

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: File

SUBJECT: 2-1-10-05

FROM: B. Payer

DATE: 2-16-05

Bayshore Road, Section 10

79443 as suggested

1.2 100%

which will be done by the end of July.

may be better to see. File in Village
the bump on E side of trees suggested

2-16-05

50NW 3.1

SE NW 27.7

30.8


signature

() For your information

() Response required

Washburn
Dred
Browns

North
Blade



Washburn
Dred

Washburn
Dred
Browns



Washburn
Dred


30

Washburn
Dred
Browns

Washburn
Dred

6 5
7 8

SWNE



Basin 27

Basin No.	Sequence Number	Split Suffix	Description	R	D	Basis of a Claim	YY-mm-dd Priority Date	File Movement	Remarks	Y N/A Layout	Useage	Other Water Rights Used	Stevens Decree #	Total CFS
27	3	G	Bingham, Paul	R		Decreed	1885-05-01	To Skip 8/13/03 To Cindy 3/9/04	Link to Stevens 27-3, 57, 292	Y	irrigation		s	0.10
27	10527		Bingham, Paul		D	Decreed	1885-05-01		Claimed .109	N/A	irrigation		s	0.00
27	10296		Daniels, Kathy & David			Decreed	1885-05-01		Miner's Ditch; former owner Orris Lindsey		irrigation		s	0.87
27	10341		Haddon, Bill D.			Decreed	1885-05-01				irrigation		s	0.09
27	3	C	Hilli, Stanley & Cronquist, Susan	R		Decreed	1885-05-01	To Skip 12/28/04 To Cindy 1/28/05	T109. Originally claimed 2.0	Y	irrigation		s	0.73
27	10756		Hinderager, Larry (Wring, Linden M.)	R		Decreed	1885-05-01	To Skip 3/8/04		Y	irrigation		s	0.12
27	3	D	Reid, Casey W.			Decreed	1885-05-01	To Skip 8/13/03 To Cindy 1/22/04 To Ernie 2/1/05			irrigation		s	0.57
27	3	E	Reid, Paul			Decreed	1885-05-01	To Ernie 2/1/05			irrigation		s	0.43
27	3	F	Shupe, Paul		D	Decreed	1885-05-01	To Skip 8/13/03	claimed 0.14 cfs	N/A	irrigation		s	0.00
27	10344		Stark, David			Decreed	1895-05-01		Colson, Robert F. previous owner.		irrigation		s	0.09
													10	3.00

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Barbara
 FROM: Cindy

SUBJECT: layout
 DATE: 2/6/05

Back in July I asked for a layout on this piece but I couldn't find one in the file.

Please create a layout using the plat map and deeds we received. It should be around 34 acres (maybe 35). POP: Minerts Ditch! Important to get information. ←

Also put a copy of the Robert Miller analysis in this file.

Claimant sold Corbetta Slough water to Jane Calderer

() For your information

() Response required

signature CB

Ready for eCVR.

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Barbara
 FROM: Cindy

SUBJECT: POU / layout
 DATE: 7/23/04

Create the pou from the Spatial map markup in the file. Also, you might use the warranty deed get the boundaries. David is going to send a survey plat that they had done.

Let me know the number of acres in the POU. It should be about 34.

() For your information

signature CB

X Response required

Notes

7/22/04. The pm by spatial is 35.4 acres. Claimed 45 acres. Check with David tomorrow when he brings it ~~the~~ to the office what the irrigated acres should be.

GCOB is incorrect on the legal NENW and it should be SENW.

7/23/04 David Daniels brought courthouse documents to the office to verify water. The documents seem to be in order to verify water.

Merlin Yancey also email documents, gave to Barbara to catalog in the book.

The number of acres irrigated under this right is only about 34, not 45. David will send over a copy of their survey plat. CB.

8.3-04 Resurveyed area in ...

2/6/05 The Corbette Slough water ^{noted} under this claim/deeds was conveyed to Gene Caldwell by David & Kathy McDaniel. They kept the 43½' inches of ~~David~~ Robert Mullin water. The water is conveyed thru miner's ditch, CB

~~7/22/04~~

Notes

7/21/04 David & Kathy Daniels come into the office copying in documents, ownership change, death certificate, marriage license, documents for zone Caldwell.

David said that water comes from the Lansing Street well.

When Zone Caldwell purchased his property from David & Kathy they conveyed only Cobble Slough water. He didn't get any Blackfoot River water (27-10296).

Albert & Magdalena Hoyer is Lily Lindray's parents.

David & Kathy are going to the courthouse to find documents back to the deeded right.

NOTE: --POLL - we need to remove the property sold to Zone Caldwell.

7/21/04 2:00pm - Skip - The permit has not been approved. We must recommend the pumps on the river. They didn't put all the water in the Lansing St. Well.

4pm Kathy called from the courthouse to see if the document Bill Haddon submitted would be sufficient. I tried to call them back but the answering machine cut me off.

7/22/04 Sent some old warranty deeds to the Daniels. CB

Notes

10-1-03 → Need a copy of the deed
→ an new sheets in the file.

6/7/04 file contains warranty deed from
Jeffrey & Madeline Cooper to Mrs &
Silly Lindsay and it conveyed 43 1/3 m.
of the land.

7/13/04- LaVerella Stebbins, owner and
Kathy remarried and they built a
new house behind the house. Zane
Caldwell purchased this home only.

