

Bird, Cindy

From: Carlsen, Ernie
Sent: Sunday, February 24, 2002 12:39 PM
To: Bird, Cindy
Cc: McHugh, Candice
Subject: RE: another bancroft-lund

Cindy,

If they wish to have a domestic right from this well I suggest they file a separate claim. The recommendation in the Bancroft Lund is for only 0.02 cfs for 50 head of livestock. Though the new claim seems to be substantially enlarged, a higher Q, additional uses and more livestock the elements of this right are limited by the BL decree. The increase in stock is likely later than 1937 or it would have been on the original 243 claim. Not sure why domestic was not included on the 243 claim if it was an existing use. Maybe the home was built but on a different well. The claimant may have an answer to this question.
Ernie

-----Original Message-----

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2002 1:12 PM
To: McHugh, Candice
Cc: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: another bancroft-lund

Claim A29-4093 was decreed in the bancroft-lund as stock water. Their SRBA claim claims domestic and stockwater. Is there any problem with that?

cindy