

Notes

11-8-04. 27-10543 & 27-37F & 27-3C are all
used on the same POU. *AD*

Notes

11-5-04 Stan Hill & Susan (his daughter) met with me in Soda Springs on Stan's water rights.


Stan sold about 13 acres in the SWNW & NWSW of section 8, T3S, R35E to David Stanley, with that he is conveying 13 inches of Fox and Whitten water. The piece south of that was sold to Cushman and now Heath Madson. With that he conveyed Corbett Slough water. Williams & Stokes purchased a strip in the NWSW & SWSW of section 8, and E $\frac{1}{2}$ of the NESE & SESE of section 7. T3S, R35E.

Stan has about 43 acres left, 40 irrigated. Susan is going to Bingham Co. Courthouse to do some research on Robert Miller, and Fox & Whitten water, and send me the copies of what she found.

I've included a copy of the map from Stan Hill on the property he has left.

I explained to Stan and Susan that I only found benches of Welch water for Stan and not the 13 he claimed. They seemed to be ok. with that.

11-8-04. linked POD & PO4. POD from Central ditch pt. in gps. shp file; PO4 from 27-37 Convert 27-37. shp on my temp file on the d drive. I didn't update PLS. because the axes are off.





NOV 5 2004
CR

27-3C, 10543, 37F

Water Right Claim Number 27-00003H
Township, 3S35E

12/18/2000



SPATIAL
DYNAMICS

Snake River

Snake River Channel

Parcel No. 5
166 ACRES
511.82

Parcel No. 4
11.14 ACRES

Parcel No. 3
4.81 ACRES

Parcel No. 2
4.81 ACRES

Parcel No. 1
7.53 ACRES

Sold

Sold

Sold

Sold

Sold

Scale 1" = 100'

RECEIVED
NOV 5 2004
By: CB

SCALE 1" = 100'

DECLIVED
NOV 5 2004
by CB

Notes

12-9-03 Enactment of priority letter to claimant BT

CB 12/11/03 Welch water - used since 1940.

Can go back through deed to determine
when it was first used as irrigation.

When he sold the ground he
gave Corbett slough water; he didn't
intend to convey any S.W. He's going
to call Skip to set up an appointment
to discuss the S.W. and see what would
be acceptable for evidence on this claim.

12-11-03 Enacted Skip BT

12-15-03 Sent file to Skip in LF BT

11/5/04 found 6" in the analysis for Stan Hill
not the 13 inches claimed.

11/8/04 This is part of the W/R 27-37C James
Welch. Very few transfers were filed on
the W/R side. This would be part of the James
Welch W/R.

Basin No.	Sequence Number	Split Suffix	Name	R D	Basis of a Claim	yy/mm/dd Priority Date	Remarks	Y N/A Layout	Other Water Rights Used	Subsequent Decree #	Total CFS	Claimed Diversion (Inches)	Recommended diversion (Inches)	Difference in Inches
27	37	L	Anderson, Donald		Decreed	1886-03-20	Weich Irrigation Co. TW52		27-2125	S 37	0.64	32.00	30.00	(2.00)
27	37	C	Bean, Audrey H.		Decreed	1886-03-20			27-4F	S 37	1.17	58.50	58.50	0.00
27	37	P	Bergeman, E. V. Clements, Casey			1886-03-20				S 37	0.28	14.00	14.00	0.00
27	10267		A. Novasad & Hoskith		Decreed	1885-06-01				S 37	0.60	30.00	30.00	0.00
27	11997		Coffman, Harvey		Decreed	1886-03-20				S 37	0.10	5.00	5.00	0.00
							Claim based on Miner's shares & F&W 27-23B. AMENDED							
27	10550		Ganske, Robert L.		Decreed	1886-03-20				S 37	0.06	3.00	3.00	0.00
27	10844		Gay, Waller A.		Decreed	1886-03-20				S 37	0.43	21.65	4.50	(17.15)
27	37	M	Hamilton, Wesley		Decreed	1886-03-20			27-4G	S 37	2.10	105.00	62.00	(43.00)
27	37	F	Hill, Stanley		Decreed	1886-03-20				S 37	0.26	13.00	6.00	(7.00)
27	10368		LDS Church		Decreed	1886-03-20				S 37	0.06	0.00	2.00	NOT CLAIMED IN SRBA
							probably 27 TW52, Weich Irrigation			S 37	0.06	3.00	0.00	(3.00)
27	37	A	McDaniel, Brett		Decreed	1886-03-20				S 37	1.76	88.00	88.00	0.00
							Parent right 27-11120			S 37	0.03	1.50	0.75	(0.75)
27	11894		Nation, Howard S.		Decreed	1886-03-20				S 37	0.03	1.50	0.75	(0.75)
27	11895		Ronrell, Don		BU	1886-03-20		Y		S 37	0.03	1.50	0.75	(0.75)
27	37	E	Rowbury, Rex C.		Decreed	1886-03-20				S 37	0.60	30.00	30.00	0.00
27	37	B	Stecklein, LaVerelle		Decreed	1886-03-20				S 37	0.40	20.00	17.00	(3.00)
									27-27B, 7065, 2126, 10552, 10555, 26B					
27	37	G	Stecklein, LaVerelle		Decreed	1886-03-20				S 37	0.80	40.00	21.50	(18.50)
27	37	J	Winter, Norman		Decreed	1886-03-20				S 37	0.05	2.50	0.00	(2.50)
27	37	H	Worthington, Dallen		Decreed	1886-03-20				S 37	0.04	2.00	2.00	0.00
27	37	K	Wright, Franklin D.		Decreed	1886-03-20			27-27C, 23F	S 37	0.10	5.00	0.00	(5.00)
27	37	N	Yancey, Merlin		Decreed	1886-03-20				S 37	0.50	25.00	25.00	0.00
									TOTALS		10.01	500.65	400.00	(102.65)