2:38am I left a message at 785-1284 about calling
me on this claim. I'm not sure who will
call back. CB

We did an internet search and found Kathy &
David Daniels has that phone number. So I
set an ownership change form. and Zane Caldwell
purchased the old house (only) So the address
for Kathy also changed when they built a new home.

7/14/04 David Daniels called back and said they
sold the house and acres to Zane Caldwell.
He irrigates for Zane. I asked him to provide
me a copy of Orris's death certificate, marriage
license, plat, warranty deed, copy of Zane's warranty
deed - CB

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-10296

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: May 1, 1885 Recommended Basis: decree

Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

Robert Mullen decree. (27-3)Reviewer: CSDate: 7/21/04

Priority Date

Claim No. 27 - 10296

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source?
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
Yes () No (X)
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree?
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
Yes () No ()
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
Yes () No ()
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
Yes () No ()
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
Yes (X) No ()
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date?
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
Yes (X) No ()
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date?
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
Yes (X) No ()
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
Yes () No ()
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
Yes () No ()
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
Yes () No ()
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report?
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
Yes () No ()
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
Yes () No ()
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date?
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
Yes () No ()
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted?
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
Yes () No ()
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right?
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
Yes () No ()
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly?
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.
Yes (X) No ()
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.
Yes () No (X)

*donee***Priority Date**

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-10296

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____,____. County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____,____. County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T 3S, R 35E, Sec. 9, SE, NE, L-1. County: BinghamSource: Blackfoot R. Tributary: Snake River.Miner's
Ditch

____ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Other rights from this POD? yes, Miner's ditch

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

link MINER (GPS POD). located in GPS.sdp

POST-AJ. Miner's ditch has filed a new application for permit for the Lansing St. well.

Recommend river pump of Miner's ditchTransfers Changing POD No.Reviewer: CBDate: 7/21/04

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27-10246

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes ☒ No ☐
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a POD?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☒
5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 9
Yes ☐ No ☒
7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8
Yes ☐ No ☐
8. Was a transfer filed?
If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10
If No, go to 9
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?
If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10
Yes ☒ No ☐
10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete
If No, POD review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☒

Point of Diversion

Source

Claim No. 27-10296

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes ☒ No ☐
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a Source?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
Yes ☒ No ☐
5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7
Yes ☒ No ☐
6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7
Yes ☐ No ☐
7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10
Yes ☐ No ☐
8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Did the claimant file a transfer?
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10
Yes ☐ No ☐
10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?
If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☒

Source

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-10296☒ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

decree
1987/88 Napp photography

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use noReviewer: CBDate: 7/23/04

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 27-10296

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes ☒ No ☐
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes ☒ No ☐
5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6
Yes ☒ No ☐
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☐
7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8
Yes ☐ No ☐
8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
Yes ☐ No ☐
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
12. Did the claimant file a transfer?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☒

Purpose of Use

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-10296 Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres

Document how the POU was verified:

1987 Aerial photography.4/16/02 Survey mapTransfers Changing POU noReviewer: CBDate: 2/6/05

Place of Use

Claim No. _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License.
If Yes, go to 2a
If No, go to 2b
Yes ☒ No ☐
- 2a. Is a POU required?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 14
Yes ☐ No ☐
- 2b. Is a POU required?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 14
Yes ☐ No ☐
3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 7
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 6
If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14
Yes ☐ No ☐
5. Does the parent right have a POU?
If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 7
Yes ☐ No ☐
6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14
If No, go to 7
Yes ☐ No ☐
7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13
If No, go to 9
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?
If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10
If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☐
10. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 13
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 13
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
12. Was a transfer filed?
If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14
Yes ☐ No ☐
14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/ or condition. POU review is complete.
If No, POU review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☐

Place of Use

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: _____

☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/1	10/31	0.87	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Overall Diversion Rate 0.87Overall Diversion Volume N/A

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

deedwarranty deedsReviewer: CBDate: 7/23/04

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2 Yes ☒ No ☐
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6 Yes ☐ No ☐
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18 Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6 Yes ☐ No ☒
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6 Yes ☐ No ☐
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12 Yes ☐ No ☒
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8 Yes ☐ No ☐
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11 Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10 Yes ☐ No ☐
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12 Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Was a transfer filed?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12 Yes ☐ No ☐
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13 Yes ☒ No ☐
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15 Yes ☐ No ☒
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18 Yes ☐ No ☐
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18 Yes ☒ No ☐
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards?
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18 Yes ☐ No ☐
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use?
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18 Yes ☐ No ☐
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete. Yes ☐ No ☒

Period of Use

Quantity

Claim No. 27-10296

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes ☒ No ☐
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
Yes ☒ No ☐
5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7
Yes ☒ No ☐
6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7
Yes ☐ No ☐
7. Is a flow measurement required?
If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9
Yes ☐ No ☐
8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?
If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9
Yes ☐ No ☒
9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?
If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☒
10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?
If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?
If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☒
12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☐

Quantity

Summary

Claim No. 27-10296

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3
Yes ☒ No ☐
2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
Yes ☐ No ☒
3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?
If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?
If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5
Yes ☐ No ☐
5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6
If No, go to 6
Yes ☒ No ☐ *No-combined limits*
6. Would you like to view the recommended right?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.
Yes ☒ No ☐
7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?
If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8
If No, go to 8
Yes ☒ No ☐
8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?
If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9
If No, go to 9
Yes ☐ No ☒
9. Would you like to set the verification date?
If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.
Yes ☒ No ☐

Summary

Claim No.: _____

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18

F01 Remark Exp. Mine Ditch

~~F14 Cond. Exp. Corbette Slough~~

P21 Remark/Exp. Split from 27-3

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

yes

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

Claim No.: CB

Reviewer

2/6/00

Date

CB

Caldwell, Zane

442 W Riverton Rd
Blackfoot, ID 83221
(208) 785-1283

Search: "(208) 785-1284"

Listings: 1 ?

