

Notes

3/16/05 meeting in Blackfoot with Jack Olney, former water superintendent for the City of Blackfoot. Said this well was pumping a similar rate to well #1 & 2, allowing 750 gpm or 1.67 cfs. Recommending this under an accomplished transfer to well #7. w/r 27-4086.

This Lansing street well was reopened in the 90's for irrigation. (replaces Miner's ditch).

When this well was dug, the city had problems with sand, per Jack Olney.

~~"DISALLOW"~~

~~recommended as 27-4086
Lansing St. well~~

Recommend to well #7 with Lansing street well diversion with the 1960 priority. Otherwise, wells #1 & 2 combined under 27-4086 with 1921 priority date. CB.

Bird, Cindy

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2005 5:21 PM
To: 'ron@cityofblackfoot.org'
Subject: Well # 7

hi Ron,

After you left I had another thought. If you can determine what the diversion rate of well # 1, # 2 as well as the 1960/63 Lansing Street well was producing in the early years we might be able to establish an accomplish transfer to well # 7. Then well # 8 would not be considered a replacement well for well # 2 but it would stand on its own with the 1982 priority date and the 3.99 cfs.

I'll see you in March.

Cindy

Notes

2/22/05 Ron Harwell & Richard from the City of Blackfoot met with me in my office to discuss their W/R's, (claims).

* According to Richard this Lansing St. well has not been used for municipal use long before 5 years prior to the filing date. Richard also said that this well wasn't used in the system because it was pumping sand.

But that didn't matter for the irrigation water so they started using it again for irrigation in 1996. If I remember earlier ~~was~~ conversations with Richard this well they stopped using this well in 1963 because of the sand problem.

2/22/05 Disallow: add P03 Remark & Condition.

~~I think in one of the files this is a~~
This is being disallowed because of no B.M. found. This well has not been used prior to 3/31/1984. per Richard, with the City of Blackfoot for Municipal. The irrigation use is under permit 27-7577.

Contacts Data Entry Form

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Phone

Current Owner: See claim

Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____

Current Owner _____

Current Owner _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: _____ Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

Reviewer: CB

Date: 2/22/05

Contacts Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-11706

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: 4-25-1960 Recommended Basis B. U.

 Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

Reviewer: CB

Date: 2/23/05

Priority Date Data Entry Form

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit.
Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete.
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Claim No. 27-11706

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-11706

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

☒ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						

Other rights from this POD? _____

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

T5787

Transfers Changing POD _____

Reviewer: CBDate: 2/22/04

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 2a
If No, go to 2b

Yes () No (X)

2a. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 14

Yes () No ()

2b. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 14

Yes () No ()

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

4. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

Yes () No ()

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 13

Yes () No ()

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13
If No, go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10
If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

12. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

Yes () No ()

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/ or condition. POU review is complete.
If No, POU review is complete.

Yes () No ()

Place of Use

Claim No. _____ - _____

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-11704☒ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
municipal	1/1	12/31	1.67	

Overall Diversion Rate 1.67

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

3/16/05: 750 gpm per Jack Olney, previous
water super intendent for the city

Reviewer: CBDate: 2/22/05

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer? Yes () No ()
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
9. Was an enlargement claim filed? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
11. Was a transfer filed? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.
If No, Period of Use review is complete.

Period of Use

Claim No. 27-11706

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11.

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes () No (X)

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

Yes (X) No ()

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11

Yes () No (X)

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12

Yes () No (X)

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Quantity

Claim No. 27 - 11706

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3

Yes () No (X)

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes () No ()

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes () No (X)

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes () No (X)

5. Does this right have any combined used limits with other rights?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (X) No ()

7. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (X) No ()

8. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 9
If No, go to 9

Yes () No (X)

9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 10
If No, go to 10

Yes () No (X)

10. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (X) No ()

Summary

Claim No. 27 - 11706

Claim No.: _____

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

~~P03 Rem/Cond General~~

P06 Rem/Con Gen recommended
as 27-4086
lansing street well

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

no

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

yes.

Claim No.:

27-11704

Reviewer

CB

Date

2/22/04

Notes

Claims A27-11706 + A27-04165

1/ Exam done Oct 21, 2003

2/ GPS data - 0632844
0233029

File # 11706
Tag # A0012485

3/ Historically this well was used for Municipal use. In 1996 the City filed application for permit 27-7577. This was to provide well water for the Miners Ditch users as per contractable obligations. Ordinarily the City pumped surface water out of the Blackfoot River for the Miners Ditch users. This proved so costly, they filed for the well permit so they could bypass the surface flows as a mitigation source. The Permit (27-7577) was approved & the Lansing St. well was designated to provide the water.

4/ Theoretical - Using the Water Measurement Annual Report for 2001. June 1 - June 30th data;
194,000,000 gallons pumped / month
or 6,466,666 gallons per day.


$$\frac{6,466,666 \text{ gpd}}{1440 \text{ minutes per day}} = 4490.7 \text{ gpm}^*$$

Notes

Claims A27-11706 + A27-04165 (continued)


5/ The Theoretical or actual reported data for June 2001 supports the total cfs of both claims which is 8.90 cfs or 3994.3 gpm

Claims A27-11706 + A27-04165



This is the Lansing St. Well - 150hp

Claims A27-11706 + A27-04165



Motor tag on Lansing St. well