

Carlsen, Ernie

From: Saxton, Craig
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2003 10:08 AM
To: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: RE: 29-00276C and 29-07680 overlap remarks

Thanks Ernie:

-----Original Message-----

From: Carlsen, Ernie
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2003 8:39 AM
To: Saxton, Craig
Subject: RE: 29-00276C and 29-07680 overlap remarks

Craig though the figures look correct realistically how can it be administered? **[Saxton, Craig]** With a very small hose and bucket (joke). Is the one acre of irrigation on 29-7680 equally divided between the home owners? **[Saxton, Craig]** Licensing field exam defines 1/4 acre of irrigation for each home - but I don't know if this is the reality. The combined rates of diversion would be very difficult to actually regulate. It may be to late at this point but rather than trying to limit specific amounts, in this case I would suggest using condition code R68 **[Saxton, Craig]** I like this code much better. I didn't know we could use it. If you will contact the claimants about filing objections I will work with them to get the recommendations changed. **[Saxton, Craig]** I will give them a call today.
Ernie

-----Original Message-----

From: Saxton, Craig
Sent: Monday, July 21, 2003 9:15 AM
To: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: 29-00276C and 29-07680 overlap remarks

Ernie,

I have prepared a memo for your review regarding a call I received related to basin 29 directors report. We spoke about this on Friday.

This one was kind of a complex overlap so I would encourage you to take a look at it. I will wait to contact the claimant until you have had a chance to look this over. Or if you want to contact the claimant yourself since it is a Basin 29 issue, it won't hurt my feelings.

Craig

<< File: Fischel7_21_03.doc >> << File: Split29-00276.xls >>