

4/19/05.

Notes

27-2116 & 27-4019. phone discussion w/Ernie.

Purpose: industrial

well under 2116

May 1958- well drilled. Sept 1958 first
operated -

B.U. claim - 1" per acre for 20 acres. for irrigation
20 acre exp. plat.

Under the affidavit in file.

Another well 800 gpm - ? Which well.

Need evidence of flow-rate on 27-4019

Does the pod under 27-2116 still exist?

Is that the well with the building on it?

Was a new well dug?

-10 May 2005 -

Tom Newirth

DEQ Pkwy Regional Office

236-6160

wastewater land application.

Q- original claim represents that 2.00 cfs was
diverted. Theoretical calculations indicate this Q
is reasonable. While 4.0 cfs. is excessive for
NP, PSI & dynamic head.

THEORETICAL PUMP FLOW CALCULATIONS

Formula:

$$Q_{cfs} = [(Hp)(8.814)(Eff)] \div [Lift + (psi \times 2.308)]$$

Hp = Horsepower = 100

Eff = Efficiency = .60 (Usually 70%)

Lift: (Static Level + Assumed Drawdown)

Static Water Level = _____ ft.

Assumed Drawdown = + _____ ft.

Lift = 80

OR

Measured Dynamic Water Level = _____ ft.

psi = Operating Pressure = 80 psi

$$Q_{cfs} = \left[\frac{100}{Hp} \times 8.814 \times \frac{.60}{Eff} \right] \div \left[\frac{80}{Lift} + \left(\frac{80}{psi} \times 2.308 \right) \right]$$

$$Q_{cfs} = \left[\frac{528.84}{ } \right] \div \left[\frac{264.64}{ } \right]$$

$$Q_{cfs} = \underline{2.00} \text{ cfs}$$

Bird, Cindy

From: Jo Beeman [jo.beeman@beemanlaw.com]
Sent: Monday, April 18, 2005 10:16 PM
To: Cindy Bird (IDWR)
Cc: Joyce Angell (B&A); Jo Beeman ; 'B&A Office'
Subject: Basic American ground water rights for Shelley plant

Cindy,

Basic American wanted to check some matters regarding its Shelley plant SRBA claims: 27-7411, 27-4019 and 27-2116.

1. Does IDWR have all the necessary documents on the change in ownership to Basic American, Inc?
2. The plant wells should be listed as points of diversion for both 27-4019 and 27-2116 and the places of use should be the same.
3. The rate of diversion for 27-4019: An affidavit will be provided to confirm the rates of diversion for wells 2 and 3.
4. Diverted volume: Basic American will have a recommendation based on Merlyn Browning's affidavit (already on file) and the rates of diversion for wells 2 and 3. Should I just email that to you?
5. Is a field exam necessary?

Basic American should have the data to me for 27-4019 and the diverted volume this week. Thanks.

Jo

Josephine P. Beeman
Beeman & Associates, P.C.
Phone: 208-331-0950
Fax: 208-331-0954
jo.beeman@beemanlaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This transmission is intended only for the use of the individual(s) named as recipients. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents, or take any action in reliance on the information it contains.

4/19/2005

Josephine P. Beeman
Beeman & Associates, P.C.
Phone: 208-331-0950
Fax: 208-331-0954
jo.beeman@beemanlaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This transmission is intended only for the use of the individual(s) named as recipients. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents, or take any action in reliance on the information it contains.

2603.

well changed - 2/16

We could recommend Both P&D's under license.

Industrial - Changes as a matter of conformity.
no actual change in use.

POU. - list && claimed.

4/19/2005

Notes

4/16/04 - received documents from Basic
American Foods. 4/5/04 - Documents
needs review. CB

4

Notes

2/13/04 Need to draft a letter for the change in SO4 on 27-4019 & 7411.

2/17/04 mailed letter on 27-4019 & 7411 requesting information when the SO4 changed. If the SO4 has changed then an enlargement claim is needed.

2/19/04 also, I received an email from Eric outlining Cond/Remark Code needed on these rights.

3/15/04 Spoke with Larry Sautter, from Basic American Foods. He's been with the Company about 18 months. He doesn't know when the SO4 changed. CB Larry will call 3/16/04 for an appointment to see if I have to serve on jury duty.