DANIELS, DAVID A & KATHY

436 W Riverton Rd

Blackfoot, ID 83221-6302

[Details](#)

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Phone
Current Owner	David A. Daniels					785-1284
Name connector: and	____, or	____, and/or	X			
Current Owner	Kathy Daniels				436 W. Riverton Rd	
Current Owner					Blackfoot, ID 83221	

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: _____ Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

Reviewer: CS

Date: 7/21/04

Bird, Cindy

To: Merlin Yancey (E-mail)
Subject: Lindsey documents

27-10296
Lindsey Yancey

good afternoon,

After reviewing the documents you sent for Lindsey's. I need more information. The documents you sent does not provide that they have water.


1. 1922: Hofer's purchased the property from Lowder with 100 inches of Robert Millen Water.
2. 1925: Albert Hofer got 76 shares in the Miner's ditch and George Hofer got 44 shares in the Miner's ditch. On the very same day Albert and George split the land that they all purchased Richard Lowder 3 years earlier.

That totals 120 shares? They only had 100 inches. Where did the other 20 inches come from?

Thanks,
Cindy

150" Robert Millen.

27-10296




27-10246

SECTION 8³ P
BINGHAM
IDAHO
35 E.B.M.

RECORDER'S CERTIFICATE
Instrument No. _____
Time of Day _____
Date _____
Recorded in Bingham County, Idaho

County Recorder



SCALE: 1" = 200'

9 3 2004

522505

WARRANTY DEED

For Value Received **Kathy J. Lindsay now known as Kathy J. Daniels and David Daniels, wife and husband**

Hereinafter called the Grantor, hereby grants, bargains, sells and conveys unto

Zane W. Caldwell and Martha Marlene Caldwell, husband and wife

whose address is: **442 West Riverton Road, Blackfoot, ID 83221**

Hereinafter called the Grantee, the following described premises situated in Bingham County, Idaho, to-wit:

Part of the SE¼NW¼ of Section 8, Township 3 South, Range 35 E.B.M., Bingham County, Idaho, described as follows: Beginning at a point that is S. 89°55'10" E. 2618.74 feet along the E-W center section line to the center of said Section 8, and N. 0°10'47" E. 491.40 feet along the N-S center section line of said Section 8 to the point of beginning from the W¼ corner of said Section 8, and running thence N. 89°55'10" W. 394.75 feet; thence N. 0°10'47" E. 249.93 feet; thence S. 89°55'10" E. 394.75 feet to said N-S center section line; thence S. 0°10'47" W. 249.93 feet to the point of beginning, EXCEPT county road along the East side.

RESERVING

UNTO GRANTORS **An Easement to maintain, replace, or repair an irrigation main line along the North, South and East side of the above described property. The above mentioned East side is along the west right-of-way of Riverton Road, approximately 50 feet more or less west of the East deed line described above.**

SUBJECT TO all easements, right of ways, covenants, restrictions, reservations, applicable building and zoning ordinances and use regulations and restrictions of record, and payment of accruing present year taxes and assessments as agreed to by parties above.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said premises, with its appurtenances unto the said Grantee and to the Grantee's heirs and assigns forever. And the said Grantor does hereby covenant to and with the said Grantee, that the Grantor is the owner in fee simple of said premises; that said premises are free from all encumbrances except current years taxes, levies, and assessments, and except U. S. Patent reservations, restrictions, easements of record, and easements visible upon the premises, and that Grantor will warrant and defend the same from all claims whatsoever.

Dated: February 7, 2003

Kathy J. Daniels
Kathy J. Daniels

David Daniels
David Daniels

RECORDED AT THE REQUEST OF

2003 FEB - 7 PM 2:00


BINGHAM COUNTY RECORDER
BLACKFOOT, IDAHO
FEE \$12.00
SEP 19 2003

STATE OF Idaho)

COUNTY OF BINGHAM) ss.

On this 7th day of February, 2003, before me Michelle Koford, personally appeared Kathy J. Daniels and David Daniels known or identified to me (or proved to me on the oath of), to be the person(s) whose name(s) are subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged to me that they executed the same.

Michelle Koford
Notary Public of Idaho
Residing at Blackfoot, Idaho



FIRST AMERICAN TITLE COMPANY

JUL 21 2004

522505

INVOICE

Corbett Slough Ditch Company
78 North 100 West
Blackfoot, ID 83221

DATE	INVOICE #
1/27/2004	303

BILL TO
Zane Caldwell 442 West Riverton Road Blackfoot, ID 83221

P.O. NO.	TERMS	PROJECT
	Due Upon Receipt	

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	RATE	AMOUNT
1	Certification Change Fee	15.00	15.00
Cert # 2992 Shares .4		Total	\$15.00

JUL 21 2004

No.68-

WARRANTY DEED

Albert Hofer and Magdalena
Hofer, husband and wife

TO

Orris R. Lindsay and Lilly H.
Lindsay, husband and wife

Dated- September 20th, 1956-

Consideration- \$11,478.00-

Recorded- October 1st, 1956 at 3:20 P.M., in-

Book "94" of Deeds at Page 82 under Recorder's-

Fee No. 49935 of the records of Bingham County, Idaho-

Witness-

Robert M. Kerr Jr.

