

Notes

5/26/05 cont'd. IP claimant wants to file a new claim for the land in the ~~SE~~NE & SWNE and provide evidence to support the priority date then they can but I'm not recommending it under the 1952 priority.

The land is section 2 may require another field exam to determine the pod for that area.

5/26/05 recommend as noted. CB

6/22/05 adjust POH. (See layout for edits) ^{CB}
add PoD. (Field exam 6/22/05).

field amendment form signed
by Kent Allen

Change priority date.

faxed a copy of posted Notice to Candice on 1886 priority date.

6/23/05 email from Candice to say that Slug creek isn't cut off 7/1 it would have the regular sec. CB

7/15/05 linked New shapes for POH. in I. F. CB.

Resent PDR & NOE. asked Katy to send.

FIELD AMENDMENT FORM

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): _____ Date: _____
 _____ Date: _____

For Organizations:

I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am Partner of
 Title
Preston R Allen & Sons, that I have signed the foregoing
 Organization

document in the space below as Partner of
 Title
Preston R Allen & Sons and that the statements contained in the
 Organization
 foregoing document are true and correct.

Kent B. Allen
 Signature of Authorized Agent


Partner
 Title and Organization

6-22-05
 Date

State of Idaho)
 County of Bannock) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 22nd day
 of June 20 05

Cindy E. Bird
 Notary Public



Residing at Lava Hot Springs
 My Commission Expires May 1, 2007

Fax

FAXED
6:01 pm

6/22/05 CB

mailed copies 6/23/05

To: Candice McHugh From: Cindy Bird
Fax: 1-208-287-6701 Pages: 3
Phone: 1-208-287-4810 Date: 6/22/05
Re: Posted Notice on Slug CC:
crk.

☐ Urgent ☐ For Review ☐ Please Comment ☐ Please Reply ☐ Please Recycle

• Comments:

- Water location document
- Plat map for Homesteads in T8S, R43E.

Candice,

I will also mail you a copy of this with the legal highlighted on the plat map.

The land described under this Notice is owned by the Allens now (Preston & Roland Allen).

If you look at the legal on the Notice, it doesn't describe all of the lands even though they are owned by the same person according to the plat. Any problem recommending the 1886 date? Cindy

WATER LOCATION OF LAUDON J. CLARK ET AL)

Notice is hereby given the undersigned citizens of the United States hereby claim and appropriate all the waters of Slug Creek and Duck Creek Springs situated in the lower Blackfoot Valley Bear Lake or Bingham County Idaho Territory. Said appropriation of Slug Creek is made by means of a dam already constructed across said Slug Creek at the point where this notice is posted and a monument of wood erected and at the point of diversion of said waters in a ditch now completed of the following dimensions to-wit. Six feet wide in the bottom, eight feet wide on top, two feet deep, with a fall of $\frac{1}{2}$ of an inch to the rod. Said appropriation of Duck Springs is made by means of a dam thrown across said stream at the point where this notice is posted and a monument of wood four feet high erected and at the point of diversion of said waters in a ditch already constructed of the following dimensions, six feet wide in the bottom, eight feet wide on the top and one foot deep with a fall of $\frac{1}{2}$ of an inch to the rod. The waters of said streams are conducted by the means aforesaid on the following described tracts of land for agricultural and irrigation purposes to-wit: W² Sec. 31 W² Sec. 30 Tp. 8 South R. 44 E E² Sec. 25, SW⁴ & S² NW⁴ of NW⁴ of Sec. 24, NE⁴ & E² SE⁴ sec. 23, N.² & SE⁴ & N² & SE⁴ SW⁴ sec 14 W² & W² of E² sec 11 W² & 1² of E² sec. 2 Tp. 8 South of R. 43 E.B.M. Dated October 11" A. D. 1886

Landen J. Rich Christian Wallantine
Chas J. Oakey James S. Nye
Joseph S. Clark Solon W. Robison
Minerva M. Wooley.

TERRITORY OF IDAHO COUNTY OF BEAR LAKE) SS I hereby certify that I did on the 11" day of October 1886 at the point indicated in the foregoing post up a notice of which the within are true copies.
J. C. Rich

Recorded at the request of C. Wallantine Oct. 12. 1886 at 5.50 P. M. J. Hasenfratz Co. Recorder, By J. W. Stucki Deputy

TERRITORY OF IDAHO COUNTY OF BEAR LAKE) SS I hereby certify that the following is a full, true and correct copy of a water location recorded in Book 1 Page 92 of Miscellaneous records of said County. Witness my hand and Official seal at Paris, Idaho, this 21" day of October A. D. 1889
(Seal) T. L. Montonya Co. Recorder

Recorded at the request of Jas. H. Hawley May 19" 1890, at 9:45 A.M. Geo. A. Robethan, Recorder.

