

Notes

4/4/05. POD hasn't changed, and current technology allows us to better determine the location. POP was GPS'd by Harold Jones. POP is based on IDTM 83 data. CB Recommending POD as GPS'd pt.

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Barbara
FROM: Andy

SUBJECT: Layout
DATE: 4/4/05

Create a layout with City of Blackfoot Boundary, and the GPS Point 27-7384 for the pod.

Done after layout complete

- () For your information
- () Response required

CB
signature

Bird, Cindy

From: Ciscell, Michael
Sent: Wednesday, April 06, 2005 7:58 AM
To: Bird, Cindy
Subject: T02s35e GCDB lotting

Cindy,

Some time ago (3/24) you sent Danielle a FAX of a lotting confusion you were seeing. The answer is that the plat you FAXed was from a 1957 survey. The GCDB reflects a 1960 survey with new lot numbers along the river. We have fiche of both surveys. BLM has tried to use the most current surveys to compile the GCDB.

Hope this helps,

Michael

Bird, Cindy

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2005 2:27 PM
To: Keen, Shelley
Subject: RE: POD error on 27-7384

Shelley,

I can send you the GPS data if you would like. It appears that they are off by about 700 feet and neither one of the points are close to the QQ lines.

If transfer memo #24 doesn't require a transfer to correct the legal when technology has improved then their shouldn't be a problem correcting it in the SRBA.

Cindy

-----Original Message-----

From: Keen, Shelley
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2005 12:00 PM
To: Bird, Cindy
Subject: RE: POD error on 27-7384

Cindy,

I don't have the GPS data to review, but I will assume the POD is really close to the line. In such cases, IDWR is reluctant to make changes because the GPS data and the GCDB may not be accurate enough to result in a reliable change. For example, if the GCDB is revised in the future, the revised POD location could become "wrong" again.

Transfer Memo #24 says that IDWR will not require a transfer when the change in the legal description of the POD is due entirely to improved technology for referencing and displaying the location. In other words, IDWR will not take enforcement action against the right holder if the description is found to be "wrong" based on new methods. If the right holder wants the change to be shown in the water right record, however, he or she must file an application for transfer. In this case, if the right holder files the application for transfer, it would not have to be advertised because it is not a physical change.

Call if you want to talk it over some more.

Shelley

-----Original Message-----

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2005 1:57 PM
To: Walker, Kay
Cc: Keen, Shelley
Subject: POD error on 27-7384

good afternoon Kay,

License 27-7384 was approved in 1990. The permit claimed the POD in the SWNE of section 27, T2S, R35E. The license was amended to put the POD in Lot 13 (NWSE) of section 27, T2S, R35E. When Skip went out on a field exam to the site he GPS'd the POD and it is in L-5 (SWNE) of section 27, T2S, R35E not the NWSE. Would it be possible to correct the license?

thanks,
Cindy

-----Original Message-----

From: Wilson, Keith

Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2005 1:22 AM
To: Bird, Cindy
Subject: RE: 27-7384

Cindy,
About all I can do is send you a copy of the field exam. Hopefully you can use this.

Keith

<< File: 27-7384_POD.doc >>

-----Original Message-----

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Wednesday, March 23, 2005 4:22 PM
To: Wilson, Keith
Subject: 27-7384

hi Keith,
I'm working on the recommendation for this right but the POD isn't coming out in the correct place. Do you a water right pod for this right? If so could you send me the shape. I tried to look under water rights but it said it couldn't connect to the water right server.

Hope you can help, thanks.
Cindy

15 West Center Street
Soda Springs, Idaho 83276
(208) 547-3993
(208) 547-3939 fax

Idaho Department of Water Resources

Fax

To: Danielle Faureau From: Cindy Bird
Fax: 1-208-287-6700 Pages: 2
Phone: 1-208-287-4938 Date: 3/24/05
Re: T2S, R35E CC:

☐ Urgent ☒ For Review ☐ Please Comment ☒ Please Reply ☐ Please Recycle

• **Comments:**

Hi Danielle,

The attached map is located in T2S, R35E section 27. If you notice next to the river it's lot 13. But if you look in the GCDB it's now lot 17? There is no lot 13? It goes from lot 12 to lot 17 and lot 6? What is going on?

