

Notes

6/7/04. The Stevens decree does not decree water at an inch per acre. It is non-specific on the number of acres. So, even though they claimed more acres, I'm hummering the number of acres to the C's decrees less than an inch per acre. CB

7/8/04 Michael Cissel sent us the corrected GCDB: L-2 in Section 33 is the NWNW Not NENW. I'm not going to reamend the claim again because L-2 is claimed so it would be a clarification of L-2 from the NENW to NWNW. CB

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Barbara

SUBJECT: layout

FROM: Cindy

DATE: 6/7/04

Please create a layout. Don't amend the claim to add in stream SWSE of Section 28 of

Instream stock Pt of End is in the NENW of Section 33

Cindy,
One of these QQ is incorrect on the claim. This is the T&R where the QQ were incorrect to begin with. They are now correct since we received Michael's corrected qddb.

7-8-04 B

Notes

5/3/04 Called claimants to find out if the stock water is in-stream or diverted to a trough. Ron's wife said they divert the spring to a trough. She will have Ron call back CB.

9:04 Ron Jensen called back and he has in-stream stockwatering on both the Blackfoot & Cedar Creek.

Claims need to be amended to include in stream stock.

Diversion rate 0.02 cfs

SW - stock 4 1/2 - 11 1/2

POD listed on claims is for irrigation.

5/4/04 Amended claim, sent to claimant for signature CB.

6-1-04 Talked to Mrs. Jensen. She thought it was all taken care of the well bore her husband cased. BT

6-1-04 Claimant called. He disagreed w/ the change. I suggested he mark the map where the livestock can drink from the instream (Cedar Creek) - just the beginning & the end. He says he owns all that property. He will send the map & amended claim back to us. BT

Notes

Claim A27-00054

1/ Field exam done on 4-6-04
with claimant Ronald Jensen

2/ Flooding over the past seven years has
cut Cedar Creek so that it is substantially
below the ditch heading. No headgate
or measuring device present.

Water level 3-4 feet below ditch.

3/ GPS data - ~~2662556~~ File #27-0054
~~236348~~ No GPS tag.

2662556

USR 1336135

2/6/04

4/ Address is the same as claimed.

5/ Season of use should be subject to
original decree dates.

6/ This is the only right for this ground.

A27-00047 is for a separate property
irrigated out of the Blackfoot River.

A.27-00054



Cedar Creek ditch heading
(washed out)

A27-00054



Cedar Creek Ditch
(looking SW)

Notes

3/31/04 T2435 was denied May, 1980.
Please verify POU. and find out how
the piece west of Claimed POU is
irrigated.

The HKM interpretation of irrigated
POU is much different than the
Claimed POU.

Please verify POU and POP.
W/R 27-54 isn't overclaimed.
Irrigation SOU?
Verify address.

1, Exam done on 4-6-04 with Claimant

2, P.O. washed out during flood stage on Cedar
Creek a few years back

3, Pix show drop to creek from ditch + ditch
Running west.

4 GPS data 0662556
0236348

File # 27-0054

Contacts Data Entry Form

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Phone
Current Owner	see claim					
Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____						
Current Owner						
Current Owner						

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: Ron Jensen Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: 4-6-04 Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

This image shows a single page of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

Reviewer: CB

Date: 5/3/04

Contacts Data Entry Form

Claim Number:

27-54

Water Right Basis:

Claimed Basis:

Recommended Basis

~~X~~

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

Success

Reviewer:

CB

Date:

5/3/04

Priority Date Data Entry Form

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source?
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
Yes () No (X)
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree?
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
Yes () No ()
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
Yes () No ()
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
Yes () No ()
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
Yes (X) No ()
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date?
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
Yes (X) No ()
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date?
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
Yes (X) No ()
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
Yes () No ()
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
Yes () No ()
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
Yes () No ()
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report?
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit.
Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
Yes () No ()
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
Yes () No ()
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date?
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
Yes () No ()
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted?
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
Yes () No ()
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right?
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
Yes () No ()
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly?
decree
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.
Yes (X) No ()
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete.
If No, Priority Date review is complete.
Yes () No (X)

Priority Date

Claim No. 27 - 54

Claim Number: 27-54

Water Right Point of Diversion: T_____, R_____, Sec. _____, _____, _____, _____. County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec. _____, _____, _____, _____, County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec. _____, _____, _____, _____, County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

☒ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
					Bingham.	Cedar Creek.
GPS Coordinates: 266 2556						

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

Other rights from this POD? N/A

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

field exam.

