

Type	WR #	Owner	Source	TRS	NENE	NVNE	SWNE	SENE	NENW	NWNW	SWNW	SENW	NESW	NWSW	Total
Right	27-2120	EVERT H PRESCOTT, RALPH D PRESCOTT,	GROUND WATER	03S34E28	40		40	39							178
Right	27-7299	THOMPSON FARMS PARTNERSHIP,	GROUND WATER	03S34E28							7	9	2	5	23
Right	27-2035	US DEPT OF INTERIOR,	BLACKFOOT RIVER	03S34E28											0
Right	27-10473	JANE CARTER, A LADD CARTER,	GROUND WATER	03S34E28				X							0
Right	1-10263	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ACTING THROUGH,	SNAKE RIVER	03S34E28											0
Right	27-10222	FRANCES B PRESCOTT,	GROUND WATER	03S34E28											0
Right	27-10473	JANE CARTER, A LADD CARTER,	GROUND WATER	03S34E28				X						0	0

Type	WR #	Owner	Source	TRS	NENE	NWNE	SWNE	SENE	NENW	NWNW	SWNW	SENW	NESW	NWSW	Total
Claim	1-10058	SMITH-MAXWELL DITCH CO.	SNAKE RIVER	03S34E28			40		39	40 X	X	5	X		124
Claim	27-7299	THOMPSON FARMS PARTNERSHIP,	GROUND WATER	03S34E28							7	9	2	5	23
Claim	27-21208	MARIE D CHAPPELL, BRENT E CHAPPELL,	GROUND WATER	03S34E28	40										40
Claim	27-11903	A LADD CARTER, JANE CARTER,	GROUND WATER	03S34E28			5.5	7.8	6.5						19.8
Claim	27-11904	BRENT E CHAPPELL, MARIE D CHAPPELL,	GROUND WATER	03S34E28			34.5	32.2	32.5						99.2

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Barbara

SUBJECT: layout

FROM: Cindy

DATE: 1/20/05

Barbara I tweaked the shape but couldn't get the Calc-acres to work. I installed (loaded) the script michael sent for Cal. Acres but nothing. So we will need to figure it out so we can determine the number of acres in each QQ.

Create a layout and give back to me to determine the diversion rate + volume. Ready for

() For your information

ECVR

CB
signature

☒ Response required

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Barbara

SUBJECT: layout

FROM: Cindy

DATE: 2/3/05

Please remove the acres indicated in your list so we only have acres in the NE of section 28. 132.50 acres


Create a layout and forward to ECVR work

() For your information

CB
signature

Notes

1/20/05 Recommending 27-11904 to be disallowed and recommending it under 27-2120B.



27-2120B and 27-11904 is owned by Brent Chappell.

The diversion rate needs to be recalculated once I know how many acres are in the POH. I couldn't get the script for Calc. Acres to work. I called Michael but he is out of the office. I will see him tomorrow at the Supervisors meeting in I.F.

T
3
S

R34E

Sec 28

SENE (L-5)

4.882

0.056

A. Ladd Carter 27-11903

DECEIVED
5 3 05
By CB
from Keith Wilson

**T
3
S**

R34E

Sec 28

SENE (L-5)

4.882

0.056

A. Ladd Carter 27-1 1903



*from
Kirk
Wilson*

Warranty Deed

478632

BINGHAM COUNTY RECORD
BLACKFOOT, IDAHO
FEE 3.00 DEP 01

1999 MAY 26 AM 9:21

For Value Received A LADD CARTER AND JANE M CARTER, husband and wife, REQUEST OF
RECORDS FIRST AMERICAN TITLE COMPANY

Hereinafter called the Grantor, hereby grants, bargains, sells and conveys unto
BRENT E CHAPPELL and MARIE D CHAPPELL, husband and wife
whose address is: 408 SOUTH 900 WEST, BLACKFOOT, ID, 83221

Hereinafter called the Grantee, the following described premises situated in Bingham County, Idaho, to-wit:

PARCEL I:

Part of Lots 4 and 5, Section 28, Township 3 South, Range 34 E.B.M., Bingham County, Idaho, described as: Beginning at a point that is S. 00°00' E., 1320.00 feet along the East line of said Section 28 to the NE corner of said Lot 5 from the NE corner of Section 28; and running thence N. 89°52'44" W., 1322.31 feet to the NE corner of said Lot 4; thence S. 75°00'05" W., 113.86 feet; thence S. 83°29'26" W., 702.22 feet; thence S. 85°23'21" W., 506.59 feet to the northerly bank of the Blackfoot River; thence following said bank the following three (3) courses: (1) S. 59°43'32" E., 462.61 feet; thence (2) S. 03°11'22" W., 475.60 feet; thence (3) S. 11°12'55" E., 471.09 feet to an existing fence line; thence along said fence the following ten (10) courses: (1) S. 89°16'38" E., 1456.86 feet; thence (2) N. 0°25'00" W., 23.86 feet; thence (3) N. 49°49'28" E., 119.07 feet; thence (4) N. 51°50'09" E., 118.20 feet; thence (5) N. 50°57'33" E., 213.89 feet; thence (6) N. 43°13'33" E., 155.78 feet; thence (7) N. 37°27'48" E., 170.85 feet; thence (8) N. 32°59'56" E., 146.07 feet; thence (9) N. 32°01'59" E., 101.20 feet; thence (10) N. 23°33'02" E., 49.56 feet to the East line of Section 28; thence N. 0°00'00" E., 524.28 feet along the section line to the point of beginning.

TOGETHER WITH all and singular the tenements, hereditaments, and appurtenances thereunto belonging or in anywise appertaining, including all water, water rights, ditch and ditch rights.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said premises, with their appurtenances unto the said Grantee and to the Grantee's heirs and assigns forever. And the said Grantor does hereby covenant to and with the said Grantee, that the Grantor is the owner in fee simple of said premises; that said premises are free from all encumbrances except current years taxes, levies, and assessments, and except U. S. Patent reservations, restrictions, easements of record, and easements visible upon the premises, and that Grantor will warrant and defend the same from all claims whatsoever.

Dated: MAY 25, 1999

A Ladd Carter
A LADD CARTER

Jane M Carter
JANE M CARTER

STATE OF IDAHO)
COUNTY OF BINGHAM) SS.

478632

On this 25TH day of MAY, 1999, before me the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for the said county and state, personally appeared A LADD CARTER AND JANE M CARTER, known to me to be the persons who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that they executed the same.



Notary Public for Idaho
Residing at: BLACKFOOT, IDAHO
My Commission Expires: 10/21/2003

37401

WARRANTY DEED

462157

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, A. LADD CARTER and JANE M. CARTER, husband and wife, of 438 S. 900 W., Blackfoot, Idaho 83221, the Grantors, do hereby grant, bargain, sell, and convey unto BRENT E. CHAPPELL and MARIE D. CHAPPELL, husband and wife, the Grantees, whose current address is 408 S. 900 W., Blackfoot, Idaho 83221, the following described premises, to-wit:

Part of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 28, Township 3 South, Range 34 E.B.M., Bingham County, Idaho, described as: Beginning at the NE corner of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, said point being N. 89°49'02" W. 1322.31 feet along the north line of Section 28 from the NE corner of said Section 28; and running thence S. 0°00'00" E. 1321.42 feet along the east line of said NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$; thence S. 75°00'05" W. 113.86 feet; thence S. 83°29'26" W. 702.22 feet; thence S. 85°23'21" W. 506.59 feet to the northerly bank of the Blackfoot River; thence N. 59°43'28" W. 29.87 feet along said bank; thence N. 0°37'55" E. 690.46 feet along a fence line to the southerly line of Deed Instrument No. 398380; thence N. 42°32'25" E. 1041.98 feet along said southerly line of Deed Instrument No. 398380 to the north line of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Section 28; thence S. 89°49'02" E. 626.32 feet along north line to the point of beginning.

Together with all and singular, the tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances, ditches and ditch rights and water and water rights thereunto belonging or in anywise appertaining.

Subject to reservations in United States patents and State deeds or other restrictions imposed by law, easements and rights-of-way, zoning and building ordinances, use restrictions of record, and taxes and assessments for the year 1998 and thereafter.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said premises, with their appurtenances unto the said Grantees, their heirs and assigns forever. And the said Grantors do hereby covenant to and with the said Grantees, that they are the owners in fee simple of said premises; that said premises are free from all encumbrances and that they will warrant and defend the same from all lawful claims whatsoever.

DATED and signed this 23 day of February, 1998.


A. Ladd Carter
A. LADD CARTER

Jane M. Carter
JANE M. CARTER

STATE OF IDAHO)
)ss.
County of Bingham)

On this 23 day of February, 1998, before me, the undersigned, a notary public in and for said state, personally appeared A. LADD CARTER and JANE M. CARTER, husband and wife, known to me to be the persons whose names are subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged to me that they executed the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my office seal the day and year in this certificate first above written.