Albert Hofer

Magdalena Hofer

Acknowledged by Albert Hofer and Magdalena Hofer, husband and wife,
September 29th, 1956 before Robert M. Kerr Jr., a Notary
Public of Idaho, residing at Blackfoot, Idaho. Sealed.

DESCRIPTION and REMARKS

The first parties do hereby grant, bargain, sell and convey and confirm unto the second parties, and to their heirs and assigns, forever, all the following described real estate, situated in Bingham County, Idaho, to-wit:

That portion of Lot 4 of Section 8 in Township 3 South, Range 35 E.B.M., in Idaho, described as follows: Beginning at the Southeast corner of said Lot 4, thence West 256 feet, thence North 440 feet, thence North 50°30' East 348 feet; thence South 651 feet to the place of beginning; Also that portion of Lot 2 of said Section 8, described as follows: Beginning at the center of said Section 8, thence North 1375 feet, thence West 505 feet, thence South 50°30' West 1075 feet, thence South 651 feet, thence East 1300 feet to the place of beginning, together with all ditches, ditch rights of way, water and water rights thereunto belonging including particularly 43 and 1/3 inches of the waters of Blackfoot River out of that 150 inches of the waters of Blackfoot River decreed to Robert Millan with a priority date of May 1, 1885, under a decree dated August 1, 1891 and recorded in Judgment Book No. 1 at pages 107 to 116 of the records of Bingham County, Idaho, and 43 and 1/3 shares of the capital stock of the Miners Ditch Co., Inc.

Subject to easements and rights of way, reservations in federal patents and state deeds, to taxes and assessments for the year 1956, and to any lien or encumbrance assumed, suffered or occasioned by the parties of the second part.

To Have and To Hold in the quiet and peaceable possession of the said second parties, their heirs and assigns forever, and the said first parties herein will warrant and forever defend.

\$12.65 I. R.S. Attached and Cancelled

NOV 12 1992

FLB 79

THIS INDENTURE, made this 20th day of September, 1956,
 between ALBERT HOFER and MAGDALENA HOFER, husband and wife,
 of the County of Bingham, State of Idaho,
 the parties of the first part and ORRIS R. LINDSAY and LILLY H. LINDSAY,
 husband and wife,
 of the County of Bingham, State of Idaho, the parties of the second part,
 WITNESSETH, that the said parties of the first part, for and in consideration of the sum of
 Eleven Thousand Four Hundred Seventy-eight and no/100----- Dollars
 lawful money of the United States of America * * *
 to them in hand paid by the parties of the second part, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged,
 have granted, bargained, sold, and by these presents, do grant, bargain, sell and convey and confirm unto
 the said parties of the second part, and to their heirs and assigns, forever, all the following described
 real estate, situated in the County of Bingham,
 State of Idaho, to-wit:

That portion of Lot Four of Section Eight in
 Township Three South, Range Thirty-five East
 of Boise Meridian, in Idaho, described as follows:
 Beginning at the Southeast corner of said Lot Four,
 thence West 256 feet, thence North 440 feet, thence
 North 50°30' East 348 feet; thence South 651 feet
 to the place of beginning; Also that portion of Lot
 Two of said Section Eight, described as follows:
 Beginning at the center of said Section Eight, thence
 North 1375 feet, thence West 505 feet, thence South
 50°30' West 1075 feet, thence South 651 feet, thence
 East 1300 feet to the place of beginning, together
 with all ditches, ditch rights of way, water and
 water rights thereunto belonging including particu-
 larly forty-three and one-third inches of the waters
 of Blackfoot River out of that one hundred fifty inches
 of the waters of Blackfoot River decreed to Robert Millan
 with a priority date of May 1, 1885, under a decree
 dated August 1, 1891 and recorded in Judgment Book No. 1
 at pages 107 to 116 of the records of Bingham County,
 Idaho, and forty-three and one-third shares of the
 capital stock of the Miners Ditch Co., Inc.

Subject to easements and rights of way, reservations in federal patents
 and state deeds, to taxes and assessments for the year 1956, and to
 any lien or encumbrance assumed, suffered or occasioned by the parties
 of the second part.

TOGETHER with all and singular the tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances thereunto belong-
 ing or in anywise appertaining, and the reversion and reversions, remainder and remainders, and rents, issues
 and profits thereof; and all estate, right, title and interest in and to the said property, as well in law as in equity,
 of the said parties of the first part.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD, all and singular the above mentioned and described premises together
 with the appurtenances unto the parties of the second part, and to their heirs and assigns forever.
 And the said parties of the first part, and their heirs, the said premises in the quiet and peaceable
 possession of the said parties of the second part, their heirs and assigns, against the said parties
 of the first part, and their heirs, and against all and every person and persons whomsoever, lawfully
 claiming or to claim the same shall and will warrant and by these presents forever defend.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said parties of the first part have hereunto set their hands
 and seals the day and year first above written.

