

Bird, Cindy

To: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: RE: 27-12050

The irrigated land in the NWSW doesn't lie above the ditch. If you look closely (turning off the pou and QQ lines) you can see the ditch as it runs west then north, ~~on the south edge of the property~~. So the irrigated land would be below the ditch.

As far as the stock water. It should have the diverted water that goes into the ditch ~~that they drink from as well as the stream itself~~. The cattle would have access to both.

Cindy

-----Original Message-----

From: Carlsen, Ernie
Sent: Friday, July 08, 2005 8:50 AM
To: Bird, Cindy
Subject: RE: 27-12050

Cindy, I have added an irrigation POD to the recommendation for 27-12050 and removed the links to the POD for the instream stock water. Having made those changes I have a couple of questions. The stock water on the license is not instream stock water and does not appear to be instream on the claim. Why is instream stock water replacing diverted stock water? If the shape file for the irrigation point of diversion is correct there are a number of acres in the NWSW that lie above the ditch. How are these being irrigated? Is it subirrigated?

Ernie

-----Original Message-----

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2005 10:55 AM
To: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: FW: 27-12050

hi Ernie,
Their seems to be some confusion. I need your help on this one. If you look on the recommendation their is not irrigation pod? Since you are there could you please describe the process and linking the pod to Katy and Jen.

thanks,
Cindy

-----Original Message-----

From: Payne, Barbara
Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2005 10:36 AM
To: Bird, Cindy
Subject: FW: 27-12050

Hi Cindy,
How can we resolve this problem?
Barbara :-{

-----Original Message-----

From: Jackson, Katy
To: Payne, Barbara
Sent: 7/5/2005 10:02 AM
Subject: RE: 27-12050

The POD for irrigation wasn't on the data entry and I wouldn't have taken anything off of the ECVR without first consulting Cindy. As it said to Use claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source, with a note to add instream stock, the only thing that i would have done, was to add instream stock. If you would like, I can fax a copy of the data entry information that you sent to us. Let me know if something else needs to be done with this claim to get the NOE and PDR correct.

Thank you,
Katy

-----Original Message-----

From: Crabtree, Jennifer
Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2005 9:02 AM
To: Jackson, Katy
Subject: FW: 27-12050

Hi. Can you check workflow and the data entry notes you got from Cindy to see what Barbara is talking about? Thanks, Jen

-----Original Message-----

From: Payne, Barbara
Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2005 8:58 AM
To: Crabtree, Jennifer
Cc: Bird, Cindy
Subject: RE: 27-12050

Hi Jennifer,

When we received our copy of the NOE, the only PODs listed were for instream stock. The POD for irrigation wasn't on the NOE nor is it listed on the recommendation on the web.

If what you see is different, please let us know so that we can review the process and find out why ya'll see things different than this office. Thanks.

Barbara :-}

-----Original Message-----

From: Crabtree, Jennifer
To: Payne, Barbara
Sent: 6/30/2005 4:20 PM
Subject: RE: 27-12050

All of the shapes you sent me have been linked as of now. Jen

-----Original Message-----

From: Payne, Barbara
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2005 10:01 AM
To: Crabtree, Jennifer
Subject: FW: 27-12050

Hi Jennifer,

I am resending the email that I sent to you in May that included the POU and PODs and the .jpg. If you have trouble downloading the POD this time, please let me know and I will prepare it for the third time.

Barbara :-}

-----Original Message-----

From: Payne, Barbara
To: Crabtree, Jennifer
Sent: 5/17/2005 10:37 AM
Subject: 27-12050

Hi Jennifer,

Another one down. Attached are the POU, POD and jpg. I am FAXing the eCVR. Thanks.

Barbara :-)

<<27-12050.dbf>> <<27-12050.sbn>> <<27-12050.sbx>> <<27-12050.shp>>
<<27-12050.shx>> <<27-12050pod.dbf>> <<27-12050pod.sbn>>
<<27-12050pod.sbx>> <<27-12050pod.shp>> <<27-12050pod.shx>>
<<27-12050.jpg>>

From: Jackson, Katy
To: Payne, Barbara
Cc:
Subject: RE: 27-12050
Sent: 7/5/2005 10:02 AM

Importance: Normal

The POD for irrigation wasn't on the data entry and I wouldn't have taken anything off of the ECVR without first consulting Cindy. As it said to Use claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source, with a note to add instream stock, the only thing that i would have done, was to add instream stock. If you would like, I can fax a copy of the data entry information that you sent to us. Let me know if something else needs to be done with this claim to get the NOE and PDR correct.

