

Notes

8/3/05 Please fax data sheets to I.F. for data entry.

Claim disallowed Please include that on the PDR: Send claimants the PDR + NOE. CB.

10/24/05 field exam done.

10/27/05 recommendation on mitigation based on field exam. CB

27-11989

T 4455 R 39E

Sec. 33

20 SWSW 23

10 SESW 12

NESW 0.4

NWSW 1.3

- Sec. 4

10 NENW 8.5

40	SWSW	2.5
		47.7

(48)

33

Pt of E
NESW:

27-11989

6381
• POD

TSS R3FE

Sec. 4.
NWSW NW.

15 West Center Street
Soda Springs, Idaho 83276
(208) 547-3993
(208) 547-3939 FAX

**Idaho Department of
Water Resources**

Fax

To: Jennifer **From:** Pauline
Fax: 525-7177 **Pages:** 17
Phone: **Date:** 8-03-05
Re: #27-11989 **CC:**

This claim for Chesterfield L+L also needs

☐ Urgent ☐ For Review ☐ Please Comment ☐ Please Reply ☐ Please Recycle

ecvr work done.

Thanks

[illegible]

Reviewer:

Date:

Date: 4/23/04

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27- 11989

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: 7-7-1909 Recommended Basis: B.U.☐ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

Warranty deed to Inga O Rademacher, August Rademacher
and Jane Williamson

Reviewer: CBDate: 8/2/05

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Priority Date

Claim No. 27-11989

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source?
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
Yes ☐ No ☒
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree?
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
Yes ☐ No ☐
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
Yes ☐ No ☐
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
Yes ☐ No ☒
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date?
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
Yes ☐ No ☐
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date?
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
Yes ☐ No ☐
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
Yes ☐ No ☒
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
Yes ☐ No ☒
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☒
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report?
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
Yes ☐ No ☐
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date?
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
Yes ☒ No ☐
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted?
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
Yes ☐ No ☐
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right?
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
Yes ☐ No ☐
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly?
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.
Yes ☒ No ☐
6. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☒

Priority Date

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27 11989

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

☐ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source	
4S	39E	33		SWSESW	Bingham	Graves crk.	pt. of Begin.
GPS Coordinates: _____							
4S	39E	33		NE SW SW	Bingham	Graves crk.	pt of Ending.
GPS Coordinates: _____							
5S	39E	35		NENWSW	Caribou	Graves crk.	pt of Begin
GPS Coordinates: _____							
5S	39E	35		NENWNW	Caribou	Graves crk.	pt. of ending.
GPS Coordinates: _____							
GPS Coordinates: _____							
GPS Coordinates: _____							
GPS Coordinates: _____							

Other rights from this POD? NO

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

TOPO Map.
No irrigation POD'S listed on amended claim?
7/22/05 I called and talked with Rondo Perkin and
he is suppost to make an appointment with me
the week of 8/1 to 8/5/05 CB.

Transfers Changing POD _____

Reviewer: CB

Date: 8/1/05

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27-11989

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes ☐ No ☒

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes ☐ No ☒

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes ☐ No ☐

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

Yes ☐ No ☐

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10

If No, go to 6

Yes ☐ No ☐

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 9

Yes ☐ No ☒

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes ☐ No ☐

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes ☐ No ☐

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes ☒ No ☐

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete

If No, POD review is complete.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Point of Diversion

Source

Claim No. 27 - 11989

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes () No (X)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10

Yes () No (X)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10

Yes () No ()

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

Yes (X) No ()

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Source

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-11989.☐ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other
<u>stock water.</u>		<u>1000</u>		

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

claimants didn't file an additional fee for 3000 head.

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use NOReviewer: CBDate: 8/1/05.

