

MEMO

DATE: August 2, 2005

TO: Jennifer Crabtree

FROM: Cindy Bird

REF: 27-12013/27-10028

SUBJECT: Linking POU shape.

Please link the place of use shape from the enclosed CD to the above referenced claims. The GCDB and the imagery doesn't match so you will need to manually edit the number of acres in each QQ as listed on the data entry form after you link the shape.

OK
JC
8/4/05

The diversion rate on 27-12013 doesn't change because it is limited by the license 27-2012. The diversion rate on 27-10028 will change as noted on the data sheet.

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-12013 Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
2S	36E	14	NWNE		Bingham		24.4	Irrigation.
		14	NENE		Bingham		14.4	Irrigation.
		14	SWNE		Bingham		10.7	Irrigation.
		14	SENE		Bingham		20.7	Irrigation.

Total Acres 70.2

Document how the POU was verified:

The imagery doesn't line up with the GCDB. The POU shape shows irrigated land in the NENE. But those acres were added to the acres in the NWNE for a total of 70.2 acres.

The acres will have to be manually adjusted after the shape is linked.

Link 27-12013.shpTransfers Changing POU NOReviewer: CB

Date:

8/1/05NOE
Corrections

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-12013

☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/1	10/31	0.40	

Overall Diversion Rate 0.40

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

20 acres @ 0.02 = 0.40. (20 acres licensed under 27-2012 SENW)

Reviewer: CB

Date: 8/1/05 NOE change.

No change to diversion rate
to this right because of
license limit.

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

CB

Notes

4/11/05 27-10028. shp. is the
POU for Both 27-12013 & 27-10028.
PPLS

diversion rate 0.40 cfs

1" per acre for 20 acres under
original POU.

Irrigated acres 52.1

This right is a portion of 27-12072. (27-2012)

POU'S - 27-12013 pod. 8hp.

POU slope - 27-10028. shp.

4/11/05 Create layout for 52.1 acres of irrigation eas

Recommended 2" per acre (when combined
with 27-10028), per statement of
examiner for license 27-2012.

8/1/05 This recommendation is based on the
20 acres under the license in the SE 1/4
of section 14. There is no evidence that any
other part of this license was moved of the
licensed POU - eas.

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Water Right Report 27-12072

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 27-12072

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Original Owner	CHARLES F LANDON
	BLACKFOOT, ID 83221
Current Owner	ISAAC T ALFRED
	RFD #3
	BLACKFOOT, ID 83221

Priority Date: 03/04/1909

Basis: License

Status: Active

<u>Source</u>	<u>Tributary</u>
SAND CREEK	BLACKFOOT RIVER

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
DOMESTIC	01/01	to 12/31		
IRRIGATION	04/01	to 10/31	1.000 CFS	
	<u>Total Diversion:</u>		1.000 CFS	

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

SAND CREEK	SW1/4NE1/4	Sec. 14, Twp 02S, Rge 36E, B.M.
BINGHAM County		

Place of Use

IRRIGATION

Twp	Rge	Sec	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
02S	36E	14					8.0	10.0	12.0	20.0									50.0

Total Acres: 50

DOMESTIC same as IRRIGATIONConditions of Approval:Remarks:Comments:

1. Shelley Keen 4/11/2005 Split Right
Comment: Two licenses were issued for Permit 27-2012. The license records are numbered 27-12071 and 27-12072.

Bird, Cindy

From: Keen, Shelley
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2005 7:52 AM
To: Bird, Cindy; Shaff, Don
Subject: Split of 27-2012

Cindy and Don,

I split License 27-2012 into 27-12071 and 27-12072 to accommodate the fact that two licenses were issued for the permit.

Call or email if you have questions.

Shelley Keen
Water Right Permits Section
Idaho Dept. of Water Resources
208-287-4947
shelley.keen@idwr.idaho.gov

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Water Right Report 27-12071

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 27-12071

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Current Owner	CHARLES F LANDON
	BLACKFOOT, ID 83221

Priority Date: 03/04/1909

Basis: License

Status: Active

<u>Source</u>	<u>Tributary</u>
SAND CREEK	BLACKFOOT RIVER

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u> <u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
DOMESTIC	1/01 to 12/31		
IRRIGATION	/ to /	1.440 CFS	
	<u>Total Diversion:</u>	1.440 CFS	

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

SAND CREEK	SW1/4NE1/4	Sec. 14, Twp 02S, Rge 36E, B.M.
BINGHAM County		

Place of Use

IRRIGATION

Twp	Rge	Sec	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals				
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE					
02S	36E	14									29.0				12.0				6.0				47.0
02S	36E	23					1.0	24.0															25.0

Total Acres: 72

DOMESTIC same as IRRIGATIONConditions of Approval:Remarks:Comments:

1. Shelley Keen 4/11/2005 Split Right

Comment: Two licenses were issued for Permit 27-2012. The license records are numbered 27-12071 and 27-12072.

