

☐ PPD? ☐ PD? ☐ Adm? ☐ Prob? ☐ IN THE DISTRICT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN
AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA

Case No. 39576

NCAF

NOTICE of CLAIM for Water
Right number:

7415455 (IDWR number)

NAME and
ADDRESS

United States of America acting through
Bureau of Land Management
Idaho State Office
1387 South Vinnell Way
Boise, ID 83709 - 1657

Other Right and date:

BLMIDENT: 7404682142 Stg: J StFed: X

WATER FEDERALLY
RESERVED, SEE
REMARKS 4/17/1926

SOURCE:

Source Tributary
SPRING KENNEY CREEK

QUANTITY:

0.02 CFS or VOLUME: Acre Feet

The quantity of water under this right for stockwater shall not exceed 13,000 gallons per day.

PRIORITY DATE:

06/28/1934 Diversion Means: UNDEVELOPED SPRING

REMARKS:

Also reserved pursuant to Federal law

This Water Right is claimed based on Federal Law with an April 17, 1926 date of priority pursuant to an executive order signed that same date and known as Public Water Reserve 107. Associated with water right number 74-01416. Source locally known as Kenney Spring.

POINT of
DIVERSION:

Twtnship	Range	Section	T1	T2	T3	LOT	B/E	within the	County of
20N	24E	22	NW	SW		3		59	LEMHI

PURPOSE
and
PERIOD
OF USE:

Purpose of Use	Period of Use (from - to):	Rate in CFS	or	Volume in AcFt
04	0101 1231	0.02		

CONSUMPTIVE
USE:

de minimis stockwater

DATE: 24-Aug-04

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION or ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

The quantity of water decreed for this water right for stockwater use is not a determination of historical beneficial use.

PLACE
of USE:

Water Use	Twtn	Rge	Sec	T1	T2	LOT	within the	County of
04	20N	24E	22	NW	SW	3	59	LEMHI

RECEIVED

SEP - 3 2004

STATUS OF PUBLIC DOMAIN
LAND AND MINERAL TITLES

LEMMI COUNTY 059
KALAMAZOO DISTRICT SEC 3

22

INDEX TO SEGREGATED TRACTS		ORIGINAL SURVEY		SUBMISSION	
TRACT NO	T	SEC	1	2	3
1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	1	1	1	1
3	1	1	1	1	1
4	1	1	1	1	1
5	1	1	1	1	1
6	1	1	1	1	1
7	1	1	1	1	1
8	1	1	1	1	1
9	1	1	1	1	1
10	1	1	1	1	1
11	1	1	1	1	1
12	1	1	1	1	1
13	1	1	1	1	1
14	1	1	1	1	1
15	1	1	1	1	1
16	1	1	1	1	1
17	1	1	1	1	1
18	1	1	1	1	1
19	1	1	1	1	1
20	1	1	1	1	1
21	1	1	1	1	1
22	1	1	1	1	1
23	1	1	1	1	1
24	1	1	1	1	1
25	1	1	1	1	1
26	1	1	1	1	1
27	1	1	1	1	1
28	1	1	1	1	1
29	1	1	1	1	1
30	1	1	1	1	1
31	1	1	1	1	1
32	1	1	1	1	1
33	1	1	1	1	1
34	1	1	1	1	1
35	1	1	1	1	1
36	1	1	1	1	1
37	1	1	1	1	1
38	1	1	1	1	1
39	1	1	1	1	1
40	1	1	1	1	1
41	1	1	1	1	1
42	1	1	1	1	1
43	1	1	1	1	1
44	1	1	1	1	1
45	1	1	1	1	1
46	1	1	1	1	1
47	1	1	1	1	1
48	1	1	1	1	1
49	1	1	1	1	1
50	1	1	1	1	1
51	1	1	1	1	1
52	1	1	1	1	1
53	1	1	1	1	1
54	1	1	1	1	1
55	1	1	1	1	1
56	1	1	1	1	1
57	1	1	1	1	1
58	1	1	1	1	1
59	1	1	1	1	1
60	1	1	1	1	1
61	1	1	1	1	1
62	1	1	1	1	1
63	1	1	1	1	1
64	1	1	1	1	1
65	1	1	1	1	1
66	1	1	1	1	1
67	1	1	1	1	1
68	1	1	1	1	1
69	1	1	1	1	1
70	1	1	1	1	1
71	1	1	1	1	1
72	1	1	1	1	1
73	1	1	1	1	1
74	1	1	1	1	1
75	1	1	1	1	1
76	1	1	1	1	1
77	1	1	1	1	1
78	1	1	1	1	1
79	1	1	1	1	1
80	1	1	1	1	1