Thank you,
Katy

-----Original Message-----

From: Crabtree, Jennifer
Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2005 9:02 AM
To: Jackson, Katy
Subject: FW: 27-12050

Hi. Can you check workflow and the data entry notes you got from Cindy to see what Barbara is talking about? Thanks, Jen

-----Original Message-----

From: Payne, Barbara
Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2005 8:58 AM
To: Crabtree, Jennifer
Cc: Bird, Cindy
Subject: RE: 27-12050

Hi Jennifer,

When we received our copy of the NOE, the only PODs listed were for instream stock. The POD for irrigation wasn't on the NOE nor is it listed on the recommendation on the web.

If what you see is different, please let us know so that we can review the process and find out why ya'll see things different than this office. Thanks.

Barbara :-}

-----Original Message-----

From: Crabtree, Jennifer
To: Payne, Barbara
Sent: 6/30/2005 4:20 PM
Subject: RE: 27-12050

All of the shapes you sent me have been linked as of now. Jen

-----Original Message-----

From: Payne, Barbara
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2005 10:01 AM
To: Crabtree, Jennifer
Subject: FW: 27-12050

Hi Jennifer,

I am resending the email that I sent to you in May that included the POU and PODs and the .jpg. If you have trouble downloading the POD this time, please let me know and I will prepare it for the third time.

Barbara :-}

-----Original Message-----

From: Payne, Barbara

To: Crabtree, Jennifer

Sent: 5/17/2005 10:37 AM

Subject: 27-12050

Hi Jennifer,

Another one down. Attached are the POU, POD and jpg. I am FAXing the eCVR. Thanks.

Barbara :-}

<<27-12050.dbf>> <<27-12050.sbn>> <<27-12050.sbx>> <<27-12050.shp>>

<<27-12050.shx>> <<27-12050pod.dbf>> <<27-12050pod.sbn>>

<<27-12050pod.sbx>> <<27-12050pod.shp>> <<27-12050pod.shx>>

<<27-12050.jpg>>



Close

From: Jackson, Katy
To: Payne, Barbara
Cc:
Subject: 27-12050
Sent: 7/5/2005 10:04 AM

Importance: Normal

Barbara,

Could you email/fax me with the Point of Diversion as it is not on the data entry form you sent us.

Thank you,

Katy

*I did not respond. Cindy emailed Ernie.
BP 7-5-05*



Close

From: Payne, Barbara
To: Crabtree, Jennifer
Cc: Bird, Cindy
Subject: RE: 27-12050
Sent: 7/5/2005 8:57 AM

Importance: Normal

Hi Jennifer,

When we received our copy of the NOE, the only PODs listed were for instream stock. The POD for irrigation wasn't on the NOE nor is it listed on the recommendation on the web.

If what you see is different, please let us know so that we can review the process and find out why ya'll see things different than this office. Thanks.

Barbara :-}

-----Original Message-----

From: Crabtree, Jennifer
To: Payne, Barbara
Sent: 6/30/2005 4:20 PM
Subject: RE: 27-12050

All of the shapes you sent me have been linked as of now. Jen

-----Original Message-----

From: Payne, Barbara
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2005 10:01 AM
To: Crabtree, Jennifer
Subject: FW: 27-12050

Hi Jennifer,

I am resending the email that I sent to you in May that included the POU and PODs and the .jpg. If you have trouble downloading the POD this time, please let me know and I will prepare it for the third time.

Barbara :-}

-----Original Message-----

From: Payne, Barbara
To: Crabtree, Jennifer
Sent: 5/17/2005 10:37 AM
Subject: 27-12050

Hi Jennifer,

Another one down. Attached are the POU, POD and jpg. I am FAXing the eCVR. Thanks.

Barbara :-}

<<27-12050.dbf>> <<27-12050.sbn>> <<27-12050.sbx>> <<27-12050.shp>>
<<27-12050.shx>> <<27-12050pod.dbf>> <<27-12050pod.sbn>>
<<27-12050pod.sbx>> <<27-12050pod.shp>> <<27-12050pod.shx>>
<<27-12050.jpg>>

[Close](#)

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommended Right Report

07/05/2005

WATER RIGHT NO. 27-12050

Owner Type	Name and Address
Current Owner	RANDY PANTER 14885 N HWY 34 THATCHER, ID 83283 (208)427-6388

Priority Date: 09/08/1913

Basis: License

Status: Active

Source	Tributary
TRAIL CREEK	BLACKFOOT RIVER

Beneficial Use	From	To	Diversion Rate	Volume
IRRIGATION	04/15	10/31	0.63 CFS	
STOCKWATER	05/15	11/01	0.02 CFS	
Total Diversion			0.63 CFS	

