

Notes

9/13/04 create the layout with the shape you created. update PPLS.

2:30pm. emailed Skip to see if he recalls anything about the POD. GPS Pt puts the POD in the SENE of section 33, NOT the NESE of section 33.

Claimants have not responded to our request. CB

9-14-04 Skip sent CB new GPS points. BT

9/15/04 Skip's GPS point puts the POD in the NESE of Sec. 33, T2S, R36E.

9/16/04 ready for ECUR. Recommend as noted. CB. Update PPLS.

9/16/04 emailed Jennifer Crabtree to have her print out the court page. CB

Bird, Cindy

From: Grimm, Angie
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2004 3:41 PM
To: Bird, Cindy
Subject: RE: Well log

check GPS PT. CB

Cindy,

I looked for a well log in NWSW section 34, T2S, R36E drilled in 1945, but not suprisingly I had no luck. Being that we didn't require/had no way of keeping track of wells drilled prior to 1986 finding a well log for a well drilled prior to 1986 is unlikely, especially considering the well was drilled in 1945. I found only 1 well drilled in the SW quarter, but it was drilled in 1973.

I also looked for a well log meeting your description in the NESE of section 33, T2S, R36E, again with no luck. I found zero well logs for the NESE and 6 logs for wells in the SE quarter. None of these logs had the name Ramsey or Dunes Cattle Co. One log did have an owner by the name of T&R Cattle Co., but it is located in the SESE according to the well log. Is it possible the cattle company changed names? If so, it is quite possible the driller made an error in location on the log and this is one of the well logs you are looking for.

Other than that I don't know much else to tell you to help. Do you happen to know who drilled the well(s) in question? My co-worker informed me there's a way to look up old logs (prior to 1991) by driller. We may have some luck with that. Sorry I wasn't able to find anything for you. Write me back if you find out any more info and need some more help.

Thanks,

Angie

-----Original Message-----

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2004 2:55 PM
To: Grimm, Angie
Subject: RE: Well log

Angie,

No, I was waiting for you. The owner is Dunes Cattle Company. Owner Blaine Ramsey. The well was drilled around 1945 and should be located in NWSW section 34, T2S, R36E. They claimed the NESE of section 33, T2S, R36E. When was the claimed POD drilled? Was there a 1945 well drilled in this location?

What I have to determine is did they move the POD prior to 1987? But according to the claimants they have never moved the well but the license has one POD and the SRBA claim has another.

thank you for your help,
Cindy

-----Original Message-----

From: Grimm, Angie
Sent: Monday, July 26, 2004 8:09 AM
To: Bird, Cindy
Subject: RE: Well log

Cindy,

Sorry this reply is so late getting to you. I was in Idaho Falls the first part of last week, then out of the office Thursday and Friday. You may have had someone else look up a log for you by now, but if not, let me know and I can try.

Angie

-----Original Message-----

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2004 10:52 AM
To: Grimm, Angie
Subject: Well log

Angie,
Can you look for a well log for me?

Cindy

Notes

7/30/01 10am I called Brad back because the
POD is different. He said he has been there
since about 10-11 yrs and that is where the
POD has been, I explained it wasn't licensed
there, so I need to know when it changed.
Brad is going to get a hold of Blaine and have
him get back to me. CB.

10:41pm put the pivot in 1994/1995.
Blaine said they have 65.1 acres irrigated.
And the well has not been changed?
Blaine had knee surgery. He will try to
get me here in a couple weeks.

8-15-03 Letter re Claimed POD BP

8/27/03 Blaine - owner of Dunes Cattle Co. Inc.
11:30am. Called because he didn't know what they
needed to do about the letter I sent.
I explained that the licensed POD was 105 acres
in specific OQ's, and the claimed POD is
only 66 acres.

Blaine said that in 1976 they adjusted
the acres because they are using
42 acres for a feed lot.

Notes

A27-02098

1/ Exam done 11-14-2003 with Blaine Rainey
(owner)

2/ GPS data 0643163 File 2098
0234741 GPS tag A0012492

An existing GPS tag # A0007157 found on a
power pole 60 feet SE of well.

3/ See "add in" portions on P.O.U. map

4/ Reduce cfs to 0.02 cfs / acre

6/30/04 The remainder of this right has
been abandoned / forfeited because of
non-use from 1976 to 2004. Acres were
taken out of production for a feed lot.
Amended claim to add acres irrigated
in SWSW of Section 34.

