

July 15, 2002

**ARIMO CORP
139 E SOUTH TEMPLE
SUITE 310
SALT LAKE CITY UT 84111**

RE: EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY

Dear Claimant (s):

The Idaho Department of Water Resources is nearing the end of water rights review in Basin 29. The Notice(s) of Claim identified with the number shown above has been filed in the Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA). The Notice of Claim indicates water usage is based on "Beneficial Use". Water rights of this type are established by the historical use of water, dating back to when water was first used for the purpose claimed, at or on the place of use identified on the Claim. The date the water was first put to beneficial use, known as the priority date, has not been confirmed by License, Decree, or Permit. For this reason, proof must be provided supporting the priority date. **As the claimant, you are responsible for submitting the necessary proof to support the priority date.**

The following water right claims have been filed in the Snake River Basin Adjudication, by Arimo Corp;

**29-04406, January 1, 1880, 0.65 cfs, 30.0 acres, irrigation and stock
29-04408, January 1, 1880, 0.62 cfs, 22.0 acres, irrigation and stock
29-04411, January 1, 1880, 1.01 cfs, 47.0 acres, irrigation and stock
29-04422, June 1, 1902, 2.03 cfs, 98.0 acres, irrigation and stock
29-04423, January 1, 1880, 0.65 cfs, 30.0 acres, irrigation and stock
29-04424, January 1, 1880, 1.39 cfs, 60.0 acres, irrigation and stock
29-11524, April 1, 1943, 0.13 cfs, 1.5 acres, irrigation, stock and domestic
29-12055, January 1, 1880, 0.20 cfs, 8.0 acres, irrigation and stock**

Each of these claims will require some type of documentation supporting the claimed priority date, as shown above. I have attached the first page of each claim as a reference for your use.

In addition, I will be contacting you in the near future for the purpose of scheduling an on-site visit of each of the above claims.

It is important that each element of the Notice of Claim be verified. For instance, regarding claims for irrigation, the evidence should tend to show that diversion works were in place, that they were capable of diverting the claimed diversion rate, and that the diverted water was beneficially used on the entire claimed place of use on or before the claimed priority date.

For your reference, I have provided a printout of your Notice of Claim and the pamphlet entitled **“Evidence of Priority”**. The pamphlet describes the types of evidence required and suggests which documents will fulfill this requirement. While I encourage you to read the entire pamphlet, I direct your attention to the two sections entitled **“What Kind of Evidence is Required”** and **“Some Examples of Documents Which May Be Evidence of the Priority Date”**.

It is our goal to recommend historical water rights within the Snake River Basin as accurately as possible. Field exams to verify other elements of the Notice of Claim have been or soon will be conducted by Department personnel.

.....
The Department will not recommend the Notice of Claim be decreed by the court if evidence of a priority date is not submitted. The Notice of Claim will be disallowed.
.....

Please submit the documentation supporting the priority date **within 30 days of the date of this letter, referencing all documents with the corresponding Claim number.** If you have any questions not covered by this letter or the enclosed pamphlet, please feel free to contact this office.

Respectfully submitted,

Allen B. Ruberry
Sr. Water Resource Agent
Adjudication Bureau

cc: File

Enclosure: Brochure on “Evidence of Priority”
Notice of Claim (copies) 29-4406, 4408, 4411, 4422, 4423, 4424, 11524, and 12055