Location of Point(s) of Diversion:

TRAIL CREEK End of Stream Flow	NENWNW	Sec. 16	Township 08S	Range 43E	CARIBOU County
TRAIL CREEK Beginning of Stream Flow	SWSWSW	Sec. 16	Township 08S	Range 43E	CARIBOU County

Place(s) of use:

Place of Use Legal Description: IRRIGATION CARIBOU County

Township	Range	Section	Lot	Tract	Acres	Lot	Tract	Acres	Lot	Tract	Acres	Lot	Tract	Acres
08S	43E	16		NWNW	0.5		SWNW	18						
				NWSW	13									

Place of Use Legal Description: STOCKWATER CARIBOU County

Township	Range	Section	Lot	Tract	Acres	Lot	Tract	Acres	Lot	Tract	Acres	Lot	Tract	Acres
08S	43E	16		NWNW			SWNW							
				NWSW										

Total Acres: 31.5

Conditions of Approval:

1.	P21	This right is a split from former right 27-2024.
2.	C18	This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

Dates:

Other Information:

State or Federal:

Owner Name Connector:

Water District Number:

Generic Max Rate per Acre:

Generic Max Volume per Acre:

Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:

Swan Falls Dismissed:

DLE Act Number:

Cary Act Number:

Mitigation Plan: False

Close

Notes

10/4/04 field exam. on 27-85A, 27-11366.

5/16/05 Check HKM 1987 + 1992 shapes.

Using HKM's shape & the GLDB.

For 85 43E & intersected the 2 themes and come up with 31.6 acres.

It may be different in SDE.

5/17/05 create layout.

She send POD & POI to shapes to Jen.

Send the layout to Jen to put with

Pub. Dir. Report, & NOE.

5-17-05 FAXED a CVR to IF, BP

5-17-05 emailed POI, POD & .jpg to IF, BP

5/17/05 - Randy brought in an abstract of title for the SW and S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW of sec. 16, T8S, R43E. Posted notice dated 6/24/1902.

POD is located in section 21.

6-30-05 Called Kathy, she still has the sheets so she will give to Jennifer to link the POD. PB

6-30-05 Rec'd the POD, POI & .jpg BP

7-5-05 Forwarded Jennifer the NOE & request to link missing POD & send NOE & POD. BP

ENTRY NO.1

- North -
PLAT NO.553


SHEET NO.1

CARIBOU COUNTY, IDAHO

Township Eight (8) South of Range 43 E., 3. M.

- West -

- East -



- South -

PLAT NO. 553, ENTRY NO. 1, SHEET NO. 1

CERTIFICATE OF ABSTRACTER

#553

Caribou County Abstract Company hereby certifies that the foregoing abstract of title, consisting of Thirteen sheets numbered from 1 to 13 both inclusive, includes all instruments, records, judgments, files and proceedings, which are matters of record in the Public Offices in the County of Caribou, State of Idaho, affecting the title to, or constituting liens upon the following described property situate in said County, to-wit:

Southwest quarter (SW $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section Nine (9); Northwest quarter of the Northwest quarter (NW $\frac{1}{4}$ -NW $\frac{1}{4}$), Southwest quarter of the Northwest quarter (SW $\frac{1}{4}$ -NW $\frac{1}{4}$) and the Northwest quarter of the Southwest quarter (NW $\frac{1}{4}$ -SW $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section Sixteen (16), Township Eight (8) South of Range Forty-three (43) East of the Boise Meridian, in Idaho.

Said Company further certifies that there are no unpaid taxes against said premises, that there are no unredeemed tax sales thereof, and that no tax deeds have been given on said premises, except as hereinbefore stated.

This Certificate is made to F. E. Smith, Esq.,
at the request of F. E. Smith, Soda Springs, Idaho.

Dated at Soda Springs, Idaho, this 18th day of

M A Y

A. D. 1929, @ 5:00 P. M.

CARIBOU COUNTY ABSTRACT COMPANY

By

S. E. Matthews
Manager

CARIBOU COUNTY ABSTRACT COMPANY
SODA SPRINGS, IDAHO

MATHIAS GILLETT,

N O T I C E O F POSSESSORY CLAIM.

CLAIMANT -

Dated: June 26th, 1902.
Recorded: June 27th, 1902 at
1:59 o'clock P.M. in Book
"1" of Possessory Claims,
at page "22."