Executed and Delivered in the Presence of

Robert Millan

Albert Hofer

[SEAL]

Magdalena Hofer

[SEAL]

[SEAL]

[SEAL]

JUL 21

CB

STATE OF IDAHO

County of BINGHAM

No. 1080

Marriage License

Instrument No. 496785

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THIS CERTIFICATE: That any regularly ordained minister of the Gospel, authorized by the rites and usages of the church or denomination of Christians, Hebrews, or religious body of which said minister is a member, or any judge or magistrate, or competent officer to whom this may come, not knowing of any lawful impediments thereto, is hereby authorized and empowered to solemnize the rites of Matrimony between:

GROOM

Name: DAVID ARNOLD DANIELS
Address: BLACKFOOT, BINGHAM County, IDAHO
Age: 61 Race: WHITE Status: DIVORCED
Birthdate: 11/28/1939
Birthplace: CALDWELL, TEXAS USA

AND

BRIDE

Name: KATHY JEAN LINDSAY
Address: BLACKFOOT, BINGHAM County, IDAHO
Age: 58 Race: WHITE Status: WIDOWED
Maiden name if previously married: HAWKER
Birthdate: 4/7/1942
Birthplace: IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO USA

And to certify the same to said parties, or either of them under the signature and seal, of said minister or official capacity, and thereupon is required to deliver the original to the parties so married.
IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal, at
BLACKFOOT, BINGHAM County, IDAHO, this 26th day of January, 2001

JUDIE HAMPTON Recorder

Deputy Recorder

Marriage Certificate

I, Kathryn A. Sticklen

District Judge

residing in the city of Boise, in the county of Ada, in the state of Idaho do hereby certify that, in accordance with the authority on me conferred by the above license, I did on this 26th day of January in the year A.D. 2001 in the city of Ada in the state of Idaho, solemnize the rites of matrimony between

DAVID ARNOLD DANIELS of BLACKFOOT, in the county of BINGHAM, of the state of IDAHO
and KATHY JEAN LINDSAY of BLACKFOOT, in the county of BINGHAM, of the state of IDAHO

in the presence of Roddy Allen and Douglas Chase

WITNESS my hand at the county aforesaid, this 26th day of January, A.D. 2001.

In the presence of

Officiant's Signature

Officiant's Mailing Address

514 W. Jefferson

and

Boise, Idaho 83702

(This copy for the Bride and Groom)

COPY

JUL 21 2004

CB

STATE OF IDAHO
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND WELFARE
CENTER FOR VITAL STATISTICS AND HEALTH POLICY
State of Idaho
CERTIFICATE OF DEATH

ONLY A COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT, CERTIFIED BY THE STATE REGISTRAR, WITH THE DEPT. OF HEALTH & WELFARE RAISED SEAL, SHALL BE USED AS PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE OF THIS DEATH UNDER EDWIN CODE 30-2410A & 30-27A.

