

Notes

11/20/05 Rawlings ck L&L's priority
date was recommended 1 day
after telfords to prevent injury. CD

6 April 2005

Cindy,

I looked for all the claims that claim Poison Creek as the source. There were three of them. You have already recommended the only Telford claim that we have (27-4079).

I printed out the water right report for the 3 claims. See attached. The priority dates are as follows:

27-4079	Wayne Telford	6/19/1883	We have the file
27-10727	Eastern Idaho Grazing	5/10/1916	Skip has the file
27-10207	Rawlings Creek L&L	3/25/1880	We do NOT have this file

Hope this helps.

Barbara

Notes

11/22/04 Skip returned the file after field exam. Need to update POW so the rest of the claim can be completed.

Document in the file talks about decreed water from Rawlins crk, No Decree.

11/30/04 PDWR STD SON 4/15 to 10/31, Claimed
4/1 to 10/31?

12/6/04 After analyzing HKM shape and the 1987 & 1992 imagery of the ditches & streams the following was determined. The east boundary follows the ditch on the east, on the west part of the irrigation (red imagery) would be from sub-irrigation along the west side. Since the ditch follows the east boundary, I'm not sure it would reach the stream to the west lb.

Table 1, Recommended diversion rate for stockwater
51-100 0.03 cfs.

Claimants might want to also file on Rawlins crk if stock also drink from Rawlins crk.

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Barbara

SUBJECT: POU/PIP layer

FROM: Andy

DATE: 11-22-84

Please use Ship's map to correct the
POU, bunk PIP. And create a layout.

Return file to me afterward so I can
finish.

() For your information

☒ Response required

CB
signature

Claim no. 27-4079

Claimant Contact Log

Date	Remarks	Agent initials
------	---------	----------------

Exam was done on 8-05-2004 with claimant
Cindy Telford.

Photo # 1 shows the point of diversion (open ditch) on
Pawson Creek. Many beaver dams in area that interfere
with the flow thru the ditch. These were breached
during the exam so a flow could be measured.

GPS data - 2673887
1329097

GPS File# - 274079
NO GPS Tag

Photos # 3 & 4 show the ditch where it enters the
Telford property. Photo # 3 is before beaver dams were
breached. Ditch is 53" wide & 20" deep. Photo # 4 shows
water flow in same ditch after beaver dams were breached.

The Spatial Dynamics map has been modified to show actual
place of use.

Stockwater use is at 60 head of cattle, Instream.

Total flow measured was 3.37 cfs. This was exaggerated
by a longer head due to beaver dams. Normal flow is
probably only at 25% of observed & measured rate.

Exam done by Harold Jones of Water Rights Contracting
Service.



A27-4079 - Point of Diversion - ditch



A27-4079 - Diversion ditch, Looking SW.




427-4079



A27-4079

Water Right Claim Number 27-04079
Township , 3S39E

12/05/2000



Rawlins Creek

Poison Creek

T3S, R39E



26.93 ACRES



27-4079 1974 Orthoquad

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Skip

SUBJECT: field exam

FROM: Cindy

DATE: 4/28/04

Skip please verify POU/POD and get me
a measurement for the recommendation.
Thanks

() For your information

(X) Response required

CB
signature

Notes

12/17/03 Warranty deed 2-4-1933; 40" of Rawlins Creek on 80 acres of land (E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE of Sec. 22, T.3S, R.39E)?

- am having Pauline search with BLM the desert entry No 1061.

May 6, 1903 warranty deed covers all ditches & ditch rights? legal?

7-27-1945- decreed right to water of Rawlins Creek, with 1883 priority?

- when was POB changed from Rawlins Creek to Poison Ck?

Watermaster report 1984 first entry for 27-4079?

A.J. claim 30 acres - 40 inches?

12-22-03 Faxed claim and 1983/84 watermaster's report to Justin Oleson, fax # 785-7080 PB

1-5-04 Justin Oleson called to say he will

~~Not back at claim~~ Ed's Hall etc send some affidavits within this week.

- Needs field work in the Spring.

1-16-04 Received affidavits from Justin Oleson

Notes

- 10-15-03 Checked Henry deed (27C). Did not see a 6-19-1883 date. BP
- 10-22-03 Requested AT to send letter. BP
- 10-28-03 Wayne Telford called re letter from A.H. I explained what he needed to do. He will call Cindy next week. BP
- 10-23-03 AT sent letter to claimant. BP
- 11-14-03 No response from claimant; no evidence to support the priority date. Recommending as "DISALLOWED"
- 11-18-03 Sent "disallow letter". If not response by 11-24, DO ECVR. BP
- 11-24-03 Received FAX letter from attorney re evidence. BP
- 12-10-03 Wayne Telford called. He has gathered a "bunch" of documents & will send them to us. We are suppose to call him if we have questions. He ask for clarification on some claim number from the Stensens home. I clarified some numbers for him. BP
- 12-3 email from A.H. BP
- 12/17/03 looked on the watermaster maps in basin 27 for 27-4079 from 1975-1987; this right shows up as "new" in 1984, and is not listed in any prior years.
- 2:30pm Skip/Allen Ruberry called and is going to send me a copy of the 1974 setho quad in this area. Allen thought it looked like about 23 acres total in the E/SE Sec. 22?