TO:

WHOM IT MAY CONCERN.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, -That Mathias Gillett of Soda Springs of the County of Bannock of the State of Idaho, a native born citizen of the United States of America over the age of twenty-one (21) years, has taken possession of and intends to hold and use for agricultural and grazing purposes the following described tract of unsurveyed lands belonging to the United States of America, to-wit:-

The S.1/2 of N-W.1/4, and N.1/2 of S-W.1/4, Sec.16,
Tp. 8 S. R. 43 E.B.M. in Idaho, containing 160 acres;

that he intends to use the said lands as a home; that the said lands are unsurveyed lands belonging to the United States of America; that the same do not to his knowledge contain any mineral deposits of any kind, or any deposits of coal, or any salt springs or deposits of salt sufficient to render it chiefly valuable therefor.

(Signed:) Mathias Gillett, Claimant.

STATE OF IDAHO, BANNOCK COUNTY.)SS. Mathias Gillett, being first duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is the claimant mentioned in the foregoing notice and whose name is subscribed thereto; that the statements in said notice contained are true; that the said claim does not embrace more than one hundred and sixty (160) acres of land; that he holds no other claim under the provisions of Chapter IV of Title X. of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of Idaho, (Rev. Statutes of Idaho, 1887, page 507); that to the best of his information and belief no part of said land is claimed by any person, or persons, under any existing adverse title; and that the said lands do not to his knowledge contain any mineral deposits of any kind whatever, or any deposits of coal, or salines, or saline springs.

(Signed:) Mathias Gillett.

Subscribed and sworn to in my presence by the said Mathias Gillett and by him sworn to before me this 26th day of June, A.D.1902 at Soda Springs, Idaho. Theo.Turner, Notary Public. (Seal)

(Transcribed from Bannock County Records, Book 1 of Possessory Claims, page 149.)

CARIBOU COUNTY ABSTRACT COMPANY

SODA SPRINGS, IDAHO

ENTRY NO. 3

Trail Creek
SHEET NO 3

MATHIAS GILLETT, LESLIE GILLETT,
and PERRY GILLETT,
Claimants -

NOTICE OF WATER APPROPRIATION.

Dated: June 26th, 1902.
Recorded: June 30th, 1902
at 2:05 o'clock P.M. in
Book "1" of Water Locations,
at page "132."

TO:

WHOM IT MAY CONCERN.

85 R/VBE
Sec. 21
SFSW

Notice is hereby given, - That Mathias Gillett of Soda Springs, and Leslie Gillett and Perry Gillett, of Sterrett of the County of Bannock, State of Idaho, hereby claim the use of the waters of Trail Creek, a stream emptying into the Big Blackfoot River from the South, there flowing to the extent or amount of fifteen (15) cubic feet per second of time, to be diverted at a point on the Westerly side of said stream at, or near, the Southeast corner of the Southwest quarter of Section 21, Township 8 South, Range 43 East of the Boise Meridian (Unsurveyed) at which said point a copy of this notice is posted, (such point of diversion may also be described at, or near the point where said stream crosses the South line of said Unsurveyed Sec. 21, Tp. 8 S. R. 43 E. B. M.) Said waters have been in the past and it is intended in the future to use the same for agricultural (irrigation) and domestic purposes upon the following described lands, to-wit: (Among other property)

The unsurveyed S.W. 1/4 and S. 1/2 of N.W. 1/4 Sec. 16 Tp. 8 S. R. 43 E. B. M. in Idaho, containing 360 acres.

It is intended in the future as in the past to divert the said water by means of a small dam, about three (3) feet high, built across the said stream at the point of diversion above described, and to conduct the same to and upon the lands for use upon which the said waters were appropriated by means of a ditch about four (4) feet wide and one and one-half (1 1/2) feet deep running in a general North-westerly direction beginning at the said described point of diversion and extending to and upon the lands last above described and terminating in the west quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section 16, Township 8 South, Range 43 East. The Length of said ditch is about two (2) miles. The works for the diversion and distribution of the said waters are now fully completed and in use and it is intended to have the same fully completed within two years from the date of this notice.

Signed by all claimants.

Acknowledged by Leslie Gillett before John Ferebauer, a Notary Public.

(Transcribed from Bannock County Records, Water Location Record No. 2, Page "171.")

CARIBOU COUNTY ABSTRACT COMPANY

SODA SPRINGS, IDAHO

ENTRY NO. 4

SHEET NO. 4

STATE OF IDAHO,

PATENT (STATE SCHOOL LANDS)

GRANTOR -

TO:

Dated: August 7th, 1913.
Recorded: September 29th, 1913
at 3:40 o'clock P.M., in Book
5 of Deeds, page "390" Records
of Caribou County, Idaho.

F. E. SMITH,

GRANTEE.

Consideration: \$1200.00.