State File No. _____
Local Reg. No. 140

DECEDENT — NAME		FIRST		MIDDLE		LAST		SEX		DATE OF DEATH (Month, Day, Year)	
Orris		Roy				Lindsay		Male		September 8, 1999	
SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER		AGE — Last Birthday (Yrs.)		UNDER 1 YEAR		UNDER 1 DAY		DATE OF BIRTH (Month, Day, Year)		BIRTHPLACE (City and State or Foreign Country)	
[REDACTED]		75		MONTHS		DAYS		June 24, 1924		Moreland, Idaho	
WAS DECEDENT EVER IN U.S. ARMED FORCES?		PLACE OF DEATH (Check only one)		HOSPITAL		OTHER					
☒ Yes ☐ No		(1) ☐ Inpatient		(2) ☐ ER/Outpatient		(3) ☐ DOA		(4) ☐ Long-Term Care Facility		(6) ☐ Other Private Residence	
		(5) ☒ Own Residence		(7) ☐ Other (Specify)							
FACILITY NAME AND ADDRESS (If not a hospital, enter name of place, street and number)		CITY, TOWN OR LOCATION OF DEATH		COUNTY OF DEATH							
442 W. Riverton Road		Blackfoot		Bingham							
MARRITAL STATUS — Married, Never Married, Widowed, Divorced (Specify)		SURVIVING SPOUSE (If wife, give maiden name)		DECEDENT'S USUAL OCCUPATION (Give kind of work done during most of working life. Do not use railroad.)		KING OF BUSINESS / INDUSTRY					
Married		Kathy Hawker Quinones		Electronic Supply Mgr.		U.S. Government					
RESIDENCE STATE		CITY, TOWN OR LOCATION		STREET AND NUMBER		ZIP CODE					
Idaho		Bingham		Blackfoot		442 W. Riverton Road				83221	
INSIDE CITY LIMITS?		WAS DECEDENT OF HISPANIC ORIGIN? (Specify No or Yes — if yes, specify Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, etc.)		RACE — American Indian, Black, Japanese, White, etc. (Specify)		15. DECEDENT'S EDUCATION (Specify only highest grade completed)					
☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		White		Elementary/Secondary (0-12)		College (1-4 or 5+)		1	
FATHER — NAME		BIRTHPLACE		MOTHER — FULL MAIDEN NAME		BIRTHPLACE					
Wallace Lindsay		Eden, Utah		Bertha Crouch		Freedom, WY					
INFORMANT'S NAME (Type/Print)		MAILING ADDRESS (Street and Number or Rural Route Number, City or Town, State, Zip Code)									
Kathy Lindsay		442 W. Riverton Road		Blackfoot, ID		83221					
METHOD OF DISPOSITION		PLACE OF DISPOSITION (Place of cemetery, crematory, or other place)		LOCATION — City or Town, State							
☒ Burial ☐ Cremation ☐ Removal from Idaho		Grove City Cemetery		Blackfoot, Idaho							
☐ Donation ☐ Other (Specify)											
SIGNATURE OF FUNERAL SERVICE LICENSEE OR PERSON ACTING AS SUCH		LICENSE NUMBER (of Licensee)		NAME AND ADDRESS OF FACILITY							
Kevin J. [Signature]		M-788		Hill, Hawker, Sandberg Funeral Home		214 S. Univ. Ave.		Blackfoot, ID		83221	
TIME OF DEATH		DATE PROHOUNCED DEAD (Month, Day, Year)		WAS CASE REFERRED TO CORONER?		MANNER OF DEATH (Check One)					
1:40 a.m.		Sept. 8, 1999		☐ Yes ☒ No		☒ Natural ☐ Homicide ☐ Suicide ☐ Accident					
27. PART I. Enter the diseases, injuries, or complications that caused the death. Do not enter the mode of dying, such as cardiac or respiratory arrest, shock, or heart failure. List only one cause on each line.		IMMEDIATE CAUSE								Approximate Interval Between Onset and Death	
IMMEDIATE CAUSE (Final disease or condition resulting in death)		a.		alzheimer's dementia						2 years	
Sequentially list conditions, if any, leading to immediate cause. Enter UNDERLYING CAUSE (disease or injury that initiated events; resulting in death) LAST		b.		DUE TO (or as a consequence of):							
		c.		DUE TO (or as a consequence of):							
		d.		DUE TO (or as a consequence of):							
27. PART II. Other Significant Conditions contributing to death but not resulting in the underlying cause given in Part I.		WAS AN AUTOPSY PERFORMED?		WERE AUTOPSY FINDINGS AVAILABLE PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF CAUSE OF DEATH?							
		☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☐ No							
CERTIFIER (Check only one)		SIGNATURE AND TITLE OF CERTIFIER		LICENSE NUMBER		DATE SIGNED (Month, Day, Year)					
☒ PHYSICIAN — To the best of my knowledge, death occurred at the time, date, and place, and due to the cause(s) and manner as stated.		Andrew N. Bulley MD		M-6241		13 Sep 99					
☐ CORONER — On the basis of examination and/or investigation, in my opinion, death occurred at the time, date, and place, and due to the cause(s) and manner as stated.											
DATE OF INJURY (Mo., Day, Yr.)		HOUR OF INJURY		DESCRIBE HOW INJURY OCCURRED							
INJURY AT WORK?		IF TRANSPORTATION ACCIDENT, Specify		PLACE OF INJURY — At home, farm, street, factory, office building, etc. (Specify)		LOCATION (Street or Route Number, City, Town, or State and Zip)					
☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Driver ☐ Passenger ☐ Pedestrian									
☐ Other											
CORONER'S ACTION		CORONER'S SIGNATURE		DATE SIGNED (Month, Day, Year)							
I have reviewed and/or amended, and certified.		[Signature]		[Signature]							
REGISTRAR'S SIGNATURE		DATE FILED (Month, Day, Year)									
[Signature]		September 15, 1999									
VITAL STATISTIC COPY											

VITAL STATISTIC COPY

This is a true and correct reproduction of the document officially registered and placed on file with the IDAHO CENTER FOR VITAL STATISTICS AND HEALTH POLICY.

DATE ISSUED: September 15, 1999

This copy is not valid unless prepared on engraved border displaying state seal and signature of the Registrar

JANE S. SMITH
STATE REGISTRAR



**SNAKE RIVER BASIN ADJUSTICATION
CHANGE IN ADDRESS OF NOTICE OF CLAIM**

Claim Number(s): A27-10296

Previous Mailing Address: 442 W. Riverton Rd
Blackfoot ID 83221

New Mailing Address: 436 W. Riverton Rd
Blackfoot ID 83221

Telephone Number: (208) 785-1284

Signature of Claimant(s): David A. Daniel
Kathy Daniels

Please return this completed form to the address indicated below:


☐ Adjudication Bureau
Idaho Dept. of Water Resources
1301 N. Orchard St.
Boise, ID 83706-2237
Ph. 1/800/451-4129 or
FAX 208/327-5400

☐ Adjudication Bureau
Idaho Dept. of Water Resources
1341 Fillmore St., Ste. 200
Twin Falls, ID 83301-3380 or
FAX 208/736-3037

☐ Adjudication Bureau
Idaho Dept. of Water Resources
2735 Airport Way
Boise, ID 83705-5082
Ph. 208/334-2190 or
FAX 208/334-2348

☐ Adjudication Bureau
Idaho Dept. of Water Resources
900 N. Skyline, Ste A.
Idaho Falls, ID 83402-3653 or
FAX 208/525-7177

☐ Adjudication Bureau
Idaho Dept. of Water Resources
15 West Center Street
Soda Springs, ID 83276
Ph. 208/547-3993 or
FAX 208/547-3939





State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

July 13, 2004

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

Kathy Lindsey-Daniels
436 W. Riverton Rd
Blackfoot, ID 83221

Ref: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Claim A27-10296

Subject: Ownership update

RESPONSE DATE: JULY 27, 2004

Dear Kathy;

IDWR records currently show the following name and address for the Notice of Claim listed above:

Orris R. Lindsey
Kathy Lindsey
442 W. Riverton Rd
Blackfoot, ID 83221

If the information shown above is incorrect, then please complete the enclosed Change in Ownership form with a copy of Orris R. Lindsey's death certificate and your marriage certificate to David Daniels. Also please include a copy of your warranty deed and a copy of the plat map with your property highlighted. Make sure you sign the form on line 7. If the property and water right is split then please complete line 6 of this form.