Notes

7/18/04 Claims need to be amended.

System is down so we need to
amend them based on the claim copy rts.

7-14-04 Letter to Claymont re amendment, BP

7-14-04 Letter to Doug Jones, Southern
Hussey Analysis. BP

7-19-04 Amended claim received. BP

4/8/05 Part of 27-2012.

20 acres in SENW under the original
license. Licensed at 1" per acre. There
is no documents showing how the
rest of the license was moved to
this pool.

Recommend only 20" under the
license.

They can't adversely poses the right.

KOB. limited to 20 acres of irrigation
under this right.

P21 pool license 4591,

4/8/05 Talked with Don Staff about the 2
licenses 4591, 1- 1.44 cfs & 1- 1.0 cfs with
different POWs. Don's going to talk to Shelley
Keen about correcting the error.

Notes

6/22/04 Gardners are the only users
of 27-2012, from Sand Creek.
Licensed @ 1.44 cfs. Date dated in
concrete diversion 1919. Amend.
claim to that date. Measured
diversion rate by Skip was 5.087 cfs
and that was not flowing full. CB.

7/9/04 27-2012A was renumbered because
of the many claims of this right. All
of this right is being recommended to
Bonnie Gardner, and I didn't want
a suffix because it isn't part of 27-2012.
Mickelson Properties claimed the parent
right but it will not be recommended
for them.

7/9/04 1:15pm emailed Dave T. to see if
someone could do a Hubble analysis.

Called Bonnie and she will be here
Wednesday July 14, 10AM with
additional information.

Conversation: Skip They have pasture.

1 pod-main is the intersection of the
reservoir canal and the stream.

The new creek has 3 pod's on it.

Claim no. A27-10028

A27-2012A

Claimant Contact Log

Date	Remarks	Agent initials
------	---------	----------------

6-16-04	y The on-site exam was conducted on 6-16-04 with Claimant Bonnie Gardner. Photo # 1 shows an old diversion structure next to the current major one in Photo #2. Date on the old structure shows "1918" carved in concrete.	RLT
---------	--	-----

Photo #2 shows the main diversion site on Sand Creek. Area is aptly named as all of ditch area + surrounding places of use is sand based.

GPS data is: 2645813 1340346 WSR, GPS Tag # 40009074, GPS File - GARDNR

The flow measurement was taken here for a total of 5.08 cfs. All of the water is diverted out of Sand Creek by the 2 ditches shown.

Photo #3 shows the middle diversion on Sand Creek. The ditch is 3' wide by 1.5' deep + not yet opened for irrigation.

GPS data is: 2645969, GPS tag (existing) A0013029 on fence stake 1340530

to the right of the headgate. GPS File # 272012

Photo #4 is the uppermost diversion on Sand Creek. This is an 18" culvert to a small ditch that is not yet opened

for irrigation. GPS data is: 2646037 GPS Tag # A001328F 1340570 GPS File # 271028

Photo #5 shows the end of the Sand Creek channel. This is at the edge of the Clawson property claimed in

A27-02012B + A27-10761. The Clawson field is 5'-6' higher than the channel bottom. It doesn't appear this water has

been used on the Clawson ground for many years + the ground (Clawson) is now irrigated with a pivot from a well.

Claim no. 427-10028
427-2012A

Claimant Contact Log

Date	Remarks	Page	Agent initials
6-16-04		2	JWf

Photo #6 shows the fence line at end of Sand Creek channel + edge of Clawson property. Note GPS unit on top of fence post. GPS data for that point is:
2645116 GPS File # SNOCRK
1339890 No GPS TAG

Photo #7 shows a remnant of the Gardners ditch that reached the Mickelson Property (Claim 27-2012). This does not appear to have been used in many years + it too is below the grade of the Mickelson property. The Mickelson property also is irrigated with a pivot from a well.

Photo #8 shows that Sand Creek now flows directly into the Reservation Canal. This canal was built in the early 1960's + did not flume Sand Creek water over or under it. Legally, I don't know how the Tribe could appropriate this water. Mr. Gardner, shown in the photo is standing on a headgate which allows some of the Sand Creek flow into a 4' wide channel. This is the channel that then flows onto the Gardner property.

GPS data for this intersection of Canal + Creek is
2645108 GPS File - RESCNL
1342067 No GPS Tag.

Claim no. A27-10028
A 27-2012A

Claimant Contact Log

Date 6-16-04

Remarks Page 3

Agent initials

thf

It appears to me that Gardner are the only user of
License 27-2012 with a probable beneficial use claim
dated at least till "1919".

From the letters in the file + old permit data it appears
"old" permit 4591 matured into 2 licenses somehow.
One was for 1.44 cfs (I presume this was 27-2012)
+ another for 1.00 cfs. The old Number book shows that
"old" permit #6288 (mentioned in property transfers) never matured
into a license.