Ship called back and he had asked them for their pumping records and he said he would have to talk to their attorney first.

MIND LARRY WE STILL NEED the Records!

4-5-04 Received affidavit and ownership change from claimant, but no warranty deed. PB

4-5-04 Claimant returned phone call, another package went out last Friday, with legal description info. PB

Bird, Cindy

From: Carlsen, Ernie
Sent: Friday, February 13, 2004 12:51 PM
To: Bird, Cindy
Cc: McHugh, Candice; Shaff, Don
Subject: RE: Basic American Foods (Pillsbury Co.)

Cindy

I spoke with Skip and Don S. about the three rights claimed by Basic American Foods. Skip indicated the land application was a pre-1987 practice so the recommendations can include C05 and show the QQs where land application occurs. He indicated the map illustrates the QQs to be identified as the place of use.

From the conversation between Don and I, we came up with two conditions listed below. The first condition is for 27-7411 and is actually on license but does not show up in the data base.

Water used under this right is for cleaning the distribution system used to discharge plant wastewater. Plant wastewater is not mingled with fresh water and is used for land application.

Use the following remark for 27-4019 and 27-2116. This remark is a modified WD8. Don said to leave it as a place of use remark.

The place of commercial use includes land upon which wastewater may be applied for land application purposes to satisfy water quality requirements. Water diverted shall not be used for land application unless the water is first used in potato processing as authorized by this water right.

Since the rights do not provide for using ground water to irrigate I suggest not including any reference to irrigation use.

I hope this helps. Let me know if you still have questions.

Ernie

-----Original Message-----

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Friday, February 13, 2004 10:10 AM
To: McHugh, Candice
Cc: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: Basic American Foods (Pillsbury Co.)

good morning Candice,

I have 3 claims for Pillsbury Co. now owned by Basic American Foods that uses the water to process potatoes then uses the wastewater with there canal water to land apply the water. I don't find an appropriate remark or condition to fit this application. Would you please create an appropriate condition with the correct wording to work in this application?

Claim numbers 27-4019, 27-7411 and 27-2116.

Thanks you,
Cindy

Notes

2-4-04 File returned to Soda. BT

2-4-04 Email to Ship re well ID. BT

2-4-04 Letter to Laurent re ownership change. BT

2/5/04 emailed Ernie to find out if a layout is needed for the land application of the waste water. CB

2/6/04 Larry called to say that he's still working on getting the paperwork together for the ownership change.

2/12/04 Pou under this claim is claimed under ~~38~~ for Snake River Valley Irrigation District.

SOU in Statutory claim for commercial is 9/15 to 7/1.

1. Change in SOU?

2. Statutory claim - claimed 20 cts Commercial
4/1 to 9/15 20 cts Irrigation.

Pou changed: Increased QR's but they are listed under Snake River Valley Irrigation District.

2/13/04 emailed Cardini to ask her to create an appropriate Remark/Cond for the land application of the wastewater. CB Copied Ernie Carlson.

Notes

Claim A27-04019 (continued)

8/ Pump theoretical:

$$\text{cfs} = \frac{100\text{hp} \times 8.81 \times 70\% \text{ eff}}{\frac{90' \text{ water head}}{(\text{estimated})} + (2.31 \times 80 \text{ psi}) \text{ (Dynamic head)}}$$

$$\text{cfs} = \frac{616.7}{274.8} = 2.24 \text{ cfs}$$

* Theoretical does not support claim of 4.00 cfs

9/ Additional P.O.U. 1/4 of 1/4 for land application possibly addressed in Memorandum #61 (included).

A transfer is generally required but could this not be considered an accomplished transfer since verbal testimony implies this ground was used to spread wastewater prior to 1987.

In addition these P.O.U.'s are irrigated with Snake River Valley Irrig. District water. See claim 1-38 included. The waste water is mixed with this water & land applied. This holds true for Claim A27-02116.

Notes

Claim A27-04019

1/ Exam done on 1-15-2004 with Larry Sautter, Environmental Superintendent for Basic American Foods.

2/ A change of ownership for instructions were given to Mr. Sautter.

3/ There is only one well on this particular system. The priority date claimed of July 1, 1957 is supported by attached well log for R.T. French company.