Thanks,
Cindy


FAXED
3-24-05
3/24/05

27-7384

35E

WEST

73 98



Bird, Cindy

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2005 1:57 PM
To: Walker, Kay
Cc: Keen, Shelley
Subject: POD error on 27-7384

good afternoon Kay,

License 27-7384 was approved in 1990. The permit claimed the POD in the SWNE of section 27, T2S, R35E. The license was amended to put the POD in Lot 13 (NWSE) of section 27, T2S, R35E. When Skip went out on a field exam to the site he GPS'd the POD and it is in L-5 (SWNE) of section 27, T2S, R35E not the NWSE. Would it be possible to correct the license?

thanks,
Cindy

-----Original Message-----

From: Wilson, Keith
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2005 11:22 AM
To: Bird, Cindy
Subject: RE: 27-7384

Cindy,
About all I can do is send you a copy of the field exam. Hopefully you can use this.

Keith



27-7384_PO
D.doc

-----Original Message-----

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Wednesday, March 23, 2005 4:22 PM
To: Wilson, Keith
Subject: 27-7384


hi Keith,
I'm working on the recommendation for this right but the POD isn't coming out in the correct place. Do you a water right pod for this right? If so could you send me the shape. I tried to look under water rights but it said It couldn't connect to the water right server.

Hope you can help, thanks.
Cindy



35E

27-7384



Point of Division of Use

MICROFILMED

MAY 29 1991

Notes

12/10/04 Taken off line in 1994

12/14/04 Recommend as "DISALLOWED" Within 5 years of nonuse of the 1989 filing date. Ask to Candice if this can be recommended as abandoned/forfeited?

12/15/04 Rick Mangum: 1993 - hooked to City water. Capped - abandoned.

12/17/04 - according to Candice, this claim needs to be recommended.

1/18/05 recommend as noted.

I.C. 42-219, 42-222, 42-202B(3)a. for municipal use.

licensed in 1990. CB.

Bird, Cindy

From: Carlsen, Ernie
Sent: Friday, December 17, 2004 12:06 PM
To: Bird, Cindy
Subject: RE: abandoned/forfeited claim recommendation

Cindy Did you receive a copy of the transfer for the City of Blackfoot? It went to you by snail mail a couple of days ago. When the Department approves a transfer or issues a license the administrative action is recognized as amending related SRBA claims and gives validity to the effected water rights even if they are based on beneficial use. The starting point for making recommendations for the City of Blackfoot is the transfer which amended the claims. Generally administrative actions after November 1987 confirm the elements of the water right and dictates how the recommendations will be structured. If IDWR approved a transfer for a beneficial use right as recently as 2000 the SRBA is precluded from considering abandonment or forfeiture.

You and I can consult about the City's claims next time either of us visits the others office.

Jennifer is making good progress on generating shape files from the warranty deeds in the Basin 27 binder. I am confident they will provide some valuable info for determining how the rights were divided.

Ernie

-----Original Message-----

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Friday, December 17, 2004 11:26 AM
To: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: RE: abandoned/forfeited claim recommendation

Yes. The City of Blackfoot claims are a mess. They filed on both statutory claims and licenses from the same pod and then in 2000 and 2001 they filed transfers to include both the statutory claims and licenses. The diversion rates for both were added in the transfer exceeding the capacity of the well. Some of the wells were completely abandoned or they claimed to have drilled new or replacements wells 10 years later but claim the original priority dates. So I have several questions on the City.

-----Original Message-----

From: Carlsen, Ernie
Sent: Friday, December 17, 2004 10:45 AM
To: Bird, Cindy
Cc: McHugh, Candice
Subject: RE: abandoned/forfeited claim recommendation

I understanding is this applies to all claims, regardless of the basis. Do you have a specific claim the is based on beneficial use but the water has not been diverted for a number of years?

Ernie

-----Original Message-----

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Friday, December 17, 2004 9:52 AM
To: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: RE: abandoned/forfeited claim recommendation

Does that also go for statutory claims that have not been verified?

