

Notes

5/12/04 Nov. 1993 Purchased by Natural Guardian
discreet source Wolvenine Cite.

Notes

Claims A27-50, A27-16

1/ Exam done of above claims on 4-14-04

2/ P.O.D is a metal gate (screw) with wood check boards & no measuring device to a ditch to a sump hole & pump in the SWNE Sect. 17 to Pivots & sprinklers on P.O.U.

3/ GPS data - 2662801

File # 27-16

1341290

NO GPS tag

4/ This point of diversion also takes Eastern Idaho Water Co. shares for use on property.
See rights A27-22D + A27-35D

5/ Map & photo in File A27-50

6/ See Affidavit of Harrison Cox in file about accomplished transfer of source & P.O.D.

Contacts Data Entry Form

Owner Type _____ Full Name _____ Address _____ City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Phone 528-6635

Current Owner Natural Guardian LTD Partnership

Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____

Current Owner P.O. Box 50305

Current Owner Idaho Falls, ID 83405

Representing Attorney: David Kuhn Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: 4-14-04 Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:
field exam done by Harold Jones - CB

Reviewer: CB Date: 5/12/04

Claim Number: A27-00050

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis _____

☒ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

done

Reviewer: CAB

Date: 3/12/04

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Priority Date

Claim No. 27-50

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. *decree*
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-00050

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

____ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
					Bingham	Wolverine Ck
GPS Coordinates: <u>2662801</u>					<u>1341290</u>	
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						

file name
27-16Other rights from this POD? yes, Eastern Idaho Water Co.

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

field exam

link shape

Transfers Changing POD NOReviewer: CBDate: 5/12/04

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27-50

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☒)

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete

If No, POD review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☐)

POU COMPARISON: T2S, R38E, SEC 17

Type	WR #	Owner	Source	TRS	NENE	NWNE	SWNE	SENE	NENW	NWNW	SWNW	SENW	NESW	NWSW	SWSW	SESW	NESE	NWSE	SWSE	SESE	Total
Claim	27-16	NATURAL GUARDIAN LTD PARTNERSHIP,	WOLVERINE CREEK	02S38E17	11		32	14	20			30.5	34			4.5		38	15		188
Claim	27-4L	NATURAL GUARDIAN LTD PARTNERSHIP,	WOLVERINE CREEK	02S38E17																	11
Claim	27-50	NATURAL GUARDIAN LTD PARTNERSHIP,	WOLVERINE CREEK	02S38E17			32	14	20			30.5	34			4.5		38	15		188

27-16 2.56
 27-50 1.2
 27-4L 0.57
 27-29E 2.0
 27-52 1.2
 27-53 1.2

376.5000