

Bird, Cindy

287-4810.

From: McHugh, Candice
Sent: Wednesday, December 01, 2004 12:00 PM
To: Bird, Cindy; Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: RE: 29-30,31, 2379

Thank you Cindy. I'm thinking we need to correct his priority date to 8/7/1932. I will make a note of that on the record at our next status conference and then we will change it on an SF5 when the thing settles. If settlement doesn't seem likely, we will have to do an Am. Director's Report.

As for the typo, I will write a letter to the court to correct the Range and let you review it before I send it .

I will contact Carl about what he wants to do about more acres in section 19. He needs to file a motion to set aside the partial decree to do that.

Candice

-----Original Message-----

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Wednesday, December 01, 2004 11:45 AM
To: Carlsen, Ernie
Cc: McHugh, Candice
Subject: RE: 29-30,31, 2379

The rationale on recommending the 8/8/1932 was just an error on my part. I was thinking the decree date was 8/7 not 8/6 and 8/7 was the extended SOU date not the decree date.

Carl is correct the area in section 24 are in T7S, R38E. They are in the NENE AND SENE of section 24. It's a little tip that crosses the range line.

As far as the additional acres he wants in section 19, that is also news to me.
Cindy

-----Original Message-----

From: Carlsen, Ernie
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 3:16 PM
To: Bird, Cindy
Cc: McHugh, Candice
Subject: FW: 29-30,31, 2379

Cindy since the claim files identified below are not at Eastern I hope you have them at Soda Springs. If you will provide me with the answers to Candice's questions I will complete the data entry.

Thanks
Ernie

-----Original Message-----

From: McHugh, Candice
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 1:47 PM
To: Bird, Cindy
Cc: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: 29-30,31, 2379

I spoke with Mr. Phillips. It seems there's a typo in the POU for 29-2379, should be Range 38E Section 24 NOT Range 39E Section 24. Since this water right has been partially decreed, I need to write a letter to the court with a corrected recommendation. I want to first confirm that there's a typo. Second, Mr. Phillips wants more acres in section 19. This issue is unclear to me. He's sending some information that Keith Wilson has apparently sent to him. This would not be a typo and would require Mr. Phillips to file a motion to set aside the partial decree in order to add more acres.


Also, Ernie, Mr. Phillips claimed his decreed priority dates (1889, 1887?) for water right nos. 29-13320, 13321. I



STATE OF IDAHO

Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region

Water Right 29-2379



800 0 800 1600 2400 Feet

LEGEND

- Point of Diversion
- Place of Use
- STREETS
- SECTIONS
- QUATER-QUATERS
- STREAMS

Place of Use: T7S, R39E
(part of) Sections 17, 18, 19, 20 and 24.

Points of Diversion: T7S, R39E
Sec. 17 SENWSW

out Dean Smiths
per Preston Phelps
6/13/03.



GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/88 NAPP PHOTOGRAPHY
Prepared by C. Bird
Date: July 2002