SASH AT 160M DEPTURE IN 11V 0941/C/E DATA=07
E-SEA/CT/L B-WG 16 1811 161 76 103 26-5-1

[illegible][illegible]

15611271 3603 10 104 151 76 183 9185 1

Completed - 11/1/88, all 66 surface spots by RW

χ^2 distribution: $\chi^2 = 10.4$, $df = 1$, $p = 0.001$ (Table 1). The χ^2 test was also used to compare the proportion of patients with a positive result for *Salmonella* in the stool sample with the proportion of patients with a positive result for *Salmonella* in the blood sample. The χ^2 test was also used to compare the proportion of patients with a positive result for *Salmonella* in the stool sample with the proportion of patients with a positive result for *Salmonella* in the blood sample.

1. *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 12228) was grown in tryptic soy broth (TSB) (Difco) supplemented with 0.5% yeast extract (Difco) and 0.5% glucose (Difco) at 37°C. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5000g for 10 min and washed with distilled water. The cells were then resuspended in distilled water and the suspension was adjusted to a concentration of 1×10^8 cells/ml. The cells were then washed with distilled water and the suspension was adjusted to a concentration of 1×10^8 cells/ml. The cells were then washed with distilled water and the suspension was adjusted to a concentration of 1×10^8 cells/ml.

[illegible][illegible]

A **B** **C** **D** **E** **F** **G** **H** **I** **J** **K** **L** **M** **N** **O** **P** **Q** **R** **S** **T** **U** **V** **W** **X** **Y** **Z**

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2019.04.10.334000>; this version posted April 10, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

1. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1998; 279: 1033-1037.

1. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1997; 277: 1001-1005.

[illegible]

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2019.05.15.254000>; this version posted May 15, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

[illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible]

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/000000>; this version posted May 1, 2015. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Abstract The purpose of this study was to determine whether the use of a computerized decision support system (DSS) would improve the performance of nurses in making decisions about patient care. A total of 100 nurses participated in the study. They were divided into two groups: one group used the DSS and the other group did not. The results showed that the nurses who used the DSS made more accurate decisions than those who did not. The DSS also helped to reduce the time it took to make a decision. These findings suggest that the use of a DSS can improve the performance of nurses in making decisions about patient care.