[Signature]
NOTARY PUBLIC FOR STATE OF IDAHO
Residing at: Blackfoot

RECORDED AT THE REQUEST OF
98FEB25 AM11:21
FEE 3.00
DEP [Signature]
FIRST AMERICAN TITLE COMPANY
IDAHO COUNTY RECORDER
BLACKFOOT, IDAHO

452865

Warranty Deed

FIRST AMERICAN TITLE

BINGHAM COUNTY RECORDER
BLACKFOOT, IDAHOFEE 3.00 DEP *PC*

97 APR 7 PM 4:34

COPY

For Value Received SHELDON BERNARD WINER and EVELYN FAYE WINER, husband and wife

Hereinafter called the Grantor, hereby grants, bargains, sells and conveys unto BRENT E. CHAPPELL and MARIE D. CHAPPELL, husband and wife
whose address is: 406 & 408 S. 900 W., BLACKFOOT, ID, 83221

RECORDED AT THE REQUEST OF

Hereinafter called the Grantee, the following described premises situated in Bingham County, Idaho, to-wit:

TRACT A:

Township 3 South, Range 34 E.B.M., Bingham County, Idaho

Section 28: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, EXCEPT: The E. 25 feet of the N. 20 feet for a County road.ALSO EXCEPT: A portion of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 28, Township 3 South, Range 34 E.B.M., Bingham County, Idaho described as:Beginning at the NE corner of said NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$; thence N. 89°57' W. along the Section line 450 feet; thence S. 500 feet; thence S. 89°57' E. 390 feet; thence N. 480 feet; thence E. 60 feet; thence N. 20 feet to the point of beginning, EXCEPT the E. 25 feet of the N. 20 feet for a County road.TRACT B:

Township 3 South, Range 34 E.B.M., Bingham County, Idaho

Section 28: A portion of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ described as:Beginning at the NE corner of said NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$; thence N. 89°57' W. along the Section line 450 feet; thence S. 500 feet; thence S. 89°57' E. 390 feet; thence N. 480 feet; thence E. 60 feet; thence N. 20 feet to the point of beginning, EXCEPT the E. 25 feet of the N. 20 feet for a County road.

TOGETHER WITH all and singular the tenements, hereditaments, and appurtenances thereunto belonging or in anywise appertaining, including all water, water rights, ditch and ditch rights. Together with water right #2702120

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said premises, with their appurtenances unto the said Grantee and to the Grantee's heirs and assigns forever. And the said Grantor does hereby covenant to and with the said Grantee, that the Grantor is the owner in fee simple of said premises; that said premises are free from all encumbrances except current years taxes, levies, and assessments, and except U. S. Patent reservations, restrictions, easements of record, and easements visible upon the premises, and that Grantor will warrant and defend the same from all claims whatsoever.


Dated: April 7, 1997

Sheldon Bernard Winer
SHELDON BERNARD WINER*Evelyn Faye Winer*
EVELYN FAYE WINERSTATE OF IDAHO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF BINGHAM)

On this 7th day of APRIL, 1997, before me the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for the said county and state, personally appeared SHELDON BERNARD WINER AND EVELYN FAYE WINER, known or proved to me to be the persons who executed the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that they executed the same.

Sheldon Peterson
Notary Public for Idaho
Residing at: BLACKFOOT IDAHO
My Commission Expires: 4-11-2000

Thompson
Brothers
493 S 1200 W
Pinegre



NWNE
deed 468157
Chappell

A27-02120B

NENE
Chappell


28

Chappell
SWNE

Chappell
SENE

Deed
478632

Pivot Swing
Ladd
Carter
some of 4+5



out

out

A27-02120A

34.5

40.0

32.2

32.5

Attachment 1

Notes

8/26/03. The 40 acres in the NE NE of Section 28 doesn't look irrigated. Is the land in CRP?

Diversion rate needs to change to 0.80 cfs.

$$40 \text{ acres} * 0.02 \text{ cfs/acre} = 0.80 \text{ cfs}$$

Volume $40 \text{ acres} * 3.5 \text{ A-F/acre} = 140 \text{ A-F}$

Is this land in CRP?

11-6-2003. field exam. see info below.

1/4/05 Faxed information to Kent Wilson, Mr. Carter is filing a transfer to change the POD for the 5 acres in the SE NE of Section 28.

[illegible]

Current Owner.

Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____

Current Owner.

Current Owner.