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Phone
------------	-----------	---------	------	-------	-----	-------

Current Owner see clause

Current Owner _____

Current Owner _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: _____ Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

Reviewer: UB

Date: 11/8/04

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-37F.

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: Mar 20, 1886 Recommended Basis: decree☐ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

Steven decree.

Reviewer: CBDate: 11/8/04

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Priority Date

Claim No. 27-37F.

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? *decree* Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-37F.Water Right Point of Diversion: T , R , Sec. , County: Source: Tributary: Claimed Point of Diversion: T , R , Sec. , County: Source: Tributary: Recommended Point of Diversion: T 35, R 35E, Sec. 8, SW SE NE, County: BinghamSource: Blackfoot River Tributary: Snake River☐ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
GPS Coordinates: <u> </u>						
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
GPS Coordinates: <u> </u>						
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
GPS Coordinates: <u> </u>						
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
GPS Coordinates: <u> </u>						
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
GPS Coordinates: <u> </u>						
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
GPS Coordinates: <u> </u>						

Other rights from this POD? yes Central Ditch

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

Drivelinked POD for Central DitchCENTRL - located in gps. shp. on neg drive11/8/04 linked the PODTransfers Changing POD noReviewer: CBDate: 11/8/04

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27-37F

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☒)

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (☒) No (☐)

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete

If No, POD review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Point of Diversion

Source

Claim No. 27-37E

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11

If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.

If No, Source review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Source

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-37F.☒ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

done

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use noReviewer: CBDate: 11/8/04

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 27-37E

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes ☒ No ☐
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes ☒ No ☐
5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6
Yes ☒ No ☐
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☐
7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8
Yes ☐ No ☐
8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
Yes ☐ No ☐
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
12. Did the claimant file a transfer?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☒

Purpose of Use

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-37F. Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
3S	35E	8	NESE		Burlington		17.5	Irrigation.
			SESE		"		9.0	
			SWSE		"		8.0	
			NWSE		"		5.5	

Total Acres 40.

Document how the POU was verified:

Link Shape. d:\temp\Conurt+27-37F.shp.Sten sold some property, he only has about
40 acres left that he irrigates.27-3C & 27-10543 are also used on the same POU.Transfers Changing POU No.Reviewer: CRBDate: 4/8/04

Place of Use

Claim No. 27-37F

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License.

If Yes, go to 2a

If No, go to 2b

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2a. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 14

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2b. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 6

If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

If Yes, go to 6

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☒)

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☒)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10

If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 13

If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 13

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

If Yes, go to 14

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

Yes (☒) No (☐)

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/ or condition. POU review is complete.