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 27-11989

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes ☐ No ☒
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☒
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☒
7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8
Yes ☐ No ☐
8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
Yes ☐ No ☐
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes ☒ No ☐
12. Did the claimant file a transfer?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☒

Purpose of Use

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-11989. Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
53	39E	35	NW	NW	Caribou			Stock.
			SW	NW	Caribou			stock.
			SE	NW	Caribou.			stock.
			NW	SW	Caribou			stock.
			NE	SW	Caribou			stock.
4S	39E	33	S 1/2	SW	Bingham		—	Stock

Total Acres


8/1/05 CB.
See attached
graph for stock
POU.

Document how the POU was verified:

attached is a graph of the POU QQ'S for the stockwaters
for instream stock.

Transfers Changing POU NOReviewer: CBDate: 8/2/05

This is covered under
27-11988
(different priority date)
8/1/05 CB.



SCALE: 2 Inches Equal 1 Mile. Draw Plan in Ink.

Chester Field L & L
Stock POU

8/1/05 CB.

Place of Use

Claim No. 27-11989

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 2a

If No, go to 2b

Yes ☐ No ☒

2a. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 14

Yes ☐ No ☐

2b. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 14

Yes ☒ No ☐

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 7

Yes ☐ No ☒

4. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 6

If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

Yes ☐ No ☐

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

If Yes, go to 6

If No, go to 7

Yes ☐ No ☐

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14

If No, go to 7

Yes ☐ No ☐

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 13

Yes ☐ No ☒

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13

If No, go to 9

Yes ☐ No ☐

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10

If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes ☐ No ☐

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 13

If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

Yes ☐ No ☐

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 13

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes ☐ No ☐

12. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes ☐ No ☐

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

If Yes, go to 14

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

Yes ☒ No ☐

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POU review is complete.

If No, POU review is complete.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Place of Use

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry FormClaim Number: 27-11989☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
stock	5/1	10/31	0.02	

Overall Diversion Rate 0.02 Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

Reviewer: CBDate: 8/2/05

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes () No (X)
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes () No (X)
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
Yes () No ()
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes () No ()
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
Yes () No ()
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
Yes () No (X)
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
Yes () No ()
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
Yes () No ()
9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
Yes () No ()
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
Yes () No ()
11. Was a transfer filed?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
Yes () No ()
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
Yes (X) No ()
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
Yes () No (X)
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
Yes () No ()
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
Yes () No (X)
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards?
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
Yes () No ()
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use?
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
Yes () No ()
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.
Yes () No (X)

Period of Use

Quantity

Claim No. 27-11989

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes ☐ No ☒

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes ☐ No ☒

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes ☐ No ☐

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 7

Yes ☐ No ☐

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 7

Yes ☐ No ☐

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7

If No, go to 7

Yes ☐ No ☒

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9

If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

*in stream stock*Yes ☐ No ☒

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes ☐ No ☐

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 11

Yes ☐ No ☒

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes ☐ No ☐

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, go to 12

Yes ☐ No ☒

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.

If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Quantity

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3

Yes () No (X)

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes () No ()

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes () No (X)

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes (X) No ()

5. Does this right have any combined used limits with other rights?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (X) No ()

7. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (X) No ()

8. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 9
If No, go to 9

Yes (X) No (X)

9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 10
If No, go to 10

Yes () No (X)

10. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (X) No ()

Summary

Claim No.

27-11989

Summary Data Entry Form

Claim No.: _____

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18

N11

N13

P21

27-4016

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

27-4016 — 27-11988 8-3-1896
— 27-11989 7-7-1909

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

Claim No.: _____

Reviewer _____

CB

Date _____

8/2/05

27-11989

Notes

8/2/05 I called Jennifer Crabtree to have her look up receipt NO. E011014.

#460 - Adjudication filing fee, 27-11245 \$110.⁰⁰.
27-4016 #350 - (\$50 filing fee, 300 ac @ \$1⁰⁰ per ac.)

8/2/05 Recommending instream stock.
No irrigation POD's listed on amended claim and claimants haven't responded to the request for a field exam or to come in and review file. CB.
Information sheet located in this file on the split lists irrigation POD but they don't make sense for the irrigated POD. CB.