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: 11-6-2003 Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

Reviewer:

Date:

Contacts Data Entry Form

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A-27-02120B

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis: _____

☒ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

License

Reviewer: CBDate: 8/26/03

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim No. 27-2120B

Priority Date

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source?
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
Yes ☐ No ☒
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree?
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
Yes ☐ No ☐
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
Yes ☐ No ☐
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
Yes ☒ No ☐
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
Yes ☒ No ☐
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date?
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
Yes ☒ No ☐
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date?
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
Yes ☐ No ☐
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
Yes ☐ No ☐
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☐
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report?
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
Yes ☐ No ☐
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date?
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted?
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
Yes ☐ No ☐
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right?
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
Yes ☐ No ☐
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly?
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.
Yes ☐ No ☒
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-02120B

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:____

Source:____ Tributary:____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:____

Source:____ Tributary:____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:____

Source:____ Tributary:____

☒ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						

Other rights from this POD? 27-2120A

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

LicenseTransfers Changing POD noReviewer: CBDate: 8/24/03

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27-21208

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete
If No, POD review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Point of Diversion

Source

Claim No. 27 - 2120B

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11

If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.

If No, Source review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Source

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-021203☒ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

LicenseTransfers Changing Purpose of Use noReviewer: CB

Date:

8/26/03

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 27 - 2120B

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13
4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11
7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8
8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12
9. Was an enlargement claim filed? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
12. Did the claimant file a transfer? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required? Yes (☐) No (☒)
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.

Purpose of Use

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-02120B☒ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
3S	34E	28	NENE		Bingham		36.1	Irrigation
			NWNE				34.7	Irrigation
			SWNE				28.9	Irrigation.
			SENE		Bingham		32.8	Irrigation.

Total Acres 132.50

Document how the POU was verified:

license
27-2120A was split into 27-11903 & 11904,
then putting 27-11904 back with 27-2120B.

Transfers Changing POU _____

Reviewer: CBDate: 8/26/03

Place of Use

Claim No. 27 - 21208

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 2a

If No, go to 2b

2a. Is a POU required?

Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 14

2b. Is a POU required?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 14

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 7

4. Has the License been issued?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 6

If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 6

If No, go to 7

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14

If No, go to 7

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 13

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13

If No, go to 9

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10

If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 13

If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 13

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

12. Was a transfer filed?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 14

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

Yes (☐) No (☒)

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/ or condition. POU review is complete.

If No, POU review is complete.

Place of Use

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-02120B

☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/1	10/31	2.65 ~~0.80~~	140

Overall Diversion Rate 2.65
~~0.80~~

Overall Diversion Volume ~~140~~ 464

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

license ; Administrative SOU 4/1 - 10/31
Volume Calc. $132.5 \times 40 \text{ acres} \times 3.5 \text{ AF} = 464 \text{ AF}$
AC

1/20/06 More acres because I combined 27-11904 and 27-2120B. I need to recalculate need know the number of acres under this right. CB

Reviewer: CB

Date: 8/24/03

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (X) No ()

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 14

If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 12

Yes () No (X)

7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 9

If No, go to 8

Yes () No ()

8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12

If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 12

If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes () No ()

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 12

If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

11. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, go to 14

If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 13

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13

Yes (X) No ()

13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined?

If Yes, go to 14

If No, go to 15

Yes () No (X)

14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?

If Yes, go to 16

If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?

If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18

If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18

Yes (X) No ()

16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards?

If Yes, go to 17

If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use?

If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18

If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Period of Use

Quantity

Claim No. 27 - 2120B

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11
4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7
6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7
7. Is a flow measurement required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9
outside CGWA
Measured under 27-2120B
8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9
9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11
10. Is irrigation a purpose of use? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12
12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.

Quantity

Claim No. 27-20208

Summary

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2

If No, go to 3

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4

If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☒)

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8

If No, go to 8

Yes (☒) No (☐)

8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Summary

Claim No.: 27-2120B

Summary Data Entry Form


Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18

- F05 Exp. Remark 27-~~2120B~~¹¹⁹⁰³ is also diverted thru the same P.O.

~~F05~~ F15 Exp. Remark 27-2120B and 27-11904

Split or Combined Right Analysis:



Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

yes.

Claim No.:

27-2120B

Reviewer

CB

Date

8/26/03

of acres ?
~~the~~ in each
QQQ ?

NW NE

NENE

SWNE.

3d.

SWE NE

Doc 452865
Tract A Except
4.5 ac

NWNE

0

Overall
131.2 ac

NENE

0

Doc 452654 □
NENE without excep
34.9 ac

Doc 478632
Parcel I
59.2 ac

SENE

4

SWNE

5

4

5

5

5

4

7



15 West Center Street
Soda Springs, Idaho 83276
(208) 547-3993
(208) 547-3939 fax

Idaho Department of Water Resources

Fax

To: Keith Wilson From: Cindy Bird
Fax: 525-7177 Pages: 8
Phone: 525-7161 Date: 4/4/05
Re: Ladd Carter CC:

☐ Urgent ☒ For Review ☐ Please Comment ☐ Please Reply ☐ Please Recycle

• Comments:

27-11903, 11904 & 2120B.