Transfers Changing POD NO ~~NO~~ ybs. transfer denied 5/1980
Reviewer: CB Date: 6/7/84

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☒)

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☒)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (☒) No (☐)

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete
If No, POD review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27-54

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11
4. Does the parent right have a Source? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7
6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7
7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10
8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9
9. Did the claimant file a transfer? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10
10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required? Yes (☐) No (☒)
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.

Source

Claim No. 27 - 54

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-54☒ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

decree
field exam

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use _____

Reviewer: CBDate: 6/7/04

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If **Yes**, go to 4
If **No**, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If **Yes**, go to 3
If **No**, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If **Yes**, go to 5
If **No**, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?

If **Yes**, go to 5
If **No**, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?

If **Yes**, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If **No**, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?

If **Yes**, go to 7
If **No**, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If **Yes**, add condition C04, go to 11
If **No**, go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?

If **Yes**, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If **No**, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If **Yes**, go to 11
If **No**, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If **Yes**, go to 11
If **No**, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?

If **Yes**, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If **No**, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If **Yes**, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If **No**, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

If **Yes**, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If **No**, purpose of Use review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Purpose of Use

Claim No.

27-54

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-54☒ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
2S	38E	33	NWNW		Brigham	L-2	3.2	Irrigation
		33	NWNW		Brigham	L-2	-	Stock watering

Total Acres 121.2

Document how the POU was verified:

field exam

Stew's decree doesn't decree the water at an end per acre. Recommending irrigated acres even though it is more than 100 acres.

The GCDB was incorrect for L-2 in section 33, it should be NWNW NOT NE NW.

Transfers Changing POU _____

Reviewer: CBDate: 6/7/04

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

Yes (X) No ()

If Yes, go to 2a
If No, go to 2b

2a. Is a POU required?

Yes (X) No ()

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 14

2b. Is a POU required?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 14

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 7

4. Has the License been issued?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, go to 6
If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

Yes () No (X)

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 7

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14
If No, go to 7

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

Yes (X) No ()

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 13

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

Yes (X) No ()

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13
If No, go to 9

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10
If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, go to 13
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, go to 13
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

12. Was a transfer filed?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

Yes (X) No ()

If Yes, go to 14
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

Yes () No (X)

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/ or condition. POU review is complete.
If No, POU review is complete.

Place of Use

Claim No. 27 - 54.

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-54☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/1	10/31	2.0	-
Stock	4/1	10/31	0.02	

Overall Diversion Rate 2.0

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

IPWR Standards.Reviewer: CBDate: 6/7/04

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (X) No ()

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12

Yes () No (X)

7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8

Yes () No ()

8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes () No ()

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

11. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13

Yes (X) No ()

13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15

Yes () No (X)

14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?

If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?

If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18

Yes (X) No ()

16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards?

If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use?

If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.
If No, Period of Use review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Period of Use

Claim No. 27 - 54

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☒)

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☒)

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Quantity

Claim No. 27 - 54

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3

Yes (X) No ()

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes () No (X)

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes () No ()

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes () No ()

5. Does this right have any combined used limits with other rights?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (X) No ()

7. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (X) No ()

8. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 9
If No, go to 9

Yes () No (X)

9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 10
If No, go to 10

Yes () No (X)

10. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (X) No ()

Summary

Claim No.

27 - 54

Summary Data Entry Form

Claim No.: _____

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18

C05

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

no

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

Claim No.:

27-54

Reviewer



CB

Date

6/8/04


Water Right Claim Number 27-00054
Township , 2S38E

11/28/2000



400 0 400 800 Feet

Handwritten signature



5078

28

27-54 POD

BM 4977

Cedar Alridge Cr

27-47 POD

RIVER

33

FT