Contacts Data Entry Form

Owner Type _____ Full Name _____ Address _____ City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Phone 785-1688

Current Owner: Bonnie P Gardner 469 E 400 N

Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____

Current Owner: Blackfoot, ID 83221

Current Owner _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: Bonnie Gardner Phone Number: 785-1688

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: 6-16-04 Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

Reviewer: CB

Date: 01/09/04

Contacts Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-12013

Claim Number: 21-1000
Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis _____

X Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

Lucas

Reviewer: CB

Date: 7/9/04

Priority Date Data Entry Form

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source?
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
Yes () No (X)
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree?
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
Yes () No ()
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
Yes () No ()
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
Yes () No ()
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
Yes (X) No ()
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date?
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
Yes (X) No ()
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date?
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
Yes (X) No ()
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
Yes () No ()
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
Yes () No ()
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
Yes () No ()
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report?
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit.
Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
Yes () No ()
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
Yes () No ()
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date?
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
Yes () No ()
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted?
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
Yes () No ()
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right?
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
Yes () No ()
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly?
license
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.
Yes (X) No ()
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete.
If No, Priority Date review is complete.
Yes () No (X)

Priority Date

Claim No. 27 - 12013

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-12013

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

☐ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source	
25	36E	14			Bingham	Sand Crk	GPS File: RESCNL
GPS Coordinates: 2648108					1342067		
25	36E	14			Bingham	Sand Crk	GPS File: SDCRCK
GPS Coordinates: 2645116					1339890		
GPS Coordinates: _____							
GPS Coordinates: _____							
GPS Coordinates: _____							
GPS Coordinates: _____							
GPS Coordinates: _____							

Other rights from this POD? ~~#0~~ 27-10028

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

NO GPS TAGS.

field exam.

link POP'S

1962-Reservation Canal was put in and

changed the original POD.

Transfers Changing POD NoReviewer: CBDate: 7/9/04

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☒)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 9

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes (☒) No (☐)

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (☒) No (☐)

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete
If No, POD review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27 - 12013

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Source

Claim No. 27 - 120123

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-12013.☐ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

field exam
license
1987/88 XAPP Photography

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use noReviewer: CBDate: 7/9/04

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 27-12013

Place Of Use Data Entry FormClaim Number: 27-12013☐ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Document how the POU was verified:

Transfers Changing POU _____

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes () No ()
 If Yes, go to 2a
 If No, go to 2b
- 2a. Is a POU required? Yes () No ()
 If Yes, go to 5
 If No, go to 14
- 2b. Is a POU required? Yes () No ()
 If Yes, go to 3
 If No, go to 14
3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes () No ()
 If Yes, go to 4
 If No, go to 7
4. Has the License been issued? Yes () No ()
 If Yes, go to 6
 If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14
5. Does the parent right have a POU? Yes () No ()
 If Yes, go to 6
 If No, go to 7
6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file? Yes () No ()
 If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14
 If No, go to 7
7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU? Yes () No ()
 If Yes, go to 8
 If No, go to 13
8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer? Yes () No ()
 If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13
 If No, go to 9
9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU? Yes () No ()
 If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10
 If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12
10. Was an enlargement claim filed? Yes () No ()
 If Yes, go to 13
 If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11
11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? Yes () No ()
 If Yes, go to 13
 If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
12. Was a transfer filed? Yes () No ()
 If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14
 If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU? Yes () No ()
 If Yes, go to 14
 If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14
14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required? Yes () No ()
 If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/ or condition. POU review is complete.
 If No, POU review is complete.

Place of Use

Claim No. _____ - _____

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-12013☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/1	10/31	0.40	

Overall Diversion Rate 0.40

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

field examReviewer: CBDate: 4/8/05

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use? Yes (☐) No (☒)
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? Yes (☐) No (☒)
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
9. Was an enlargement claim filed? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
11. Was a transfer filed? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? Yes (☐) No (☒)
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required? Yes (☐) No (☒)
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.
If No, Period of Use review is complete.

Period of Use

Claim No. 27 - 12013

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☒)

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☒)

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Quantity

Claim No. 27 - 12013

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☒)

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Does this right have any combined used limits with other rights?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

8. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 9
If No, go to 9

Yes (☒) No (☐)

9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 10
If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☒)

10. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Summary

Claim No. 27-12013

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18

C03

P21

27-2012

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Barbara

SUBJECT: POU / P&D

FROM: Cindy

DATE: 7/9/04

Please use Skys map and let me know the number of acres within POU.

Also, find Skys GPS points and let me know the location. The claim needs to be amended to include these points.

They have a Wednesday (7-14-04) 10AM apt. with me.

thanks,

() For your information

CB
signature

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

yes

Claim No.:

27-12013

Reviewer

CB

Date

7/9/04