4/ This system has a 100hp U.S. Motors pump, SN 1154804, operating at 80 psi. CFS quantification has been requested from the company (Basic Am. Foods)

5/ Company staff are working on place of use questions.

6/ GPS data - 0651786 File # 007291
0254307 DEQ tag # E0007291

7/ No photos allowed of well as it is inside process building.

BASIC AMERICAN FOODS

LARRY SAUTTER

Environmental Superintendent
lsautter@baf.com

434 South Emerson
Shelley, ID 83274
208.357.1344
Cell 208.317.8344
Fax 208.357.3586

Contacts Data Entry Form

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Phone
Current Owner	Basic	American Foods				208-357-1344
Name connector: and		or				
Current Owner		434 S. Emerson				
Current Owner		Shelley, ID	83274			
Representing Attorney:						Phone Number:
Accompanied By:						Phone Number:
Relationship to Claimant:						
Date of Field Exam:	1-15-2004					Time:

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

2/4/04 Requested ownership change CB
Skip also left an ownership change form
with Larry Sautter on 1-15-04

2/5/04 Harold Jones called and said they had
either referred it to their accountant or lawyer
to handle.

2/

Reviewer:

CB

Date:

2/5/04

Contacts Data Entry Form

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-4019

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis: _____

☒ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

well log
field exam

Reviewer: CBDate: 2/3/04

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Priority Date

Claim No. 27-4019

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. B. U.
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-4019

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

☐ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
					Bingham	G.W.
GPS Coordinates: <u>0651786</u>					<u>0254307</u>	
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						

Other rights from this POD? No

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

field exam
link GPS file #007291

Transfers Changing POD NOReviewer: CBDate: 2/5/04

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27 - 4019

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10

If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 9

Yes () No (X)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes () No ()

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (X) No ()

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete

If No, POD review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Point of Diversion

Source

Claim No. 27-4019

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes () No (X)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10

Yes () No (X)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10

Yes () No ()

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

Yes (X) No ()

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Source

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-4019☐ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other
<u>commercial</u>				

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

field exam

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use noReviewer: CBDate: 2/5/04

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 27-4019

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes ☐ No ☒
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☒
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11
Yes ☒ No ☒
7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8
Yes ☒ No ☐
8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
Yes ☐ No ☐
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes ☒ No ☐
12. Did the claimant file a transfer?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☒

Purpose of Use

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-4019☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Commercial	7/1	12/31	2.24	

Overall Diversion Rate 2.24

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

field exam

Reviewer: CBDate: 2/5/04

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 14

If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 12

Yes () No (X)

7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 9

If No, go to 8

Yes () No ()

8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12

If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 12

If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes () No ()

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 12

If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

11. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, go to 14

If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 13

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13

Yes (X) No ()

13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined?

If Yes, go to 14

If No, go to 15

Yes () No (X)

14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?

If Yes, go to 16

If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?

If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18

If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18

Yes () No (X)

16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards?

If Yes, go to 17

If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use?

If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18

If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Period of Use

Quantity

Claim No. 27 - 4019

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11
4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7
6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7
7. Is a flow measurement required? *USE theoretical because of well location.* Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9
8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9
9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11
10. Is irrigation a purpose of use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12
12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.

Claim No. 27-4019

Summary

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3
Yes ☐ No ☒
2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
Yes ☐ No ☐
3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?
If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4
Yes ☒ No ☐
4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?
If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5
Yes ☐ No ☐
5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6
If No, go to 6
Yes ☒ No ☐
6. Would you like to view the recommended right?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.
Yes ☒ No ☐
7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?
If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8
If No, go to 8
Yes ☐ No ☒
8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?
If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9
If No, go to 9
Yes ☒ No ☐
9. Would you like to set the verification date?
If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.
Yes ☒ No ☐

Summary

Summary Data Entry Form

Claim No.: _____

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18

C04

WD8 Pou-Rem. The place of commercial use includes land upon which wastewater may be applied for land application purposes to satisfy water quality requirements. Water diverted shall not be used for land application unless the water is first used in potato processing as authorized by this water right.

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

No

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

Claim No.:

27-4019

Reviewer

CB

Date

2/5/04