WHEREAS, on the 29th day of June, 1913, all that tract or parcel of School land of the State of Idaho, hereinafter mentioned and particularly described, was sold in the manner provided by law to F. E. Smith of Soda Springs, County of Bannock and State of Idaho, for the aggregate price of Twelve Hundred and no/100 Dollars; And Whereas, the Sum of Twelve Hundred no/100 Dollars has been fully paid to the proper receiving officer for the State of Idaho by F. E. Smith as shown by the records in the office of the State Board of Land Commissioners, the said sum being the whole amount of the purchase price for the said tract or parcel of land hereinafter described.

NOW, KNOW YE, That the said State of Idaho, in pursuance of law in such case made and provided, and in consideration of the premises aforesaid, and of the aforesaid sum of Twelve Hundred no/100 Dollars to the said State of Idaho, paid, doth by these presents Grant, Bargain, Sell, Convey and Confirm, in fee, unto the said F. E. Smith his heirs and assigns, all the said tract and parcel of land situated in the County of Bannock (now CARIBOU --Abstracter) and State of Idaho, and described as follows, to-wit:

The Northwest quarter of the Northwest quarter, the Southwest quarter of the Northwest quarter and the Northwest quarter of the Southwest quarter of Section Sixteen (16) in Township Eight (8) South, Range Forty-three (43) East Boise Meridian, Containing One hundred twenty (120) acres, more or less.

(SEAL OF THE STATE BOARD
OF LAND COMMISSIONERS)

(Signed:) John M. Haines, Governor.
Countersigned: Wilfred Clifford, Secretary
of State.

Countersigned: N. Jenness, Register State
Board of Land Commissioners.

(Transcribed from Bannock County Records, Deed Record No. 23, page 350.)

CARIBOU COUNTY ABSTRACT COMPANY

SODA SPRINGS, IDAHO

REAL ESTATE OPTION

F. E. SMITH,
Party of the first part -

Dated: June 2nd, 1917.
Recorded: June 23, 1917 at
9:45 o'clock A.M. in Book
"2" of Miscellaneous Records,
at Page "113."

TO:

RICHARD TURNER,
Party of the second part.

Consideration: \$2500.00

WITNESSETH: That the said party of the first part in consideration of the covenants and agreements on the part of the second party herein-after contained, agrees to sell unto the said party of the second part and the said second party agrees to buy all that certain lot and parcel of land situated in the County of Bannock and State of Idaho, bounded and described as follows; to-wit:

North west quarter of northwest quarter, and south west quarter of north west quarter, and north west quarter of south west quarter, of section, sixteen, township 6 south, Range 43 East Boise Mer. containing an area of one hundred and twenty acres, more or less for the sum of twenty-five hundred dollars, lawful money of the United States of America. And the said party of the second part, in consideration of the premises agrees to pay to the said party of the first part, the said sum of twenty five hundred and 00/100 dollars, lawful money of the United States of America, as follows, to wit: One note payable December 1st, 1917, for the sum of \$500. with the rate of interest of 8 per cent per annum, from date, One note for the sum of \$500. due December 1st, 1918, One note for the sum of \$500. due December 1st, 1919. One note for the sum of \$500. due December 1st, 1920, One note for the sum of \$500. due December 1st, 1921.

The said party of the first part agrees to pay all taxes and assessments upon or against said property prior to the present year; that all subsequent taxes and assessments during the life of this option shall be paid by the said party of the second part.

(SIGNED:) F. E. SMITH
(SIGNED:) RICHARD TURNER

ACKNOWLEDGED: In the State of Idaho, County of Bannock on the 2nd day of June, 1917, by F. E. Smith and Richard Turner, before N. E. Snell a Justice of the Peace in and for the County of Bannock.

(Transcribed from Book "3" of Miscellaneous Records, page "90", Bannock County, Idaho.)

CARIBOU COUNTY ABSTRACT COMPANY

SODA SPRINGS, IDAHO

ENTRY NO. 6

SHEET NO. 6
Instrument No. 2116

A G R E E M E N T .