If No, POU review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Place of Use

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-37F☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/1	10/31	0.12	

Overall Diversion Rate 0.12

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

direct
analysis of 27-37

Reviewer: CBDate: 11/8/04

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (X) No ()

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

Yes (X) No ()

5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 14

If No, go to 6

Yes (X) No ()

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 12

Yes () No ()

7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 9

If No, go to 8

Yes () No ()

8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12

If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 12

If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes () No ()

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 12

If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

11. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, go to 14

If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 13

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined?

If Yes, go to 14

If No, go to 15

Yes () No ()

14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?

If Yes, go to 16

If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18

Yes (X) No ()

15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?

If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18

If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards?

If Yes, go to 17

If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18

Yes () No (X)

17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use?

If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18

If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Quantity

Claim No. 27-37E

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☒)

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9

If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☒)

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☒)

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.

If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Summary

Claim No. 27-37F-

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2

If No, go to 3

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4

If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☒)

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8

If No, go to 8

Yes (☒) No (☐)

8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Summary

Summary Data Entry Form

Claim No.: _____

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18

F01 Exp. Rem.

Central Ditch

P21 Exp. Remark

27-37C

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

yes

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

yes

Claim No.:

27-37F

Reviewer

CRB

Date

11/8/04

Basin No.	Sequence Number	Split Suffix	Name	Basis of a Claim	yyyy-mm-dd Priority Date	Remarks	Usage	Other Water Rights Used	Stevens Decree	Total CFS	Recommended diversion (inches)
27	37	L	Anderson, Donald	Decreed	1886-03-20	Weich Irrigation Co. T#52	irrigation	27-2125	s	37 1.08	54.00
27	37	C	Bean, Audrey H.	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation	27.4F	s	37 0.97	48.50
27	37	P	Bergeman, E. V.		1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37 0.28	14.00
27	12017		Clements, Casey A.	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37 0.38	19.00
27	11997		Coffman, Harvey	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37 0.10	5.00
						Claim based on Milner's shares & F&W 27-23B. AMENDED	irrigation				
27	10550		Ganske, Robert L.	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37 0.06	3.00
27	10844		Gay, Walter A.	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37 0.17	8.67
27	37	M	Hamilton, Wesley	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation	27-4G	s	37 1.30	65.00
27	12015		Hansen, Helen	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37 0.03	1.50
27	37	F	Hill, Stanley	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37 0.12	6.00
27	12016		Hospkins, Robert	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37 0.03	1.50
			LDS Church	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s		0.00
27	10358		Leiseth, Jason	Decreed	1886-03-20	probably 27 T#52. Weich Irrigation	irrigation		s	37 0.00	0.00
27	37	A	McDaniel, Brett	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37 1.76	88.00
			Nation, Howard S.	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent right 27-11120	irrigation		s	37 0.015	0.75
27	12014		Novosad, Joyce	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37 0.16	8.00
			Romrell, Don	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent Right 27-11120	irrigation		s	37 0.015	0.75
27	37	E	Rowbury, Rex C.	Decreed	1886-03-20	T#52	irrigation		s	37 0.12	6.00
27	37	B	Stecklein, LaVerelle	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37 0.00	0.00
								27-27B, 7065, 2126, 10552, 10555, 26B			
27	37	G	Stecklein, LaVerelle	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37 0.69	34.33
27	37	J	Winter, Norman	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37 0.10	5.00
27	37	H	Worthington, Dallen	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37 0.04	2.00
27	37	K	Wright, Franklin D.	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation	27-27C, 23F	s	37 0.04	2.00
27	37	N	Yancey, Merlin	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37 0.50	25.00
								TOTALS		7.96	398.00

To: powder1@ida.net
Cc: cbird@idwr.state.id.us
Subject: 27-37F

Hi Skip,

Claimant: Stanely M. and Doris D. Hill

522 W. Riverton Road

Blackfoot, ID 83221

208 785 0533

POU 3S 35E Sections 7 and 8. total of 102 acres.

POD is in Seftion 8.

Stan Hill called regarding claim 27-37. He said they have used the Welch water since 1940. He can go back through deeds to determine when it was first used as irrigation. He also told Cindy when he sold the ground he gave Corbett Slough water. He didn't intend to convey any S.W.

He is going to call you to setup an appointment to discuss the S.W. and see what would be acceptable for evidence on this claim.

My question to you, is do you want the claim file sent to you now, later, or never?

Barbara :-}