Transcribed from Book C of Water Locations Pages 159 & 160 Records of Bingham County, Idaho.
Transcribed from Bannock County Records, Water Location Record No. 1, page 367

WATER LOCATION OF JOSEPH W. LINDFORD)

Notice is hereby given that the undersigned a citizen of the United States hereby claims and appropriates so much of the waters of Dimond Creek in the upper Blackfoot Valley, Bear Lake or Bingham County, Idaho Territory as will flow through a ditch already constructed of the following dimensions, towit: Eight feet wide in the bottom, ten feet wide on the top and two feet x with a fall of one inch to the rod. Said appropriation is made by

in 1887 done

RECEIVED
MAY 5 - 2004
CB

Charles H. Strong.	Ellen J. Strong 11-7-28	Minerva.	Clady J. Abadia.
Pat. 8-26-26.	Arba R. Strong	M. Woolley	9-27-37
Charles H. Strong.	Pat. 11-6-28	Pat.	Robert.
26-26. Pat. 1-26-26 Arba.	Arba R. Strong. Pat. 11-3-20.	12-9-07.	J. Lang. ✓
W. J. R. Strong.		Solon.	7-21-36. ✓
Pat. 1-3-20. Arba R. Strong 11-6-28	A R.	W. Robinson.	✓
Charles. Jennie Olsen.	Strong	Pat.	C. W. Wallington Pat. 8-19-07.
Pat. 1-20-20.	101-0 ✓	10-18-06.	James Vent. Pat. 12-8-26. ✓
11 410 / 55	A R.	Joseph.	12-8-36. ✓
16 11 553 / 53	Strong.	J. Clark.	James Vent. Pat. 5-26-21.
101 89 / 53	10120 ✓	Ernest H.	Pat. 12-8-26.
16859 with 11027 / 54	10189	Smith James.	John Dunn.
189 / 53	53 ✓	1-9-37.	J. Nye.
10189 / 53		Ernest.	Pat. 7-25-27.
10189 / 53		Anderson	10-16-86.
		Pat.	53 ✓
	Verna.	3-6-24.	Ernest Anderson.
	Irene.	Abraham.	C. S. Oakley.
	Anderson.	Pat. 3-6-24.	Pat. 9-24-08.
	Shape.	Pat. Apr.	
	Pat.	8-12-25. Abraham.	Landon J. Rich.
	8-7-25.	C. Anderson.	Pat. 3-7-06.

Notes

5/23/05 The land in Section 2, S05E Sec. 11, and section 14, would be (possibly) available for a posted notice with a 10-11-1886 priority if they can prove it was used continuously from that date.

The claim would then need to be split with the 2 different priority dates. CB

5/26/05 I disagree with HKM on the land in the SWNE of the SWNW of Section 14. (1987 NAPP photography layout) red outline. If you look on the 1964 imagery it's in sagebrush. It definitely looks different in 1987 and 2003. The 1974 Orthoquad looks different than 1964 imagery. They may be able to prove a pre 1972 mandatory permit statute but not irrigation in 1950's.

Also the pod's gps'd by Skip are in Sec. 13 & Sec. 14, the third one is in (basin 27 gps 6-29-04.shp). NESW Sec. 11, T8S, R43E, to irrigate a small piece in the SENW, SWNE, NWSW & NESE under 27-4141.

I don't see how the land in Section 2 can be irrigated from these POD'S. (Not recommending)

The land in Section 24 still belongs to Roland Allen.

7/15/04

Preston Allen.

File NAME

POD'S - 1 - Blackfoot.

SWNESE Sec. 26, T7S, R43E

ALLEN

3 - Shug Ck

{ SWNWSW Sec. 13, T8S, R43E

SLUG 1

NWSENE Sec. 14 T8S, R43E

SLUG 2

NESESW Sec. 11, T8S, R43E

SLUG 3

1 - Caldwell Ck.

NWNESE Sec. 13, T8S, R43E

Caldwell

No - POD on lanes. Ck.

- Good claims

Skip told Kent Allen he needs to bring in his photo and go over the acres irrigated.

Claims: Skip thinks should be disallowed.

27-10096 ✓

D-27-66A

27-11953

D-27-11951 ✓

D-27-70A ✓

27-4137 ✓

27-4141 ✓

27-11952 ✓

Notes

8/18/04 Included Ryan Madsen's measurement on slug cut. - (Not the main channel).

8/20/04 We still need evidence of priority for slug cut.

Kent Allen got some 10-16-1956 photos. We have nothing back to 1931.

other claim
on slug creek

Notes

4/14/04 Ryan Madsen - 01 water district.

* 1.27 cfs w/o rounding on slug creek. (63.5)

1.24 cfs w rounding on slug creek

- heavy water grass, not in Main Channel.