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

THE COMBINE EFFECTING THROUGH OR USE OF

ON ORDERING EDITIONS OF THE DOCUMENTS

UNIDENTIFIED LANDS REFER TO INDEX OF

MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS

Table 1. *Summary of the study*

DATE	CURRENT TO	CURRENT TO
1962-1963	1962-1963	1962-1963
1963-1964	1963-1964	1963-1964
1964-1965	1964-1965	1964-1965
1965-1966	1965-1966	1965-1966
1966-1967	1966-1967	1966-1967
1967-1968	1967-1968	1967-1968
1968-1969	1968-1969	1968-1969
1969-1970	1969-1970	1969-1970
1970-1971	1970-1971	1970-1971
1971-1972	1971-1972	1971-1972
1972-1973	1972-1973	1972-1973
1973-1974	1973-1974	1973-1974
1974-1975	1974-1975	1974-1975
1975-1976	1975-1976	1975-1976
1976-1977	1976-1977	1976-1977
1977-1978	1977-1978	1977-1978
1978-1979	1978-1979	1978-1979
1979-1980	1979-1980	1979-1980
1980-1981	1980-1981	1980-1981
1981-1982	1981-1982	1981-1982
1982-1983	1982-1983	1982-1983
1983-1984	1983-1984	1983-1984
1984-1985	1984-1985	1984-1985
1985-1986	1985-1986	1985-1986
1986-1987	1986-1987	1986-1987
1987-1988	1987-1988	1987-1988
1988-1989	1988-1989	1988-1989
1989-1990	1989-1990	1989-1990
1990-1991	1990-1991	1990-1991
1991-1992	1991-1992	1991-1992
1992-1993	1992-1993	1992-1993
1993-1994	1993-1994	1993-1994
1994-1995	1994-1995	1994-1995
1995-1996	1995-1996	1995-1996
1996-1997	1996-1997	1996-1997
1997-1998	1997-1998	1997-1998
1998-1999	1998-1999	1998-1999
1999-2000	1999-2000	1999-2000
2000-2001	2000-2001	2000-2001
2001-2002	2001-2002	2001-2002
2002-2003	2002-2003	2002-2003
2003-2004	2003-2004	2003-2004
2004-2005	2004-2005	2004-2005
2005-2006	2005-2006	2005-2006
2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007
2007-2008	2007-2008	2007-2008
2008-2009	2008-2009	2008-2009
2009-2010	2009-2010	2009-2010
2010-2011	2010-2011	2010-2011
2011-2012	2011-2012	2011-2012
2012-2013	2012-2013	2012-2013
2013-2014	2013-2014	2013-2014
2014-2015	2014-2015	2014-2015
2015-2016	2015-2016	2015-2016
2016-2017	2016-2017	2016-2017
2017-2018	2017-2018	2017-2018
2018-2019	2018-2019	2018-2019
2019-2020	2019-2020	2019-2020
2020-2021	2020-2021	2020-2021
2021-2022	2021-2022	2021-2022
2022-2023	2022-2023	2022-2023
2023-2024	2023-2024	2023-2024
2024-2025	2024-2025	2024-2025
2025-2026	2025-2026	2025-2026
2026-2027	2026-2027	2026-2027
2027-2028	2027-2028	2027-2028
2028-2029	2028-2029	2028-2029
2029-2030	2029-2030	2029-2030
2030-2031	2030-2031	2030-2031
2031-2032	2031-2032	2031-2032
2032-2033	2032-2033	2032-2033
2033-2034	2033-2034	2033-2034
2034-2035	2034-2035	2034-2035
2035-2036	2035-2036	2035-2036
2036-2037	2036-2037	2036-2037
2037-2038	2037-2038	2037-2038
2038-2039	2038-2039	2038-2039
2039-2040	2039-2040	2039-2040

Figure 1

A schematic diagram illustrating the experimental setup for measuring the time delay between the emission of a photon from a source and its detection at a detector. The setup includes a source (S), a beam splitter (BS), a mirror (M), and a detector (D). The path length difference between the two arms of the interferometer is denoted by L . The time delay Δt is measured as the difference in arrival times of the photons at the detector.

PLAYS

WALS REPRESENT THE LAST

THE EFFECTIVE DATE, SEE
DATE OF THE ORIGINAL WITH-

0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z

THE NOTATION SHOWN ON WITHDRAWALS REPRESENT THE LAST ACTION TAKEN BUT MAY NOT BE THE EFFECTIVE DATE. SEE THE DATE OF THE ORIGINAL WITHDRAWAL FOR THE EFFECTIVE DATE.

WARNING STATEMENT

— 4 —

T20N R24E

16

15

2 41.49

3 41.39

4 42.51

SG 7/3/1890

1 43.08

2 43.72

21

3 44.36

4 45.00

1 44.99

2 44.33

28

3 43.67

4 43.01

1 42.47

22


BLM # 8090

IDWR # A74-01416

27

2

T20N



1:24,000

7/8/2004

WATER RIGHT CLAIM VERIFICATION

STATE OF IDAHO)
) ss:
COUNTY OF ADA)

CLAIMANT VERIFICATION:Water Right Number: 74-15455

(a.) By signing below, I acknowledge that I have received, read, and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I do do not X wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

For Organizations:

I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am Gary A. Madenford, Water Rights Team Leader
of United States of America, acting through U.S.D.I., BLM, that I have signed the
foregoing documents in the space below as Water Right Team Leader of
United States of America, acting through U.S.D.I., BLM and that the statements
contained in the foregoing documents are true and correct.


Signature of Authorized Agent Gary A. Macken/for/
for the United States of America, acting through U.S.D.I., BLM

NOTARY:

Subscribed and sworn to before me on this 2nd day of September, 2004.

Casey R. O'Brien

Seal



Notary Public in and for: State of Idaho
Residing at: Nampa, Idaho
My Commission Expires: October 31, 2009