

Notes

5/5/04 Called claimants to find out if the stock water is in-stream or diverted to a trough. Ron's wife said They divert the spring to a trough. She will have Ron call back. CB

9:04 Ron Jensen called back and he has in-stream stockwatering on both the Blackfoot & Cedar creek.

Claims need to be amended to include in stream stock.

Diversion rate 0.02 cfs

Soil stock 4/1 - 11/1

POD listed on claims is for irrigation.

6-1-04 Told to Mrs. Jensen. She thought it was all taken care of. She will tell her husband to call. BP

6-1-04 Claimant called. He will send in the form. BP

6/7/04 Ron Jensen came in to amend his claims. CB

7/8/04 The GCDB was incorrect for L-2 sec. 33, it is the NWNW NOT NENW. Michael corrected the GCDB and sent to us. CB

Claimant previously amended claim. Can't not requesting another amendment because he claimed L-2 in Section 33; this only clarifies the location of L-2 from NENW to NWNW.



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

May 4, 2004

Ronald Jensen
708 E. 800 N.
Firth, ID 83236

Ref: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Notice of Claims 27-47 and 27-54

Subject: Amending your above referenced Notice of Claims

RESPONSE DATE: May 18, 2004

COPY

Dear Mr. Jensen,

Per our discussion I have amended your claims to correct the point of diversion (POD) and the place of use (POU). On your 27-47 Blackfoot River claim I added the in-stream stock watering as we discussed. You will notice that it has a point of beginning and a point of ending. I used the map created by Harold Jones during your visit with him to create the irrigated place of use. I've enclosed a copy of the map for your convenience. Some of the legal descriptions changed because of the lot numbers. The irrigated acres are slightly different then originally claimed.

On claim 27-54 from Cedar Creek I didn't add the in-stream stock watering as we discussed because it doesn't appear to flow through your property. Your ditch that conveys your water for irrigation would also be the ditch for your stock watering. If you have any questions about the amended claims please feel free to contact me at my office in Soda Springs at 547-3993.


If you agree with the amended claims please sign then as soon as possible in front of a notary and return them to me by the above response date.

Respectfully,

Cindy E. Bird
Adjudication Bureau

Enclosure: Amended Notice of Claims 27-47 and 27-54
Spatial Map with amended POUs and PODs noted.

COPY



Notes

Claim A27-00047

1/ Field exam done on 4-6-04 with claimant Ronald Jensen

2/ Green area on "Map 1" listed - OK is the irrigated place of use.

3/ Approximate point of diversion location shown on same map

4/ P.O.D is down in the canyon & is an unregulated open ditch-way. Cedar Creek dumps into Blackfoot River just upstream from ditch. No headgate or measuring device.

5/ GPS data - ~~0661358~~

File 27-0047

2661358

~~0236182~~

No GPS Tag

USR 1335969

GPS reading taken from rim of canyon. Actual P.O.D is approximately 30-35 feet south-east of GPS location. Photos 1 & 2 are looking down into canyon at P.O.D. No measurement possible.

Heif

A27-00047




Point of Diversion

A27-00047

Cedar Creek
inflow





MAP 1

Notes

3/31/04 27-47 & 27-54. Ron Jensen.

Skip the attached map is HKM's interpretation of irrigation in 1987, it's the same for 1992-97 but that isn't what they claimed. Also, How is the NWNW and NESW + SESW of Section 28 irrigated? Do they own that piece?

Is POB has changed? When did it change?

Exam data - 4-6-04 with claimant

GPS Data 0661358
0236182

File # 27-0047

POB - Photo's 1 & 2 No bridge or measuring device
Bladefoot River where Cedar Creek enters
Flood mg. ditch

actual POB is approximately 30' SE of
GPS point on Ridge above River

Notes

3/31/04 Approved transfer May, 1980,
Claim matches transfer. Imagery doesn't
match claim. Please verify POD.
Irrigation sou? change? when?

27-47 isn't overclaimed!
Verify address.

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Barbara
FROM: Cindy

SUBJECT: layout/POD
DATE: 6/7/04

Create layout - edit POD to move it on the
Blackfoot River. When Skip GPS'd the
POD he was on a steep bank north of the
Blackfoot River. Also verify that the GCDB
is correct with Michael Ciscill because
when you query the GCDB it puts the POD
in the NWNE lot 1, instead of NENW, 1-2? The
POD is definitely in the NENW NOT in the NE.

() For your information

☒ Response required

Cindy
signature

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Phone
------------	-----------	---------	------	-------	-----	-------

Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____

Current Owner _____

Current Owner _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: Ron Jensen Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: 4-6-04 Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

Reviewer: CB

Date: 5/3/04

04/03/2002

IDWR Field Exam Form

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-47

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis: _____

☒ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

None

Reviewer: CP

Date: 3/31/04

Priority Date

Claim No. _____

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes () No (X)
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes (X) No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes (X) No ()
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes (X) No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes (X) No ()
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. *decree*
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes () No (X)
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Claim Number: 20-47.

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

GPS Coordinates: _____

Other rights from this POD? no

Date: 5/3/04

Point of Diversion

Claim No. _____ - _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete

If No, POD review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Source

Claim No. -

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11

If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.

If No, Source review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-47☒ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

Deer - field exam

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use _____

Reviewer: CBDate: 6/7/04

Purpose of Use

Claim No. _____ - _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☒)

5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☒)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☒) No (☐)

12. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-47☒ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
2S	38E	33	NWNW		Bingham	L2	2.4	Irrigation
2S	38E	33	NWNW		Bingham	L2	-	Stockwatering

Total Acres 44.9

Document how the POU was verified:

field exam

* The GCDB was incorrect for L2 in Section 33. It should be NWNW NOT NENW. Michael Caswell corrected it and sent to us. Correct the QQ in the recommendation otherwise, the claimed POU is correct.

Transfers Changing POU _____

Reviewer: CBDate: 6/7/04

Place of Use

Claim No. _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 2a

If No, go to 2b

2a. Is a POU required?

Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 14

2b. Is a POU required?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 14

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 7

4. Has the License been issued?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 6

If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

Yes (☐) No (☒)

If Yes, go to 6

If No, go to 7

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14

If No, go to 7

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

Yes (☐) No (☒)

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 13

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13

If No, go to 9

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10

If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 13

If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 13

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

12. Was a transfer filed?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 14

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

Yes (☐) No (☒)

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POU review is complete.

If No, POU review is complete.

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-47☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/1	10/31	1.0	—
Stock	4/1	10/31	0.02	

Overall Diversion Rate 1.0 Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

field exam
IDWR STD

Reviewer: CSDate: 4/8/04

Period of Use

Claim No. _____ - _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes (☒) No (☐)
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes (☐) No (☐)
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
Yes (☐) No (☐)
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes (☐) No (☒)
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
Yes (☐) No (☐)
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
Yes (☐) No (☒)
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
Yes (☐) No (☐)
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
Yes (☐) No (☐)
9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
Yes (☐) No (☐)
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
Yes (☐) No (☐)
11. Was a transfer filed?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
Yes (☐) No (☐)
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
Yes (☒) No (☐)
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
Yes (☐) No (☒)
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
Yes (☐) No (☐)
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
Yes (☒) No (☐)
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards?
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
Yes (☐) No (☐)
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use?
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
Yes (☐) No (☐)
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.
Yes (☐) No (☒)

Quantity

Claim No.

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9

If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☒)

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☒)

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.

If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Summary

Claim No. _____ - _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☒)

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8
If No, go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☒)

8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Claim No.: _____

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

no

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

see Skips' notes

Claim No.:

27-47

Reviewer

CB

Date

6/8/07