F. E. SMITH,
Party of the first part;

-And-

RICHARD TURNER,
Party of the second part. - - - - -

Dated: December 1st, 1920.
Recorded: Feb. 11th, 1921 at
4:55 p.m. in Book "1" of
Agreements, at page "87."
Consideration: \$1.00 and \$1694.00

WITNESSETH: That in consideration of One and no/100 Dollars, in hand paid the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, and the considerations hereinafter names, the party of the first part hereby covenants and agrees to sell and convey the premises hereinafter described, to the party of the second part, under the terms and conditions hereinafter named, to-wit:

That if the party of the second part shall first make the payments and perform the covenants hereinafter mentioned on his part to be made and performed, the said party of the first part hereby covenants and agrees to convey to the said party of the second part, in fee simple, by good and sufficient Warranty Deed to the following lots, pieces, or parcels of ground, viz:

The northwest quarter (NW $\frac{1}{4}$) of the northwest quarter (NW $\frac{1}{4}$) the Southwest quarter (SW $\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northwest quarter (NW $\frac{1}{4}$), and the northwest quarter (NW $\frac{1}{4}$) of the South west quarter (SW $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section Sixteen (16) in Township Eight (8) South, Range forty three (43) East Boise Meridian, Carribou County, State of Idaho, containing one Hundred Twenty (120) acres, more or less, said premises to be free and clear of all liens, incumbrances and taxes except such taxes as have accrued since the year 1917, which second party assumes and agrees to pay.

see. 14
NW NW
SW NW
NW SW
T8S, R43

And the said party of the second part hereby covenants and agrees to pay to the said party of the first part, the sum of Sixteen Hundred Ninety four and no/100 Dollars, in the manner following: One Note for \$1694.00 due Twelve Months after date, with interest upon the unpaid amounts at the rate of Ten per cent. per annum from maturity until paid, and to pay all taxes, assessments or impositions, that may be legally levied or imposed upon said lots;.....

.....
(SIGNED:) F. E. Smith (Seal)
(SIGNED:) Richard Turner (Seal)

(NO ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.)

CARIBOU COUNTY ABSTRACT COMPANY
SODA SPRINGS, IDAHO

ENTRY NO. 7

SHEET NO. 7
Instrument No. 3933

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

P A T E N T .

Dated: March 3rd, 1921.

GRANTOR -

TO:

CHARLES KELSEY,
GRANTEE.

Recorded: July 25th,
1922 at 3:20 o'clock
P. M. Records of
Caribou County, Ida.

WHEREAS, a Certificate of the Register of the Land Office at Blackfoot, Idaho has been deposited in the General Land Office, whereby it appears that, pursuant to the Act of Congress of May 20, 1862, "To Secure Homesteads to Actual Settlers on the Public Domain," and the acts supplemental thereto, the claim of Charles Kelsey has been established and duly consummated, in conformity to law,

For the southwest quarter of Section nine in Township eight south of Range forty-three East of the Boise Meridian, Idaho, containing one hundred sixty acres, according to the Official Plat of the Survey of the said Land, returned to the General Land Office by the Surveyor-General:

NOW KNOW YE, That there is, therefore, granted by the United States unto the said claimant the tract of land above described; to have and to hold the said tract of Land, with the appurtenances thereof, unto the said claimant and to the heirs and assigns of the said claimant forever; subject to any vested and accrued water rights for mining, agricultural, manufacturing, or other purposes, and rights to ditches and reservoirs used in connection with such water rights, as may be recognized and acknowledged by the local customs, laws, and decisions of courts;

(SIGNED:) By the President: Woodrow Wilson,
by M.P. LeRoy, Secretary.
L. J. C. Lamar, Recorder of the
General Land Office.

(S E A L)

Recorded: Patent Number 797970.

CARIBOU COUNTY ABSTRACT COMPANY
SODA SPRINGS, IDAHO

ENTRY NO. 8

SHEET NO. 8
Instrument #3934

CHARLES KELSEY, a bachelor,
GRANTOR -

WARRANTY DEED.

TO:

Dated: July 23rd, 1922.
Recorded: July 25th, 1922 at
3:22 o'clock P.M. Records
of Caribou County, Idaho.

F. E. SMITH,
GRANTEE.

Consideration: \$1.00.

Grant, Bargain, Sell and Convey and Confirm All that certain lot, piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the County of Caribou, State of Idaho, bounded and more particularly described as follows; to-wit:

Southwest quarter of Section Nine in Township eight South of Range forty-three East of the Boise Meridian, containing One hundred sixty acres, according to the official plat of the Survey of the said Land, returned to the General Land Office by the Surveyor-General. Together with all the water right appertaining to or belonging to the above described land.

(SIGNED:) Charles Kelsey (Seal)

WITNESSES: S. E. Matthews.

ACKNOWLEDGED: In the State of Idaho, County of Caribou on the 25th day of July 1922, by Charles Kelsey, a bachelor, before S. E. Matthews a Notary Public in and for said County and State residing at Soda Springs, Idaho. (Notarial Seal Affixed.)

I.R.S. 50¢

CARIBOU COUNTY ABSTRACT COMPANY
SODA SPRINGS, IDAHO

F. E. SMITH and ETTA SMITH,
his wife,

Parties of the first part;

-And-

RICHARD TURNER,

Party of the Second part.

