

Notes

- 5-5-05 Received letters of claimant re
organization. ~~BP~~ Forwarded copy of
to A.H. BP
- 5-4-05 sent claimant ownership change BP
- 5-11-05 Cusky met w/ Conderi, Eric, Don T.
- 5-16-05 Letter to claimant re evidence. BP
- 5-16-05 Received ownership change form. BP
- 6/21/05 Dwight Baker, attorney 785-2310
called to see what they need to do.
They need to get us copies of the
assignments CB (Lavon, secretary)
- 8-1-05 Received many 'Assignments' from claimant. BP
- 8-3-05 Emailed Conderi re needed action. BP
- 8-15-05 Prepared packet to send to IF. BP
- 8-17-05 Ron Murrie, Blackfoot Younie Ditch, called to
see what else they need to do. 785-6228 PB
- 8-18-05 Email from Conderi. She got the
"assignments". BP

Notes

2-10-04 Received new address + list of officers. BT
2-10-04 Letter to claimant re address change. BP
2-17-04 Change of Address received. BP

1/20/05 Disallowed. Younie ditch is only a
carrying ditch they don't have any
water rights. They don't have the w/r's
signed over from the individuals yet
they are just starting the process
no to do this.
Add PO1 Ben ~~TH~~ Rom / cond.

4/19/05 Ron Murray called with an update.
There is already a Younie Ditch Co.
listed with the state of Idaho, incorporated
in 1913. They are located near Preston.
So, they are filing a reincorporation with
the state today for Blackfoot Younie
Ditch Co. They have 30 of the 37 assignments
signed.

Ron will send me a copy of the reincorporation
papers, copy of the assignment, list of
people and how much water they have. CB

When we get the reincorporation papers and
the assignment, ~~that~~ ^{we} needs to send a copy
to Candice McHugh in Boise.

Ron said the president went through the map last year
and made all the necessary changes so it should
current. CB

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Phone
------------	-----------	---------	------	-------	-----	-------

Owner type _____
 Current Owner Younie Ditch Co. 785-5094
 Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____
 Current Owner c/o Ann Hobbs
 Current Owner 944 McAdoo St Bkfst 83221

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: _____ Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

Reviewer: UB

Date:

Date: 1/20/05

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-17

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: 7-1-1874 Recommended Basis: same☒ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

same

Reviewer: _____

CB

Date: _____

1/20/05

Priority Date

Claim No. _____

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

decree

Summary

Claim No. -

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2

If No, go to 3

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4

If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes (☒) No (☐)

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8

If No, go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☒)

8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

add POI Gen Rem/Cond.

Disallowed.

Claim No.: _____

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

P01 Rem & Con. General

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

No

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

Claim No.:

27-17

Reviewer

CB

Date

1/20/05