This office must receive your response by the above response date. If proper notice of a change in address/ownership is not received, then all legal notices required by IDWR to mail to the claimant will be sent to the owner/address listed on the current Notice of Claim. This notice will be deemed legally sufficient notice.

If you have any additional questions please feel free to contact me in the Soda Springs office at 547-3993.

Respectfully,

Cindy E. Bird

Enclosure: ADDRESS FM Revised 2/10/2004

Notice of Change in Water Right Ownership form 42-248/42-1409(6) July 2000.

Memo to File: The following letter date November 6, 2002, was sent out to the claimants and no response was received back to Intrepid.

November 6, 2002

Dear Sir or Madam:

Intrepid Technology and Resources, Inc. (ITR) has been contracted by the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR) to verify information in the State's records regarding the enclosed claim(s). This letter is being sent to the address of record for the enclosed claim(s).

In June or July of 2002, ITR employees visited the point(s) of diversion for the claim(s) in question. The purpose of this letter is to follow up on that visit, and ask for your input to verify the boundary of the area irrigated by each point of diversion. IDWR has supplied the enclosed maps and/or aerial photographs. The points of diversion (wellheads, dams, etc.) are indicated as circles. The irrigated areas are traced with bright yellow lines.

Please take the time to review the map, and correct any inaccuracies by marking changes on the map, and sending the map and claim file back to us in the enclosed postage-paid envelope.


If the claim is no longer in use, please note it on the claim file and return it to us in the enclosed envelope.

This applies only to the enclosed claim file. Water rights or claims not included with this package will be dealt with separately. Please DO NOT mark wells, diversions, or irrigated areas not specifically addressed in this package.

If you have any questions about the letter itself or how to respond, please call Doug Larsen or myself at 208-529-5337. We will be happy to discuss it with you.

Sincerely,

Shaun Dustin, PE
Intrepid Technology & Resources, Inc.



IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

SNAKE RIVER BASIN ADJUDICATION - BASIN 23-27

RIGHT ID
POD ID
Folder No.
Claim Status
IDTMEAST
IDTMNORTH
GPSID

Diversion Description

HEADGATE TO CANAL, PUMP TO SPRINKLERS. Two large Vertical Turbine pumps lift water 7.5 feet. Pumps equipped with flow meter. Flow meter appears to average 100,000 gpm per flow meter, but ranges from 40,000 to 130,000 (can't get into pump house).

Assumptions

Horse power.

Invoice #

2000-055-23-27-0

Phase-0 (No Attention)
Phase-I (Ready to Go to Field)
Phase-II (Site Visit - Data Missing)
Phase-III (Complete w/issues)

Linked

Photos

GPS Accuracy (ft)

16 Feet

Date (M/D/Y)

07-24-02

Time

14:00

Flow Rate (CFS)

24.66

Open Channel Flow

☐ Complete one box from both Area and Calculation sections

Cross Sectional Area

☐ Circular Channel

- ☐ Less than half full
☐ Half full
☐ More than half full
☐ Full

Diameter

Depth

☐ Rectangular Channel

Width

Depth

Flow Calculation

☐ Continuity

Velocity

☐ Orifice

(Use only for head over a pipe flowing full with free discharge)

Depth of Water above orifice centerline

☐ Weir

(Use only for water flowing freely over weir)

Height of water above nappe

Width of weir

☐ Manning (If used, include photo of representative channel section)

Channel Slope

Channel Description

Concrete lined	.016
Smooth earth	.025
Earth	.033
Rough bed, weeds on sides	.04
Smooth natural stream	.033
Rough natural stream	.1

Pumped System ☒

HP

Pressure Head (psi)

Elevation Head (ft)

Motor Mfg

Motor Serial #

Pump Type


Pump Mfg

Pump Serial#

New Contact Information ☐

New Contact Comments

This Form and the associated query are the exclusive intellectual property of Intrepid Technology Resources Inc. , and may not be copied, modified, or distributed without the express written consent of Intrepid Technology and Resopurces, Inc.



FOLDER NO.



JUL 21 2004

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
NOTICE OF CHANGE IN WATER RIGHT OWNERSHIP

Please print or type. Attach pages with additional information. Instructions are included at the end of this form.
 Incomplete forms will be returned.

1. Please list the water right number(s) and/or adjudication claim number(s) (if any) for each water right to be changed. List just the adjudication claim number if there is no corresponding water right record on file with the department. Indicate, by checking in the space provided (under the "split" heading), if the change in ownership is limited to a portion of a water right in which case division of the existing water right or adjudication claim record will be required.