Lanes Creek flow is too high and flowing all over weirs have to go back later.

IDWR Water Right and Adjudication Search

Note: This search tool identifies active water rights and active transfers. If you want to search both active and inactive water rights, please check the box below.

☐ Search for both active and inactive water right records.

Search Results

Type of Query

☒ All SRBA & Water Rights

SRBA

☐ Claims

☐ Recommendations

☐ Both

Water Rights

☐ Applications

☐ Permits

☐ Decrees, Licenses and Statutory Claims

☐ Transfers

☐ All of the above

Basin

Who

What

When

Where

Clear

Search

Help

Query parameters:

Source = slug creek

Search for: active Records

Information for type: Claim

	Record	Basin	Sequence	Suffix	Version	Basis	Status	Priority Date	Diversion Rate	Source List	Water Uses	Owner List
Details	1	27	2001			License	Active	1903-11-13	2.5	SLUG CREEK	DOMESTIC, IRRIGATION	P THOMAS BLOTTER FAMILY LTD PARTNERSHIP (Current)

Details Pedigree	2	27	2003	A		License	Active	1905-09-27	4.44	SLUG CREEK	IRRIGATION	P THOMAS BLOTTER FAMILY LTD PARTNERSHIP (Current)
Details Pedigree	3	27	2003	B		License	Active	1905-09-27	0.56	SLUG CREEK	IRRIGATION	STUCKI REVOCABLE TRUST (Current)
Details	4	27	2066			License	Active	1919-09-04	5.6	SLUG CREEK	IRRIGATION	STUCKI REVOCABLE TRUST (Current)
Details	5	27	4141			Statutory Claim	Active	1931-06-01	13.22	SLUG CREEK	IRRIGATION	ALLEN, PRESTON R (Current)
Details	6	27	11367			Beneficial Use	Active	1886-10-11	0.02	SLUG CREEK	IRRIGATION, STOCKWATER	BITTON, KEITH (Current)
Details Pedigree	7	27	12002			Statutory Claim	Active	1931-05-01	26.92	SLUG CREEK	IRRIGATION, STOCKWATER	ROLAND ALLEN LAND & LIVESTOCK (Current)
Details Pedigree	8	27	12003			Statutory Claim	Active	1931-05-01	26.92	SLUG CREEK	IRRIGATION, STOCKWATER	ROLAND ALLEN LAND & LIVESTOCK (Current)
Details	9	27	12005			Beneficial Use	Active	1885-04-01	0.02	SLUG CREEK	STOCKWATER	PRESTON R ALLEN & SONS PARTNERSHIP (Current)

Information for type: Recommendation

	Record	Basin	Sequence	Suffix	Version	Basis	Status	Priority Date	Diversion Rate	Source List	Water Uses	Owner List
Details	10	27	11367		1	Beneficial Use	Active	1886-10-11	0.02	SLUG CREEK	STOCKWATER	BITTON, KEITH (Current)
Details	11	27	12005		1	Beneficial Use	Active	1885-04-01	0.02	SLUG CREEK	STOCKWATER	PRESTON R ALLEN & SONS PARTNERSHIP (Current)

Information for type: Statutory Claim, Decree and License

	Record	Basin	Sequence	Suffix	Version	Basis	Status	Priority Date	Diversion Rate	Source List	Water Uses	Owner List
Details	12	27	2001			License	Active	1903-11-13	2.5	SLUG CREEK	DOMESTIC, IRRIGATION	HANSEN, MADIS PETER (Current)
Details	13	27	2003			License	Active	1905-09-27	5	SLUG CREEK	DOMESTIC, IRRIGATION	SCHMID, CARL A (Current); SCHMID, ROBERT (Current)
Details	14	27	2066			License	Active	1919-09-04	5.6	SLUG CREEK	DOMESTIC, IRRIGATION	PETTERSON, ETHEL (Current); PETTERSON, HANS F (Current)
											DOMESTIC	

Details	15	27	2157				License	Active	1918-01-09		SLUG CREEK	FROM STORAGE, DOMESTIC STORAGE, IRRIGATION FROM STORAGE, IRRIGATION STORAGE	PETTERSON, ARCHIBALD (Current); PETTERSON, ETHEL (Current); PETTERSON, HANS F (Current)
Details	16	27	4141				Statutory Claim	Active	1931-06-01	13.22	SLUG CREEK	IRRIGATION	ALLEN, PRESTON R (Current)
Details	17	27	4157				Statutory Claim	Active	1931-05-01	26.92	SLUG CREEK	IRRIGATION	ROLAND ALLEN LAND & LIVESTOCK (Current)
Details	18	27	11491				Decreed	Active	1880-06-01	0.02	SLUG CREEK	STOCKWATER	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ACTING THROUGH (Current)
Details	19	27	11497				Decreed	Active	1880-06-01	0.02	SLUG CREEK	STOCKWATER	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ACTING THROUGH (Current)

Number of records = 19

Contacts Data Entry Form

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Phone
						349-5714

Current Owner: Preston allen & Son's.

Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____

Current Owner 4601 E 300 S

Current Owner Deelo ID 83323

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: Kent Allen Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: Son.

Date of Field Exam: 6-28-04 Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

Reviewer: CB

Date: 8/20/04

Contacts Data Entry Form

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-12003
Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____
Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____
Recommended Priority Date: 4-1-1952 Recommended Basis: B.U.

____ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

8/20/04 No evidence of priority submitted yet. We
still need evidence. CB

5/24/05 a statement from John Nevils (watermaster)

Received a copy of a posted Notice that might
pertain to part of the land but the
claim would need to be spent again
and we would need more information
to make sure the development occurred
10-11-1886

Reviewer: CB

Date: 5/24/05

Priority Date Data Entry Form

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit.
Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete.
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Claim No. 27-12003

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-12003

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:____

Source:____ Tributary:____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:____

Source:____ Tributary:____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:____

Source:____ Tributary:____

☐ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source	GPS PTS
8S	43E	13	-	SWNW8W	Bingham	slug Creek	slug 1
GPS Coordinates: <u>42.72629678</u>						<u>-111.3879937</u>	
8S	43E	14	-	NWSENE	Bingham	slug Creek	slug 2
GPS Coordinates: <u>42.73159683</u>						<u>-111.3931275</u>	
GPS Coordinates: _____							
GPS Coordinates: _____							
GPS Coordinates: _____							
GPS Coordinates: _____							

Other rights from this POD? NO

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

field exam.link pod: 27-12003.pod.shpTransfers Changing POD NOReviewer: CBDate: 8/20/04

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 9

Yes (X) No ()

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes (X) No ()

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (X) No ()

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete
If No, POD review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27-12003

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

Yes () No (X)
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

Yes () No (X)
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

3. Has the License been issued?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

Yes () No (X)
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

Yes () No (X)
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

Yes (X) No ()
If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

Yes () No (X)
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.

Source

Claim No. 27-12003

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-12003☐ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other
stock		200		

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

field exam

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use NOReviewer: CBDate: 5/24/05

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11

Yes () No (X)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8

Yes () No ()

8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes () No ()

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (X) No ()

12. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 27 - 12003

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-12003☐ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
8S	43E	11						Irrigation
		14						
		13						

Total Acres 347.6

Document how the POU was verified:

The POU in the SESE of Section 11 east of the creek wasn't irrigated in 1964, but looks irrigated in 1987 and the 2003. (see attached 1964 imagery).

They may need to file an enlargement claim

link shape : 27-12003.shp and update PLS.

Transfers Changing POU NoReviewer: CBDate: 5/26/05

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 2a
If No, go to 2b

Yes () No (X)

2a. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 14

Yes () No ()

2b. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 14

Yes (X) No ()

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 7

Yes () No (X)

4. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

Yes () No ()

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 13

Yes () No (X)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13
If No, go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10
If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

12. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

Yes (X) No ()

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/ or condition. POU review is complete.
If No, POU review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Place of Use

Claim No. 27 - 12003

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-12003☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/15	10/31	6.95	

Overall Diversion Rate 6.95

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

IDWR STD SOL

347.6 ac. * 0.02 cfs/ac = 6.95 cfs

Reviewer: CBDate: 5/26/05

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

Yes () No ()

If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18

4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12

Yes () No (X)

7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8

Yes () No ()

8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes () No ()

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

11. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13

Yes (X) No ()

13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15

Yes () No (X)

14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?

If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18

Yes (X) No ()

15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?

If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards?

If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18

Yes () No (X)

17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use?

If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.
If No, Period of Use review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Period of Use

Claim No. 27 - 12003

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes () No (X)

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

Yes (✓) No ()

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11

Yes () No (X)

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12

Yes () No (X)

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes (✓) No ()

Quantity

Claim No. 27 - 12003.

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3

Yes ☐ No ☒

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes ☐ No ☐

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes ☐ No ☒

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes ☒ No ☐

5. Does this right have any combined used limits with other rights?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 6

Yes ☐ No ☒

6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes ☒ No ☐

7. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes ☒ No ☐

8. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 9
If No, go to 9

Yes ☒ No ☐

9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 10
If No, go to 10

Yes ☐ No ☒

10. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Summary

Claim No. 27-12003

Claim No.: 27-12003

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

P21 Split from 27-4157

C18

E62 Qty combined with 27-11334

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

Yes.

27-4157 { 27-12002 Roland Allen
27-12003 Preston Allen & Sons

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

Yes.

Claim No.:

27-12003

Reviewer

CB

Date

5/26/05