

Notes

6/29/05 additional GPS points on trail cut
in file 6-20-05 waypoints.shp.

6-30-05 started making changes the pod mentioned
above is in Project 27-85A & labeled 27-85a pod 061705.shp

6-30-05 Called Randy P re NOE return 427-6388,1
Left message w/ wife. BP

6-30-05 Called Jennifer to have her link the
POD on this claim. PB

6-30-Resent POD, PO4 & ypc. BP

ADD. SWSE POD & SESE POD. for irrigation.

7-15-05 sent copy of NOE to IF. BP

7-25-05 Forest amended claim to IF. BP

27-85A

POD

SE NW

3.2

SW SE

1.9

NW SE

14.7

NE SW

17.0

SE SE

8.4

SW SE

6.4

NW SE

6.2

57.8

57.8

POD

NW SW SE

SW SE SE

Randy Panter
Trail Creek Diversion
6-17-05



10:32 am photo 1306. Ditch coming from Trail Creek.



10:21 am photo 1304



10:21 am photo 1305

6/17/05 8:57 (9:57 AM)

Randy Panter

N 42° 46.012'

W 111° 27.306'

Upper Trail Ck
Diversin

2d off ditch.

This ditch comes off
the ditch not Trail
Creek.

6/17/05

TRUCK 3 - Trail Ck ditch coming out of
9:09 AM (10:09 AM) trail / Ck.

N 42° 45.944'

W 111° 27.314'

Notes

5/16/05 1964 imagery doesn't cover this area. of the area under 27-12050.

ADM SON 4/15 - 10/31

5/17/05. 27-854 was not decreed in T8S, R43E Sec. 9 or 16. The POU was decreed in T7S R43E Secs 26 & 32, and T7S R44E Sec. 3. No evidence if when or if this right was moved to T8S, R43E. License 27-2024 (claim 27-12050) was licensed to irrigate the land in section 16.

Recommending only the land found irrigated in section 32, as decreed.

Create layout, recommend as noted. fax sheets to I.F., send the POU & POD shapes to Jim To look. email Jim the layout.

CB.

5-17-05 FAXed EVER to I.F., BAD

5-17-05 emailed POU, POD & JTB to I.F., BAD

Notes

5-31-05 27-32, 27-66 & 27-63 have the same decreed
POU. The combined diversion rate is 1200 inches,
on 1200 acres. Bear lake Grazing has $320/1200 = 0.266$
and Preston Allen has $880/1200 = 0.7333$; however
in 1941, the Allen & Bear lake Grazing split up
land and water and from the documents it appears
Allen's got 27-32, 27-63 and Bear lake grazing got
27-66.

COPY

27-44, 27-70 & 27-85 have the same decreed
POU. Decreed to 669.25 acres, 1164 inches of
water from the Blackfoot River, Lanes Creek
and Trail Creek respectively.

320 acres is owned by Preston Allen.
160 acres is owned by Randy Pantar.
189.25 acres is owned by Bear lake Grazing.

Randy Pantar (Joyce Bennett) claimed 6.4
a 320 acres under 27-85A. Most of the ^{claimed} POU
is different than the original POU. They claimed
land down into T8S, R43E Secs 9 & 16.

Recommended only the land in the decreed POU in
Sec. 32, T7S R43E. (20 acres); except SESE in
Sec. 32 was not part of the original POU.

In the 1941 agreement, Allen's got 27-44 (320")
Austin kept 27-70 & 27-85 was not mentioned.

Notes

5-31-05 Cont'd So under 27-11016 a combined
night would only be 27-32, 27-63 and 27-~~44~~

Randy Pantis appears to be the only claim
on 27-85.

COPY

5/25/01 Randy Panter 427-6388

Is Mathias, Leslie or Perry Gillett a part to the Steven's decree?

Posted Notice dated 6-26-1902. Why did Joyce file on 27-85A? was part of the land filed on under the Steven's decree? 27-85?

Posted Notice Trail Ck?

Randy Panter

6/7/04.

1. license 27-2024 Frank Smith has not been filed on in the SRBA unless it is a 10,000 series number but nothing was identified under the legal.

Notes

5/17/05 abstract of title for section 9, SW
T8S, R43E, from the USA to Charles Kelsey
dated March 3, 1921.

This wouldn't be under the Stevens
decree. Oct 11, 1886 priority. It's possible
that he might be able to file a b.u.
claim in section 9?

Warranty deed 7-23-1922 from Charles Kelsey
to F.E. Smith conveys all water & w/R's
appertaining to the land.

Notes

10/4/04 Field Exam - Accompanied by Randy Panter. 427-638

Img. #1094. Looking ~~NW~~ NE'ly at POD. 10:15 Am.
This is where the ditch comes of Trail creek
just North of the fence.

GPS PT, POD to ditch File name: 85A
N 42° 43.469'
W 111° 26.724'

photo #1095 looking NE POD. POD is west of
the creek/hill.

The imagery & hydro shows Trail Ck as
what Randy referred to as a ditch. There is
a spot that could be a ditch but it wouldn't
cover the entire POD from the POD. GPS'd.
Talk to Randy Panter about that.

24/04 I had a meeting with Randy Panter.
There is an additional POD in section 16 that
Joyce claimed that could irrigate some land
to the west. Need to check in the spring if an
additional point is out there. CB.

No other claims on 27-85. The right was
deeded on Bennett's land. CB.