-----Original Message-----

From: Carlsen, Ernie
Sent: Friday, December 17, 2004 9:18 AM
To: Bird, Cindy
Cc: McHugh, Candice
Subject: RE: abandoned/forfeited claim recommendation

Cindy based on the time line in your email I believe we are legally precluded from recommending this right has been lost thru nonuse. Judge Wood's decision on forfeiture in the SRBA states that after the claim is filed the forfeiture clock ceases to tick until the partial decree is issued. The

non-use has to have occurred prior to when the claim is filed. It does not seem logical to recommend a claim on a well that has not been used for 10 years but in this case that is how the dust settles. After the partial decree is issued the City of Blackfoot will have five years to transfer the quantity under 27-7384 into its municipal system.
Ernie

-----Original Message-----

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Thursday, December 16, 2004 4:19 PM
To: McHugh, Candice
Cc: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: abandoned/forfeited claim recommendation

hi,
I'm working on the claims for the City of Blackfoot and wanted you to be aware of my recommendation and see if you have any concerns. Claim 27-7384 has a 1983 priority date and was licensed in 1990's. In 1994 this well was abandoned (capped) and the culinary use for the golf course has been added to city system. The claim was filed in 1989 and abandoned in 1994 which falls within 5 years. I would like to disallow the claim and add the P04 remark that it has been abandoned/forfeited 1994 to current.

Cindy

Contacts Data Entry Form

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Phone
Current Owner		see claim				
Name connector: and						
Current Owner						
Current Owner						

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: _____ Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

Reviewer: CB

Date: 1/19/05

Contacts Data Entry Form

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-7384

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis: _____

☒ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

None

Reviewer: CBDate: 4/18/05

Priority Date Data Entry Form

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit.
Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete.
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Claim No.

27-7384

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-7384Water Right Point of Diversion: T 25, R 3SE, Sec. 27, 6-13, SW, NE, County: Bingham

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T 25, R 3SE, Sec. 27, 6-13, SW, NE, County: Bingham

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T 25, R 3SE, Sec. 27, 6-13, SW, NE, County: BinghamSource: G.W. Tributary: _____☐ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
25	3SE	27	5	SWNE	Bingham	G.W.
GPS Coordinates: 0634675					0236685	
GPS Coordinates: _____					_____	
GPS Coordinates: _____					_____	
GPS Coordinates: _____					_____	
GPS Coordinates: _____					_____	
GPS Coordinates: _____					_____	
GPS Coordinates: _____					_____	

Other rights from this POD? no

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

license

GPS print matches permit but permit was amended to put the pod in the SWNE (6-13) Sec 27. emailed Kay Walker to see if it could be corrected.

Transfers Changing POD noReviewer: CBDate: 1/18/05

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete
If No, POD review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27 - 7384

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

Yes (☒) No (☐
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

Yes (☐) No (☐
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (

Source

Claim No. 27-7384

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-7384☒ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

license

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use _____

Reviewer: CBDate: 4/18/05

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 27-7384

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-7384☐ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Tw	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Tw	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Document how the POU was verified:

POU is the City of Blackfoot Boundaries.
Not a QQ.

No shape needed.

Transfers Changing POU noReviewer: CBDate: 7/18/05

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 2a
If No, go to 2b

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2a. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☒)

2b. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10
If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POU review is complete.
If No, POU review is complete.

Yes (☒) No (☒)

Place of Use

Claim No. 27-7384

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-7384☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Municipal	7/1	12/31	0.14	

Overall Diversion Rate 0.14

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

License

Reviewer: CBDate: 1/18/05

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
9. Was an enlargement claim filed? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
11. Was a transfer filed? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes (☐) No (☒)
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required? Yes (☐) No (☒)
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.
If No, Period of Use review is complete.

Period of Use

Claim No.

27-7384

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☒)

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☒)

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Quantity

Claim No.

27 - 7384

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☒)

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Does this right have any combined used limits with other rights?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☒)

6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

8. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 9
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 10
If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☒)

10. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Summary

Claim No.

27-7384

Claim No.: _____

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18

124 Gen Cond Place of use at City of
Blackfoot Golf Course

901 Gen Cond

902 Gen Cond

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

no

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

Claim No.:

27-7384

Reviewer

CB

Date

1/18/05

Notes

Claim A27-07384

1/ Exam done Oct 21, 2003

2/ This well has been taken off-line + clubhouse
now has Blackfoot City water from main
trunk-line. Well taken off-line in 1994.

3/ GPS data - 0634675 File # 7384
0236685 * No Tag affixed

Claim A27-07384



[Close](#)IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Water Right Report

04/28/2003

WATER RIGHT NO. 27-7384

Owner Type	Name and Address
Current Owner	CITY OF BLACKFOOT 157 N BROADWAY BLACKFOOT, ID 83221 (208)785-8688

Priority Date: 06/30/1983
Basis: License
Status: Active

Source	Tributary
GROUND WATER	

(1994)
Abandoned well - now on city water

GPS + photo

GPS - 0634675
0236685

File 7384

No tags put on