STATE OF IDAHO

Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region



Water Right(s) 27-11989



500 0 500 1000 Feet


IRRIGATED ACRES 48

LEGEND

- ⊙ Point of Diversion
- ⊙ Place of Use
- ▬ Townships
- ▬ Sections
- ▬ Quarter-Quarters

Place of Use: T4S, R39E:
(part of) Sec. 33, SWSW, SESW.
T5S, R39E:
(part of) Sec. 4, NENW.

Point(s) of Diversion: T S, R E:
Sec.



GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/88 NAPP Photography
Prepared by: B. Payne
Date: May 2005.

12 July 2005

To: File
From: B. Payne
Re: 27-11989

Bingham County spacial Taxlot data shows Chesterfield Land and Livestock
ownes all of T4S, R39E, Section 33, SWSW, SESW.

Notes

3-10-04 Letter to Clamont re amend claim. BP

3-23-04 Received amended claim. BP

9/28/04 .8 cts or 40 inches - with 27-11988 totals 185 inches.
do there enough water in Graves Creek?

9/29/04 10-7-04, Field Exam scheduled. added TOPD, Ortho,
1945 Metsker Map.

7/22/05 Called and left a message with Julie Perkins
that I need Rondo to make an appointment
with me for Monday to go over these claims.

7/25/05 Rondo called me to say he was in
state harvesting grain and could he ~~not~~
call me next week to set up an appointment
when he gets back. I explained to Rondo
that there is a lot of inconsistency in
the claims that we need to discuss.

2/12/04 CB

Notes

7-7-1909

27-11989

SPLIT FROM STATUTORY CLAIM 27-4016

Source Graves Creek Trib. Blackfoot R.

Instream stock watering PODS.

Begin T4S, R39E Sec 33 SWSE SW

End NESW SW ✓

Begin T5S, R39E Sec 35 NESE SW NE NWSW

End NE-NWNWNW ✓

POU stock Sec. 33 SESW

SWSW

Sec. 35 SE SW NWSW

NESW SW NW

SE NW

NWNW

Irrigation POD SWNW SW Sec. 4 T5S, R39E

27-11988 is also diverted through the
Same POD.

~~SE SW~~ SW Sec 33 T4S, R39E.

Irrigated POU: SWSW 20 ac Sec 33, T4S, R39E

SESW 10 ac.

NENW 10 ac. T5S, R39E. Sec. 4.

Diversion rates 0.80 irrigation.
0.02 stock.

Total 0.80 cfs.

Notes

7-7-1909

27-11989

SOU: Irrigation 5/1 - 10/31

Stock 5/1 - 10/31

Notes

6/24/03. Layne Hamilton came in today 6-24-03. He is still working on getting documentation for Chesterfield Land & Livestock. Have given him another 30 days.

1-22-04 Layne came into the office for a meeting and brought several documents to use for evidence of the priority date.

1-23-04. SWSE, SESE Sect. 33, T4S, R39E and L-3, SENW can support a 7-7-1909 priority.

* L-4, SWNW, NWSW, SWSW Sec 4, & N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW & SENW 8-3-1896 priority.

Claim needs to be amended to correct POI, update priority date and change POD for stock to instream, & only POP'S for irrigation.

Remove state ground from claim. CB

2/5/04 Candice McHugh from the Attorney General's office called to say that she feels the documents do support an 8-3-1896 priority date from Graves Creek.

2/6/04 emailed Ernie to split this claim. Need to amend this claim with 2 different priority dates.

Notes

2/6/04 B27 watermaster reports 1975-1988 shows delivery of 27-4016 to Chesterfield land & Livestock from Graves Creek.

2/11/04 Claim 27-4016 { 27-11988 (8-3-1896)
27-11989 (7-7-1909)

Claims need to be amended for the 2 priority dates. System is down this afternoon so I couldn't amend the claims.

Call Layne when we get the claims amended and he will let us know if we need to send them to him or if someone else will come by and sign them. CB