

27-11016

Preston Allen & Son's



IMG_0786

Photo number

Photo Rename

4/6/04

Date


12:06 AM

Time

PM

Photo 8: 11:52 AM. Looking west at land
irrigated by Preston Allen & Son's in section
35, T7S, R43E.

CBrid




27-12027(40)
is for 145
acres
in 26, 27, 34
& 35.

Blackfoot
River
1227.67 a.c.

Sluic Creek
810.94 a.c.

T = 2038.61 ac.
2039 @ 0.02
= 40.78 cfs



Notes

3/12/04 Keith & Carl Hunzyker came in and I explained that Preston & Bear Lake are also claiming these rights. w/m lists different w/R numbers for delivery, which are not Blackfoot River. When did the source change to Blackfoot R.

3/22/04 Carolyn Hunzyker stopped by the office and asked her to get a copy of V. FSA photo, 1987 CB

Contacts Data Entry Form

Owner Type _____ Full Name Roland Allen Address 1910 Oakley Ave City _____ State _____ Zip 83318 Phone _____
Current Owner _____
Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____
Current Owner _____
Current Owner _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: Kent Allen Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: Son of Preston Allen

Date of Field Exam: 6/28/04 Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

4/15/04

Sold to:

Preston R. Allen & Sons

2601 E. 300 S.

Declo ID 83323

1-208-349-5714

Reviewer: OB

Date: 3/22/04

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number:

27-11016

Water Right Priority Date:

Water Right Basis:

Claimed Priority Date:

Claimed Basis:

Recommended Priority Date:

Recommended Basis:

☒

Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

Deeded priority:

7-1	27-44	11-1-1886	Blackfoot	128	320 in. (6.4 cfs)
7-1	27-70	10-17-1886	Lane Creek	114	284 in. (5.68 cfs)
7-1	27-85	10-11-1886	Trail Creek	203	560 in. (11.2 cfs)
7-1	27-63	10-4-1886	Home Spring Crk	42	320 in. (6.4 cfs)
7-1	27-66	10-11-1886	Lane Crk	42	320 in. (6.4 cfs)
7-1	27-32	7-3-1885	Blackfoot	37	560 in. (11.2 cfs)
					47.28 cfs

Claimed junior priority date:

27-42	10-4-1886
27-43	10-4-1886

Reviewer:

CB

Date:

3/22/04

Priority Date

Claim No. 27-11016

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. *License*
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-11016

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

☐ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
7S	43E	26		SW-NESE	Caribou	Blackfoot R.
GPS Coordinates: <u>27 13410</u> <u>12900 56</u>						
<u>42.78314498</u> <u>-111.3918400</u>						
GPS Coordinates: _____						

GPS Coordinates: _____						

GPS Coordinates: _____						

GPS Coordinates: _____						

GPS Coordinates: _____						

GPS File "Allen"

GPS TAG #.

A0009075

Other rights from this POD? 27-10096, 27-11016

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

link pod: 27-11016pod.shp.Transfers Changing POD N/OReviewer: CBDate: 5/26/05

Point of Diversion

Claim No. _____ - _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes () No ()
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes () No ()
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10
Yes () No ()
4. Does the parent right have a POD?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes () No ()
5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10
If No, go to 6
Yes () No ()
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 9
Yes () No ()
7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8
Yes () No ()
8. Was a transfer filed?
If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10
If No, go to 9
Yes () No ()
9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?
If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10
Yes () No ()
10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete
If No, POD review is complete.
Yes () No ()

Point of Diversion

Source

Claim No. _____ - _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11
4. Does the parent right have a Source? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7
6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7
7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10
8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer? Yes () No ()
If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9
9. Did the claimant file a transfer? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10
10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required? Yes () No ()
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.

Source

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: _____

_____ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres 1021

Document how the POU was verified:

Transfers Changing POU _____

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Place of Use

CLAIM NO. _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 2a
If No, go to 2b
- 2a. Is a POU required? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 14
- 2b. Is a POU required? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 14
3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 7
4. Has the License been issued? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 6
If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14
5. Does the parent right have a POU? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 7
6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14
If No, go to 7
7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 13
8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer? Yes () No ()
If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13
If No, go to 9
9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU? Yes () No ()
If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10
If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12
10. Was an enlargement claim filed? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 13
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11
11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 13
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
12. Was a transfer filed? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 14
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14
14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required? Yes () No ()
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/ or condition. POU review is complete.
If No, POU review is complete.

Place of Use

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: _____

_____ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use _____

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 27-11016

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes ☒ No ☐
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☐
7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8
Yes ☐ No ☐
8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
Yes ☐ No ☐
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
12. Did the claimant file a transfer?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☐

Purpose of Use

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: _____

☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/15	7/1	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

b27 watermaster (Ryan Madsen) measured 12.13 cfs.
with a note that says "System capacity is larger than measured"

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer? Yes () No ()
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
9. Was an enlargement claim filed? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
11. Was a transfer filed? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required? Yes () No ()
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.

Period of Use

Quantity

Claim No. _____ - _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

3. Has the License been issued?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

7. Is a flow measurement required?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.

Quantity

Summary

Claim No. _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3
Yes () No ()
2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
Yes () No ()
3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?
If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4
Yes () No ()
4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?
If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5
Yes () No ()
5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6
If No, go to 6
Yes () No ()
6. Would you like to view the recommended right?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.
Yes () No ()
7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?
If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8
If No, go to 8
Yes (X) No ()
8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?
If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9
If No, go to 9
Yes () No ()
9. Would you like to set the verification date?
If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.
Yes () No ()

Summary

Claim No.: _____

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

P29, combination of old rights 27-32, 27-44, 27-42,
27-43.

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

Combines 27-32, 27-44, 27-43, 27-42.

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

Claim No.:

27-11016

Reviewer

CB

Date

6/2/05