Notes

Claims A27-02120B, A27-11904 + A27-11903

1/ Exam done 11-6-2003 with Ladd Carter

2/ All the ground shown in SPatial Dynamics map outline is owned now by Brent Chappell.

This incorporates all 3 claims above.

It is my opinion that the three claims should be consolidated into one claim as A27-02120B. or A27-02120.

Ladd Carter stated that Thompson Brothers do own the NW corner of the NENE Sec 28 T3S R34E.

3/ The area not farmed on the original license is the 19 acres in the N¹/₂ SE¹/₄ Sec. 28.

4/ Bingham County Assessor confirms that Chappell owns all of NENE Sec 28 T3S R34E.

5/ Whatever total acres in shape should then receive 0.02cfs/acre. Attachment #1 implies 139.2 acres. (2.784cfs)

6/ GPS data 0624072 File # 2120B
0227587 Tag # A0012488

7/ Well + pump to 75hp motor + sprinklers (Pilot on-site)

8/ Photos - 2 total

9/ Land - "out" - on N¹/₂ SE¹/₄ on S. edge of Pilot owned by Frank Prescott (Statement by Mrs. Carter).

A27-02120B

GPS
tag



A27-02120B



Pump tag - 7.5 Hp

Attachment #1

Thompson Bx3

5.5

28

34.5

NWNE
chappell

chappell
NENE

40.0

27-2020B

chappell

32.2

7.8

chappell
SENE

32.5

6.5
center

←
Hompson
Brothers
493 S 1200 W
Pineau

NWNE
deed 468157
Chappell

Chappell
SWNE

Deed
478632

Chappell
SENE

NE NE
Chappell

28

A27-02120B


→ Ladd
Carter
some of 4+5


K. Priest

A27-02120A

Water Right Claim Number 27-02120B
Township , 3S34E

12/22/2000





INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Skip

SUBJECT: 27-2120B
POU / Diversification rate

FROM: Cindy

DATE: 9/29/03

This was licensed at 0.02 per acre, but filed at
a higher rate?

Is this land in CRP?

* 27-2120 is all back
under our ownership - Chappell

State of Idaho
License and Certificate of Water Right

Water License No. G-29136 Amount 3.56 c.f.s.
Water District No. _____ Priority Nov. 11, 1960

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that EVERT H. & RALPH D. PRESCOTT
of Blackfoot, Idaho, made application for a permit to appropriate the
public waters of the State of Idaho, dated November 11, 1960; that Permit No. G-29136
was issued under said application; that Certificate of Completion of works, with a carrying capacity of
3.56 second feet, was issued thereunder on August 11, 1963, showing that said works
were completed on the 25th day of June, 1963; and that on the 25th
day of June, 1963

EVERT H. & RALPH D. PRESCOTT
of Blackfoot, State of Idaho, made proof to the satisfaction of the
State Reclamation Engineer of Idaho, of the right to use the waters of a well
a tributary of subterranean flow for the purpose of irrigation & stock
water, under Use Permit No. G-29136 of the Department of Reclamation
and that said right to the use of said waters has been perfected in accordance with the laws of Idaho,
and is hereby confirmed by the State Reclamation Engineer of Idaho and entered of record in Volume
12 of Licenses at page 7659, on the 11 day of August, 1963.
The right hereby confirmed dates from November 11, 1960;

The Point of Diversion is located
in the NE 1/4 NE 1/4, Sec. 28, Tp. 3 S. R. 34 E., B. M. Bingham County
That the amount of water to which such right is entitled and hereby confirmed, for the purposes
aforesaid, is limited to an amount actually needed and beneficially used for said purposes, and shall
not exceed 3.56 cubic feet per second.

Description and location of use:

Twp.	Range	Sec.	Forty-acre Tract	No. Acres Described in Permit	No. Acres Actually Irrigated
3 S.	34 E	28	NE 1/4 NE 1/4	40	40
			NW 1/4 NE 1/4	40	40
			SW 1/4 NE 1/4	40	40
			SE 1/4 NE 1/4	40	39
			NE 1/4 SE 1/4	10	10
			NW 1/4 SE 1/4	8	9
			Total number of acres to be irrigated:		178

The right to the use of the water aforesaid hereby confirmed is restricted to the lands or place
of use herein described, as provided by the laws of Idaho.

WITNESS the seal and signature of the State Reclamation Engineer, affixed at Boise, Idaho, this
11th day of August, 1963.

(SEAL) RALPH W. THOMAS
Deputy State Reclamation Engineer.

