

Contor, Bryce

From: Contor, Bryce

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2001 4:22 PM

To: Wilson, Keith; Curtis, Sharla

Cc: Meyer, Paul

Subject: 29-7984

From the AJ investigation it looks like this permit overlaps license 29-7238. The paper work I see doesn't seem to reference the overlap...

9/20/2001

Contor, Bryce

From: Contor, Bryce
Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2001 3:43 PM
To: Wilson, Keith; Curtis, Sharla; Jones, Harold
Cc: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: POU Permit 29-7984

The permitted place of use interferes with licensed and recommended POU for 29-7575. The POU sketched by the field examiner in exam 29-7984 does not interfere with the recommended POU for 29-7575. I was out there on a Water Measurement District visit in 1998 and I think the examiner got it 100% correct.

For now, per AJ instructions, the *recommended* POU for A29-7984 follows the permit and therefore does interfere with recommendation 29-7575. I trust that when you issue the license you'll follow the field exam and everything will be swell again?

Thanks.

9/18/2001

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-7984 V1

Comments:

1. bcontor 6/26/2001 Recommendation
Comment: Recommended as permit.

2. bcontor 9/18/2001 POU
Comment: POU as permitted and as recommended interfere with licensed and recommended POU 29-7575. Note that permit examiners sketch does NOT interfere and appears to be correct from what I saw in a Water Measurement District exam here in 1998.

Dates and Other Information:

Decreed Date:
Enlargement Use Priority Date:
Enlargement Statute Priority Date:
Defendant:
Plaintiff:
Civil Case Number:
Judicial District:
Notice of Error Flag:
State or Federal: S
Owner Name Connector: AND
Water District Number:
Generic Max Rate Per Acre:
Generic Max Volume Per Acre:
Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:
Swan Falls Dismissed:
DLE Act Number:
Carey Act Number:
Mitigation Plan: False