Notes

2/19/04 Randy Panter came into the office
He is going to talk to aunt Joyce
to see what she remembers about
this POH change. and get back to me. CB
~~He said there is an old ditch on the
property but he doesn't remember.~~

5/24/04 according to our excel spreadsheet.
This is the only claim on 27-85. The total
right is 11.2 cfs. - Preston Allen I & I

6/4/04 claimed 85 change in source is wrong w/r # CB
POH Comparison reports CB

9/29/04 added TOPO'S & Orthogonals (1974) for field
exam. County plat left Randy a message
for needing a field exam.

Notes

2/18/04 According to the Steven's decreed
POU layer. Right 27-85 was decreed
Sec. 12, T7S, R43E; S $\frac{1}{2}$ Sec. 26, T7S, R43E,
W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE Sec. 32, T7S, R43E and
N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW and N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE sec. 3, T7S, R44E.

The only part of the claimed POU that
is consistant with the decree is
the W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE of Sec. 32.

2/18
CB Ownership Randy Panter. - We need to
send Randy a letter to find out when
the POU was changed.

All three pod's are located on Trail
Creek.

- Check watermaster reports to see
if Trail Creek was regulated and
this is evidence to the delivery
of the water. Then draft a letter on
the change in POU.

2/18/04 Barbara's search of the watermaster
report does not list Bennett for 27-85.

Notes

7/10/03 - Warranty deeds don't cover the
land in section 32, T7S, R43E. in the
NW¹/₄, and E¹/₂ of the SW¹/₄. (27-85A)
and.

T8S R43E Sec. 5. NE ¹/₄ NESE. (27-2011)

Database search on 27-85 decreed at
11.20 cts. The only A-T. file claimed
is 27-85A. 6.40 cts, for Joyce Bennett.
also 27-11016 claimed 203" under
this decree (4.06 cts) total of 10.46 cts.

2/17/04. who owns SWSW of Sec. 16, T8S, R43E?
- Check 27-2011 to see if a signed ownership
change form is in the file.

Contacts Data Entry Form

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Phone
Current Owner	Randy Trina Panter.					427-6388.
Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____		14885 N. Hwy 34				
Current Owner		Thatcher, ID			83283.	

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: Randy Panter. Phone Number: 427-6388.

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: 10/4/04 Time: 9Am.

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

Ownership change.

Reviewer: CRBDate: 5/16/05

27-85A

Priority Date Data Entry FormClaim Number: A 27-85A

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis: _____

☒ **Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis**

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

see

Reviewer: CBDate: 5/16/05

Priority Date

Claim No. 27-83A

- Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
- Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 1a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete

Priority Date

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-85A

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____. County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____. County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____. County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

☐ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
7S	43E	32		SWSESE	Caribou	Trail Ck

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

Other rights from this POD? NO.

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

Link 27-85A pod-shp.

Pt. of Beginning: SESE Sec. 32 T7S R43E.

Pt of Ending: NWNW Sec. 32 T7S. R43E.

Transfers Changing POD NO.Reviewer: CBDate: 5/17/05.

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27 - 85A

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☒)

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☒)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (☒) No (☐)

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete

If No, POD review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Point of Diversion

Source

Claim No. 27 - 85A

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Source

Purpose of Use Data Entry FormClaim Number: A27-85A☒ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other
stock		200		

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use noReviewer: CBDate: 5/17/05

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 27-85A

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13
4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11
7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8
8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12
9. Was an enlargement claim filed? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
12. Did the claimant file a transfer? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.

Purpose of Use

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-85A☐ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
7S	43E	32	NWSE		Caribou		~~20~~	Irrigation. ^{1/2} stock
			SWSE		"			"
			SESE		"			"

Total Acres 20

Document how the POU was verified:

Link shape 27-85A.shp. (irrigation)Stock POU: all in Sec. 32, T7S, R43E
N¹/₂ NW, SENEW, S¹/₂ SE, NWSE, SWNETransfers Changing POU NOReviewer: CBDate: 5/17/05

Place of Use

Claim No. 27-85A

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 2a
If No, go to 2b

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2a. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 14

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2b. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☒)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 13

Yes (☒) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10
If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☒)

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☒)

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

Yes (☒) No (☐)

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/ or condition. POU review is complete.
If No, POU review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Place of Use

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-85A☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/15	7/1	0.40	
Stock	7/1	12/31	0.02	

Overall Diversion Rate 0.40

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

Steven duce

Reviewer: CBDate: 5/17/05

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? Yes ☒ No ☒
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
9. Was an enlargement claim filed? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
11. Was a transfer filed? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? Yes ☒ No ☒
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.

Period of Use

2785A

Quantity

Claim No. 27-85A

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☒)

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☒)

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Quantity

Summary

Claim No. 27-85A

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2

If No, go to 3

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4

If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes (☒) No (☐)

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8

If No, go to 8

Yes (☒) No (☐)

8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Summary

Summary Data Entry Form

Claim No.:

27-85A

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

Claim No.:

27-85A

Reviewer

CB

Date

5/17/05

EXAM INFORMATION

Monday
Exam Date 10/4/04
Exam Time 9 AM.

CLAIM(S) 27-85A , 27-11366.

NAME Randy Pantar

ADDRESS _____

PHONE (_____) 427-6388 HOME
WORK
CELL

DOMESTIC _____ STOCKWATER _____ IRRIGATION X OTHER _____

OTHER RIGHTS _____

DIRECTIONS Randy will pick me up at
the office in Soda and we will ride
out to the ranch north of Soda

DOGS _____

DATE 10/1/04 APPOINTMENT Randy Pantar

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Barbara
FROM: Cindy

SUBJECT: Chg in Pwr
DATE: 2/19/04

Please draft a letter about the change in Pwr. Also bring up the issue of no delivery records on this right. Ask for evidence to support the delivery of this water.

() For your information

X ~~For your information~~

CB
signature