


Memorandum
From: Dan Narsavage
To: File
Date: May 1, 2006
Re: Split of 67-636 performed in 2002

The problem:

After reviewing the maps included with this claim and the 1987 aerial photography available to me, it is fairly obvious to me that the intent of the original claim 67-636 (filed by Dreissen) was to claim the irrigation of land as outlined in green below (1987 photography). The Monroe Creek decree's intent was most likely to record this same state of irrigation, as the acreages reported within each QQ are close to what I can count in the aerial photography.



It appears that, when the Woodcocks filed their change of ownership for this claim, they failed to acknowledge this and claimed irrigation of seven acres in the SESE of Section 15 that have never been irrigated.

When analyzing the C/O, the WR agent overlooked this error, and changed the ownership for the entire claim rather than splitting it. This left the lowest portion of the eastern section of the right (SESE & S1/2SENESE), which was still owned by Dreissen at the time (based on other maps included with C/O), unclaimed.

The solution:

Based on aerial photography from 1987 and 2004, and also on maps provided by the claimants, I propose that 67-636 should have been split between Dreissen and Woodcock, not changed wholly into Woodcock's name. Please see the following pages for a description of the split.

Cc: Sally Sherman

Split Analysis

Water Right Number: 67-636

Diversion Rate: 2.84 CFS

Reviewer Name: Dan Narsavage

Date: 1-May-06

					Parent	67-14721 Children Acres 67-14722				
Twp	Rng	Sec	Q-Q	Lot	67-636	Dreissen	Woodcock			
12N	5W	10	SESE		30		30			
		15	NENE		38		38			
			SENE		36		36			
			NWNE		1		1			
			NESE		22	2	20			
			SESE		7	7				
		22	NENW		2		2			
			NWNE		6		6			

67-636 (142.0 ac & 2.84 cfs)	Dreissen
	(9.0 ac & 0.18 cfs)
	Woodcock
	(133.0 ac & 2.66 cfs)

Right No:	Rate of Diversion	Irrigated Acres	CFS / Acre
67-636	2.84	142	0.020
Dreissen	0.18	9	0.020
Woodcock	2.66	133	0.020