This is not part of 27-10296. It's connected slough water, CB

Water Right No(s).	Adjudication Claim No(s).	Split	Water Right No(s).	Adjudication Claim No(s).	Split
<u>27-10296</u>	<u>27-10296</u>	☐	_____	_____	☐
_____	_____	☐	_____	_____	☐
_____	_____	☐	_____	_____	☐
_____	_____	☐	_____	_____	☐

2. The following **REQUIRED** information must be submitted with this form:

- A. The appropriate **FILING FEE**. See instructions for fee amounts.
- B. A copy of the most recent **DEED, TITLE POLICY, CONTRACT OF SALE** or other legal document indicating your ownership of the property and water right(s) or claim(s) in question, **WITH ATTACHED LEGAL DESCRIPTION**.
- C. Either of the following (if necessary to clarify division of water rights or other complex property descriptions):
PLAT OF PROPERTY or **SURVEY MAP** clearly showing the location of the point(s) of diversion and place of use of your water right(s) and/or adjudication claim(s) (these are usually attached to your deed or on file with the county).
 OR

If your water right(s) and/or adjudication claim(s) is for ten or more acres of irrigation, you must submit a USDA Farm Service Agency **AERIAL PHOTO** with the irrigated acres outlined and point(s) of diversion clearly marked. The **AERIAL PHOTO** should be submitted in place of the **PLAT OF PROPERTY** or **SURVEY MAP**.

3. Name and Address of Former Owner/Claimant(s)

Chris R. Lindsay and/or Kathy Lindsay
442 W. Riverston Rd. Blackfoot ID 83221

4. New Owner/Claimant(s)

David A. Daniels and/or Kathy Daniels
 Name Connector (Check one): ☐ and, ☐ or, ☒ and/or

New Mailing Address

436 W. Riverston Rd. P

City, State and ZIP Code

Blackfoot ID 83221

New Telephone Number

(208) 785-1284

5. Date you acquired the property

1956

JUL 21 2004



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

July 22, 2004

David & Kathy Daniels
436 W. Riverton Rd
Blackfoot, ID 83221

REF: 27-10296

Subject: Ownership documents

Dear David and Kathy,


After our meeting yesterday I found these documents from some that had been submitted. It might help to find additional documents. It appears that Finetta Stevens received part of your land in 1909 from the United States. I don't know what happened to it after Finetta got it. It also doesn't describe the piece in the NENW. Some of the property you claimed in the south half of section 8 is government lots 2 & 4 in these documents. Some of the documents conveyed a portion of lot 4 in section 8, we will run our mapping program on the legal description to see if it is the same piece you own. I don't think it is because some of the later deeds convey it to Stan Hill. Hopefully, these will help in your search.

Respectfully,

Cindy E. Bird
Adjudication Bureau


Enclosure: Old Warranty deeds

35



TRIBAL


Supporting Information for Kathy and Orris Lindsey's Water Right No. 27-10296



Orris Lindsey Property identified as a portion of Lot 2 and Lot 4 of Section 8 R35E

■ Red blocks outline Lindsey property.

The above deeds reference the above described property.



IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO. IN AND FOR BINGHAM COUNTY.

8-1-1891

Fred S. Stevens, et al.)
Plaintiffs.)

-VS-

Eastern Idaho Water Co. et al.)
Defendants.)

This cause having been heretofore tried
by the Court without a jury and the Court having
made its findings of fact and conclusions of law.

It is now ordered, adjudged and decreed
that the following parties are entitled to divert
from the Blackfoot River the amount of water here-
in indicated and the right of each party dates
from the time hereinafter stated and set opposite
each name, to-wit: Among others is that of-

William Kirkpatrick, May 1st, 1878 100 inches.

James Welch, March 20th, 1886 400 inches.

It is further ordered, adjudged and de-
creed, that all parties to this action shall have
the right to use the waters of the several streams
named in this decree for domestic purposes.
Done in open Court this August 1st, A.D. 1891.

Chas. Cabb.
Judge Pro. Tem.

Recorded-in Judgment Book "1" at
pages 107-116 of the records of -
Bingham County, Idaho-

DO NOT FILE

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR BINGHAM COUNTY.

8-1-1891

Fred S. Stevens, etal :
Plaintiffs :
vs :
Eastern Idaho Water Co., etal :
Defendants. :
.....

This cause having been heretofore tried by the Court without a Jury and the Court having made its findings of fact and conclusions of Law.

It is now ordered, adjudged and decreed that the following parties are entitled to divert from the Blackfoot River the amount of water herein indicated and the right of each party dated from the time hereinafter stated and set opposite each name, to-wit:

Among other names is found that of Robert Millen- May 1st, 1885-150 inches.

It is Ordered, adjudged and decreed that the several quantities of water herein decreed shall be the quantities hereinbefore named measured under a four inch pressure and that the first appropriation in point of time is first in point of right and all appropriations of equal or of the same date are of equal rights. It is further adjudged and decreed that in case of insufficiency of water to supply all parties the entire volume is to be devoted to and used by those appropriators who are first in point of time.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that all parties to this action shall have the right to use the water of the several streams named in this decree for domestic purposes.

Done in open Court this August 1st, 1891.

Chas. Cobb,
Judge Pro-tem

Recorded in Judgment Book No. 1
Pages 107 to 116, of the records of
Bingham County, Idaho-