

References

[Contact
Help Desk](#)

[Document
Management
Search](#)

[Launch
ArcView](#)

[Administrative
Rules](#)

[Query
Tools](#)

[Data Entry
Standards](#)

[Show Help](#)

Screen ID
384

Process:
Claim
Verification
Record

Step:
Update
Information

[Quick Look](#) [Contacts](#) [Priority Date](#) [PDD](#) [Source](#) [POU](#) [Water Use](#) [Period of Use](#)

Review/Verify Log

Status [Active](#)

Claim Number [27](#) [11940](#)

Version Number [1](#)

[View Documents](#)

[View Re](#)

Check List

[Print Form](#)

☒ Priority Date

☒ Contacts

☒ Point of Diversion

☒ Source

☐ Place of Use

☒ Purpose of Use

☒ Period of Use

☒ Quantity

☐ Summary

[Yes to All](#)

Contacts

Comments

Author [CBIRD](#) Text

Subj

Date [02](#) - [04](#) - [2005](#)

[Add](#)

[Edit](#)

[Remove Selected](#)

[Hide References](#)

[Next Step](#)

[Return to Home](#)

[Previous
Steps:](#)

[Select Claim](#)

Screen
ID 384

Notes

27-10543 got split 5 times
between: 11939, 11940, 11941, 11942 + 11943

27-11939 Susan Cronquist

27-11940 Chester Gene Cushman

27-11941 Anne Hiltzman

27-11942 Heath Madsen

27-11943 Doug Williams

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Barbara

SUBJECT: layport

FROM: Andy

DATE: 2/4/05

Please create a layport and give back
to Pauline to complete eCVR.

() For your information

() Response required

CB
signature

Notes

11-22-04 Received Ownership change, warranty deed, plat
& Maine Ditch stock certificate. BT

12/6/04 SPLIT 27-10543 into 27-11939 Stan Hill
& 27-11940 David Stanley. (Part of 27-4)

2/4/05 See 27-11939 for copies of
supporting documents. CB

Notes

11-5-04 Stan Hill & Susan (his daughter) met with me in Soda Springs on Stan's water rights.

Stan sold about 13 acres in the SWNW & NW5W of Section 8, T3S, R35E to David Stanley, with that he is conveying 13 inches of Fox and Whitten water. The price south of that was sold to Cashman and now Heath Madson. With that he conveyed Corbett Slough water. Williams & Stokes purchased a strip in the NW5W & SW5W of Section 8, and E $\frac{1}{2}$ of the NESE & SESE of Section 7, T3S, R35E.

Stan has about 43 acres left, 40 irrigated. Susan is going to Bingham Co. Courthouse to do some research on Robert Miller, and Fox & Whitten water, and send me the copies of what she found.

I've included a copy of the map from Stan Hill on the property he has left.

11-8-04 27-37E, 27-3C & 27-10543 are all used on the same POU. CB

11-10-04 27-10543. Dave Stanley called on the ownership change form. He will get a copy of the plat map and send it to me. He purchased 4 acres got 13" of water. CB

Notes

1-22-04 Claim 27-10543 was split 5 times
27-11939, 27-11940, 27-11941, 27-11942 + 27-11943
All these claims are bogus claims, and we
need to get rid of them. A claim 27-11981
was also created for Stan Hill's portion
of 10543, it shouldn't exist. Stan Hill
never conveyed any of his Blackfoot
River water when he sold portions of
his land. RB

Contacts Data Entry Form

Owner Type _____ Full Name David Stanley Address 354 N. 300W. City Blackfoot, ID State _____ Zip 83221 Phone 785-4528

Current Owner _____

Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____

Current Owner _____

Current Owner _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: _____ Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

ownership chg in file

Reviewer:

Date:

Contacts Data Entry Form

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-11940

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: 6-1-1885 Recommended Basis: decree☐ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

decree

Reviewer: CBDate: 2/4/05

Priority Date Data Entry Form

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit.
Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete.
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Claim No. 27-11948

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-11940Water Right Point of Diversion: T R Sec. County: Source: Tributary: Claimed Point of Diversion: T R Sec. County: Source: Tributary: Recommended Point of Diversion: T R Sec. County: Source: Tributary: Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	OOO of Q	County	Source
35	35E	9	1	SENE	Bingham	Blackfoot R.
GPS Coordinates: <u>43.1762671</u>				<u>-112.3585806</u>		
GPS Coordinates: <u> </u>						
GPS Coordinates: <u> </u>						
GPS Coordinates: <u> </u>						
GPS Coordinates: <u> </u>						
GPS Coordinates: <u> </u>						
GPS Coordinates: <u> </u>						

Miners
DitchOther rights from this POD? yes, Miners Ditch

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

field exam (Stan Hill)

Transfers Changing POD Reviewer: CBDate: 2/4/05

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete
If No, POD review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27-119616

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Source

Claim No. 27-11948

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-11940☒ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

direct

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use noReviewer: CBDate: 2/4/05

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 27-119640

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-11940 *split from 27-10543.*☐ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
3S	35E	8	SWNW		Bingham	4	2	Irrigation
		8	NWSW		"	-	11	Irrigation

Total Acres 13

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
3S	35E	8	SWNW		Bingham	4		Irrigation.
		8	NWSW		Bingham	-		Irrigation.

Total Acres _____

Document how the POU was verified:

ownership change
1987 Naps photography

Transfers Changing POU _____

Reviewer: CBDate: 2/4/05

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 2a
If No, go to 2b

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2a. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 14

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2b. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10
If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POU review is complete.
If No, POU review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Place of Use

Claim No. 27-11940

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-11940☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/1	10/31	0.26	

Overall Diversion Rate 0.26

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

1987/88 NAPP photography

Reviewer: CBDate: 2/4/05

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes (1) No ()
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use? Yes () No (X)
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? Yes () No (X)
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer? Yes () No ()
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
9. Was an enlargement claim filed? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
11. Was a transfer filed? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? Yes (X) No ()
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? Yes () No (X)
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes (X) No ()
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required? Yes () No (X)
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.
If No, Period of Use review is complete.

Period of Use

Claim No. 27-11940

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11.

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☒)

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☒)

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☒)

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Quantity

Claim No. 27 - 11940.

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3
2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? Yes (☐) No (☒)
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4
4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5
5. Does this right have any combined used limits with other rights? Yes (☐) No (☒)
If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 6
6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 7
If No, go to 7
7. Would you like to view the recommended right? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 8
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.
8. Is this a "split" or "combined" right? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 9
If No, go to 9
9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? Yes (☐) No (☒)
If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 10
If No, go to 10
10. Would you like to set the verification date? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Summary

Claim No. 27 - 11940

Claim No.: _____

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18.

P21.

part of 27-4

F01

Miners Ditch.

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

27-10543

(27-4)

24"

27-11939 11"
Stan Hill

27-11940

David Stanley 13"

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

Claim No.:

27-11940

Reviewer

CB

Date

2/4/05

27-11940

U.S. POSTAL SERVICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20505
FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. G-10

ADDRESS SERVICE
REQUESTED

STAN354 832212004 1205 03 05/25/05
FORM 3547
STANLEY
474 W RIVERTON RD
BLACKFOOT ID 83221-6302



DAVID STANLEY
354 NORTH 300 WEST
BLACKFOOT, ID 83221

First Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
USPS
Permit No. G-10

U.S. POSTAL SERVICE
PS FORM 3547

RETURN TO SENDER

fee due 70¢

This information provided by
COMPUTERIZED FORWARDING
1759 FLANDRO DR
POGATELLO ID 83202-1970

VE 1
2005
JUN 3