Contacts Data Entry Form

Owner Type _____ Full Name _____ Address _____ City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Phone _____

Current Owner _____ *see claim*

Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____

Current Owner _____

Current Owner _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: Cindy Telford Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: Claimant

Date of Field Exam: 8/5/2004 Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

Reviewer:

Date:

Contacts Data Entry Form

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-4079

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: 4-1-1932
1890 Recommended Basis B. 21☐ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

- affidavits
- desert entry No. 1061.

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Priority Date Data Entry Form

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit.
Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete.
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Claim No. 27-4079

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-4079

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

____ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
3S	39E	22		NENESE	Bingham	Poison ckr Irrigation/stock
GPS Coordinates: <u>267.3887</u>					<u>1329097</u>	
3S	39E	22		NENESE	Bingham	Poison ckr Pt of Beginning
GPS Coordinates: _____					_____	
3S	39E	22		SENESE	Bingham	pt of Ending.
GPS Coordinates: _____					_____	
GPS Coordinates: _____					_____	
GPS Coordinates: _____					_____	
GPS Coordinates: _____					_____	

Other rights from this POD? _____

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

link shape GPS File Name 27-4079Transfers Changing POD NOReviewer: CBDate: 11/30/04

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 9

Yes () No (X)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes () No ()

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (X) No ()

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete
If No, POD review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Point of Diversion

Claim No.

27-4029

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes () No (X)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10

Yes () No (X)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10

Yes () No ()

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

Yes (X) No ()

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Source

Claim No. 27 - 4079

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-4079☒ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other
Stockwater		60		

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

field exam

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use N/AReviewer: CBDate: 11/30/04

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11

Yes () No (X)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8

Yes () No ()

8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes () No ()

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (X) No ()

12. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Purpose of Use

Claim No.

27-4079

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-4079. Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
3S	39E	22	NESE		Bingham		5.6	Irrigation / Stock
		22	SESE		Bingham		8.9	" / Stock

Total Acres 14.5

Document how the POU was verified:

field exam.

Stock pou same as irrigated

Transfers Changing POU noReviewer: CSDate: 12/6/04

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 2a
If No, go to 2b

Yes () No (X)

2a. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 14

Yes () No ()

2b. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 14

Yes (X) No ()

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 7

Yes () No (X)

4. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

Yes () No ()

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 13

Yes () No (X)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13
If No, go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10
If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

12. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

Yes (X) No ()

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POU review is complete.
If No, POU review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Place of Use

Claim No. 27 - 4079

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-4029☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/15	10/31	0.29	
Stockwater	5/15	~~12/31~~ 11/15	0.03	

Overall Diversion Rate 0.29

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

field exam - skip (They do not live on this ground.)
IDWR STD SOU 4/15 to 10/31

12/6/01 spoke to skip about the season. He said, it is way to cold
4/1 up here to be irrigating. They bring there cows up in
the summer. They are not there year around. Most of these guys
bring cows in too middle of may and have them out by 11/15.
gets to cold, n
to much snow.

Reviewer: CBDate: 12/6/01

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

Yes () No ()

If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18

4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12

Yes () No (X)

7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8

Yes () No ()

8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 12

Yes () No ()

If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 12

Yes () No ()

If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12

11. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, go to 14

Yes () No ()

If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12

12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 13

Yes (X) No ()

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13

13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15

Yes () No (X)

14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?

If Yes, go to 16

Yes () No ()

If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18

15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?

If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18

Yes (X) No ()

16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards?

If Yes, go to 17

Yes () No ()

If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18

17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use?

If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18

18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.
If No, Period of Use review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Period of Use

Claim No. 21 - 4079

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes () No (X)

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9.

Yes (X) No ()

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11

Yes () No (X)

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12

Yes () No (X)

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Quantity

Claim No. 27 - 4079

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3

Yes () No (X)

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes () No ()

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes () No (X)

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes (X) No ()

5. Does this right have any combined used limits with other rights?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (X) No ()

7. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (X) No ()

8. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 9
If No, go to 9

Yes () No (X)

9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 10
If No, go to 10

Yes () No (X)

10. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (X) No ()

Summary

Claim No.

27-4079

Claim No.: _____

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

None

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

yes

Claim No.:

27-4079

Reviewer

CB

Date

12/6/04