A G R E E M E N T .

Dated: July 24th, 1922.

Recorded: July 25th, 1922

at 4:55 o'clock P.M.

Records of Caribou County,
Idaho.

Consideration: \$1200.00

WITNESSETH, That the said parties of the first part in consideration of the covenants and agreements on the part of the said party of the second part, hereinafter contained, agree to sell and convey unto the said party of the second part, and the second party agrees to buy all that certain lot piece or parcel of land, situate, lying and being in Caribou County of _____ and State of Idaho, and bounded and particularly described as follows, to-wit:

The Southwest quarter of Section nine in Township eight
South of Range forty-three east of Boise Meridian, Idaho,

for the sum of Twelve Hundred and No/100 Dollars lawful money of the United States, and the said party of the second part, in consideration of the premises, agrees to pay to the said parties of the first part therefor, the said sum of Twelve Hundred Dollars and No/100 Dollars as follows, to-wit:

\$1200.00 July 24 1924. Interest to be charged on the above amount (\$1200.00) at the rate of 9% per annum, payable semi-annually. And the said party of the second part agrees to pay all State, Government and County Taxes, or assessments for the year 1922 and each year thereafter of whatsoever nature, which are or may become due on the premises above described. And the said parties of the first part, on receiving such payment, at the time and in the manner above mentioned, agree to execute and deliver to the said party of the second part, his heirs assigns a good and sufficient warranty deed to said premises, free from all incumbrances.....

(SIGNED:) F. E. Smith (Seal)

(SIGNED:) Etta Smith (Seal)

WITNESS: S.E. Matthews.

(SIGNED:) Richard Turner

ACKNOWLEDGED: In the State of Idaho, County of Caribou on the 24th day of July, 1922 by F. E. Smith and Etta Smith, his wife, and Richard Turner, before S.E. Matthews a Notary Public in and for said State, residing at Soda Springs, Idaho. (Seal Affixed.)

CARIBOU COUNTY ABSTRACT COMPANY

SODA SPRINGS, IDAHO

ENTRY NO. 10

SHEET NO. 10
Instrument No. 6083.

F. E. SMITH and ETTA SMITH,
his wife,
Parties of the First part;

AGREEMENT TO SELL REAL ESTATE.

Dated: September 8th, 1924.
Recorded: September 11th, 1924
at 4:30 o'clock P.M. in Book
"1" of Contracts, at page "141."

-And-

RICHARD TURNER, & Phebe, his wife,
Party of the Second Part.

Consideration:

WITNESSETH, That the said parties of the first part, in consid-
eration of the covenants and agreements on the part of the said parties
of the second part, hereinafter contained, agree to sell and convey
unto the said parties of the second part, and the said parties of the
second part agree to buy all those certain lots, parcels or pieces of
land, situate, lying and being in Caribou County, State of Idaho,
and bounded and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

SW sec. 9.
NW NW. sec. 16.
SW NW
NW SW
The Southwest quarter of Section nine in township eight
south of range forty-three East of the Boise Meridian,
Idaho, containing one hundred and sixty acres and the
Northwest quarter (NW $\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northwest quarter (NW $\frac{1}{4}$)
the Southwest quarter (SW $\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northwest quarter
(NW $\frac{1}{4}$) and the northwest quarter of the southwest quarter
(SW $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section sixteen (16) in Township Eight (8)
south range forty-three (43) East, Boise Meridian, Idaho,
containing one-hundred twenty (120) acres, more or less:

for the sum of twenty-five hundred and seventy-seven and 50/100 dollars
(\$2577.50) lawful money of the United States, and the said parties of
the second part, in consideration of the premises, agree to pay to the
said parties of the first part therefor, the said sum of twenty-five
hundred and seventy-seven and 50/100 (\$2577.50) dollars, as follows,
to-wit: to pay the said amount of \$2577.50 within one year from date
hereof, with interest at the rate of nine per cent per annum from date,
interest payable semi-annually. And the said parties of the second
part agree to pay all State, Federal and County Taxes, liens or assess-
ments of whatever nature, which are or may become due on the premises
above described.

(Signed:) F. E. Smith
(Signed:) Etta Smith

Parties of the first part.

WITNESS: Albert A. Mattson. (Signed:) Richard Turner
(Signed:) Phebe Turner

Parties of the second part.

Acknowledged before L.C. Eastman, Probate Judge of Caribou County, Idaho.
(SEAL)

CARIBOU COUNTY ABSTRACT COMPANY
SODA SPRINGS, IDAHO

RICHARD TURNER and PHOEBE
TURNER, his wife,

GRANTORS -

TO:

F. E. SMITH and ETTA SMITH,
his wife,

GRANTEES.