6-30-04 Letter to Clowmont re amended claim BP

7-19-04 Hired man called, Blaine just had
Knee Surgery will try to get papers signed
and back to us as soon as possible. PB

7/20/04 Brad - Dunes Cattle Co. They irrigate all the
acres within the yellow boundary, but it should be
65 acres. The acres within the yellow boundary is 60.2 acres.
He will sign it and get it sent in.

Contacts Data Entry Form

208 + 785.2694

[illegible]

Current Owner Dunes Cattle Company, Inc, PO Box 98, Blackfoot, ID 83221

Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____

Current Owner C/O Blaine Kamey

Current Owner _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: Blaine Ramey Phone Number: 785-2694

Relationship to Claimant: OWNER

Date of Field Exam: 11-14-2003 Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

Reviewer: UB

Date: 1/20/04

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A77-02098

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis: _____

☒ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

license

Reviewer: CBDate: 8/15/03

Priority Date

Claim No. _____ - _____

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes ☒ No ☒
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. *license*
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-02098

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

☐ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
2S	36E	33		SENESE	Bingham	Groundwater
GPS Coordinates: 0643163				0234741		
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						

file 2098

Other rights from this POD? _____

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

on site
GPS tag A0012492

Transfers Changing POD _____

Reviewer: CBDate: 7/20/04

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27-2098

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes ☒ No ☐

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes ☐ No ☐

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes ☐ No ☐

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes ☒ No ☐

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10
If No, go to 6

Yes ☐ No ☒

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 9

Yes ☒ No ☐

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes ☒ No ☐

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes ☐ No ☐

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes ☒ No ☒

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete
If No, POD review is complete.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Source

Claim No. 27 - 2048

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11
4. Does the parent right have a Source? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7
6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7
7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10
8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9
9. Did the claimant file a transfer? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10
10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-02098 Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other
<u>Irrigation</u>			<u>*</u>	

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified: * calculate adjusted shape file

field exam
license

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use NOReviewer: CBDate: 9/16/04

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 27 - 2098

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-02098☐ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres 60

Document how the POU was verified:

field exam.
license
1987 NAPP Photography.

Update PPLS.Transfers Changing POU NOReviewer: UBDate: 9/16/04

Place of Use

Claim No. 27-2098

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 2a

If No, go to 2b

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2a. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 14

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2b. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 6

If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

If Yes, go to 6

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☒)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 13

Yes (☒) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10

If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☒)

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 13

If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 13

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☒)

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

If Yes, go to 14

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

Yes (☒) No (☐)

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/ or condition. POU review is complete.

If No, POU review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-02098☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/1	10/31	1.20	240

Overall Diversion Rate 1.20 Overall Diversion Volume 240

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

field exam
license
IDWR standards (4/1 - 10/31)

Reviewer: CBDate: 9/16/14

Period of Use

Claim No. 27-2098

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes ☒ No ☐
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☒
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☒
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
Yes ☐ No ☐
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
Yes ☐ No ☐
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Was a transfer filed?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☐
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
Yes ☒ No ☐
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
Yes ☐ No ☒
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
Yes ☐ No ☐
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
Yes ☒ No ☐
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards?
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
Yes ☐ No ☐
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use?
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
Yes ☐ No ☐
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☒

Quantity

Claim No. 27 - 2098.

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9

If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 11

Yes (☒) No (☐)

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes (☒) No (☐)

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.

If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Summary

Claim No. 27 - 2098

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2

If No, go to 3

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4

If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes (☒) No (☐)

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8

If No, go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☒)

8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18 Gen Cond.

C09 Exp Cond

The remainder of this right has been
PO4 P19. Gen Cond Remark 1974 to 2004

C03 Exp Cond.

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: H. Jones

SUBJECT: Change in PO4

FROM: Cindy

DATE: 8-27-03

Skip, please visit with these claimants to
determine the number of irrigated acres.
Also, GPS the pod so we can correct or
update the licensed POP Because it's right
on the line.

() For your information

☒ Response requiredCindy
signature

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents

yes

Claim No.:

27-2098

Reviewer

CB

Date

9/13/04

Bird, Cindy

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Monday, September 13, 2004 2:30 PM
To: Harold Jones (E-mail)
Subject: Dunes Cattle Co.

hi Skip,
I'm working on Dunes Cattle Co. (27-2098) you met with them Nov. 14, 2003. The GPS point that you took for the POD seems like it is way out in left field. Is the POD in the SENE of section 33? The well was licensed in the NWSW of section 34, T2S, R36E. The adjudication claim filed the pod as NESE of section 33, T2S, R36E. The owner indicated that the claimed pod was incorrect. But the file doesn't say where the pod is, and the GPS point doesn't look correct.

Do you have any further information?

thanks,
Cindy



27-2098.jpg