Q U I T C L A I M D E E D

Dated: October 5th, 1927.
Recorded: October 7th, 1927 at
2:00 o'clock P.M. in Book "7"
of Deeds, at page "84."

Consideration: \$1.00

Release, demise and forever Quit claim all those certain pieces or parcels of land situated in Caribou County, of the State of Idaho, particularly described as follows, to-wit:

The Northwest quarter of the Northwest quarter (NW $\frac{1}{4}$ -NW $\frac{1}{4}$), the Southwest quarter of the Northwest quarter (SW $\frac{1}{4}$ -NW $\frac{1}{4}$) and the Northwest quarter of the Southwest Quarter (NW $\frac{1}{4}$ -SW $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section Sixteen (16) and the Southwest quarter (SW $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section Nine (9), all in Township Eight (8) South of Range Forty-three (43) East of the Boise Meridian, in Caribou County, Idaho, together with all water rights of every nature and kind; said lands being locally known as "The Charles Kesley Homestead" and "F.E. Smith State Lands."

(SIGNED:) Richard Turner (Seal)
(SIGNED:) Pheobe Turner (Seal)

ACKNOWLEDGED: In the State of Idaho, County of Caribou on the 7th day of October in the year 1927 by Richard Turner and Phoebe Turner, his wife, before J. T. Torgesen, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, residing at Soda Springs, Idaho: Commission Expires July 2nd, 1929. (Seal)

Contacts Data Entry Form

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Phone
Current Owner	Randy Panter					427-6388
Name connector: and	or	and/or				
Current Owner		14885 North Hwy 34				
Current Owner		Thatcher, ID			83283	

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: Randy Pantis Phone Number: 427-6388

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: 10/4/04 Time: 9am.

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

Reviewer: CB

Date: 5/16/05

Contacts Data Entry Form

27-12050

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-12050

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis: _____

☒ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

License 27-2024

Reviewer: CBDate: 5/16/05

Priority Date Data Entry Form

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit.
Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete.
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Claim No. 27-12050

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-12050

Water Right Point of Diversion: T_____, R_____, Sec. _____, _____, _____ County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____ R____ Sec. _____ County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____ R____, Sec. _____, _____, _____ County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

☒ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source

GPS Coordinates: _____

[illegible]

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

[illegible]

GPS Coordinates: _____

[illegible]

3. **Documentation** [100%]

0000 - first test

GPS Coordinates: _____

Other rights from this POD? 200

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:
link stage 27-12050 pod. shp. irrigation.

Pt of begin. SW SW SW Sec. 16 T8S, R43E.

pt of End. NENW NW Sec. 16 T 8 S R 43 E.

Transfers Changing POD no.

Reviewer: CB

Date: 5/17/05

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete
If No, POD review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Point of Diversion

Claim No.

27-12050

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Source

Claim No. 27-12050

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-12050☒ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other
stock		100		

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use noReviewer: CBDate: 5/17/05

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Purpose of Use

Claim No.

27-12050

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-12050☐ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
8S	43E	16	NWNW		Caribou			Irrigation & Stock
			SWNW		"			"
			NWSW		"			"

Total Acres 31.6

Document how the POU was verified:

Stock same as irrigation.

Transfers Changing POU noReviewer: CBDate: 5/17/05

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 2a
If No, go to 2b

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2a. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 14

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2b. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10
If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POU review is complete.
If No, POU review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Place of Use

Claim No. 27-12050

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-12050☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/15	10/31	0.63	
Stock	5/15	11/1	0.02	

Overall Diversion Rate 0.63

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

IDWR ADM SOU Irrigation31.6 ac * 0.02 = 0.632 cfsReviewer: CBDate: 5/17/05

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (X) No ()

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

Yes () No ()

If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18

4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12

Yes () No (X)

7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8

Yes () No ()

8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes () No ()

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

11. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13

Yes (X) No ()

13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15

Yes () No (X)

14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?

If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?

If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18

Yes (X) No ()

16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards?

If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use?

If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.
If No, Period of Use review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Period of Use

Claim No. 27 - 12050

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☒)

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☒)

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Quantity

Claim No. 27-12050

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes (☒) No (☐)

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Does this right have any combined used limits with other rights?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☒)

6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

8. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 9
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 10
If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☒)

10. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Summary

Claim No.

27-12050

Claim No.: _____

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

P21 Cond. from 27-2024.
C18.

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

Claim No.: 27-12050

Reviewer CB

Date 5/14/05