



STATE OF IDAHO
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
LAWRENCE G. WASDEN
June 4, 2003

TO: Basin 29 Surface Water User

I am writing this letter to you as a courtesy to inform you that after review of the Department of Water Resource's preliminary recommendation for your water right(s), a quantity remark has been added. The quantity remark will reflect how your water right(s) was historically decreed in a prior decree. The prior decree recognized the quantity for your water right(s) as a fractional amount of the stream flow listed from the source on your water right. You can expect the Department's Director's Report, which is to be filed with the SRBA Court sometime in July, to include a quantity remark as follows:


The amount of water diverted under this water right may not exceed (*decreed percent or fraction*) of the stream flow of (*decreed source*) at the point of diversion described above at any given time. This water right shall not provide more than .02 cubic feet per second per acre at any time.

The information in parentheses and italics will reflect whatever fraction and source is correct for your water right(s).

If you disagree with the inclusion of this quantity remark in your water right, you will be able to file an objection with the SRBA Court. A Notice of Filing Director's Report will be mailed to you along with the Director's Report for your water right(s) sometime in July. This Notice will outline the deadlines and process for filing an objection to your water right(s).

If you have any further questions regarding this matter, you can contact me at 208-327-7900.

Very truly yours,


Candice M. McHugh
Deputy Attorney General, IDWR

cc: Allen Ruberry
CMM/pm

Claim No.	Claimant	Fract.	Q by Rec	Acres	Stream	Remarks
<u>Phillips vs Edwards Decree, 1924..... Rowley Creek</u>						
29-11242	Wm Phillips	1/2	1.50	75.0	Rowley Ck	
29-12020	P Fergus	1/4	2.02	100.8	Rowley Ck	
29-13469	K Williams	1/4	2.52	126.0	Rowley Ck	

Claimed in-full

Wakley vs Wakley, 1904..... Station Creek

29-00321	Vaughan, et al	1/5	3.91		Station Ck	
29-00326	F Winward	1/5	1.92	96.0	Station Ck	
29-10461	Lazy VZ Trust	2/5	3.08		Station Ck	
29-11699	P Adams	1/5	5.64	282.2	Station Ck	

Decreed right(s) claimed in-full

29-13476 TJL Properties 0.065 Station Ck Recommended as BU, Priority 6/10/1904

Wakley vs Wakley, 1904.....Dry Hollow (Big Ck) and Maple Ck (Mines Canyon)

29-00317A Vaughan, et al 4/4 0/0 3.91 Big Ck/Maple Ck **DISALLOWED-ownership not proven**

29-00320	Vaughan, et al	1/4	3.91		Big Ck/Maple Ck	
29-10462	Lazy VZ Trust	4/4 1/2	1.23		Big Ck/Maple Ck	Recommended as 1/2 flow **
29-11698	P Adams	1/4	5.64	282.2	Big Ck/Maple Ck	

Claimed in-full

29-11700 P Adams XX 0.36 282.2 **Unnamed Strm Beneficial Use**
 29-10407 TJL Properties XX 0.07 Big Ck/Maple Ck Recommended as BU, Priority 6/10/1904

Daniels vs Woodland, 1878..... Birch Creek

29-13103 Malad Valley Irr 3/4 6.20 Lg POU Birch Ck
 Marsh Creek side (B29) users got 1/4 of the flow of Birch Creek as shown below.

Wakley vs Wakley, 1904..... Birch Creek

29-00322	Vaughan, et al	4/24	3.91	195.3	Birch Ck	
29-00327	Marler/Gardner	2/24	2.54	128.0	Birch Ck	
29-00328A	K Williams	1/24	2.52	126.0	Birch Ck	
29-00328B	P Fergus	5/24	2.02	100.8	Birch Ck	
29-00328C	F Winward	2/24	1.92	96.0	Birch Ck	
29-00329	F Winward	2/24	0.80	40.0	Birch Ck	
29-11241	Wm Phillips	2/24	1.50	75.0	Birch Ck	
29-11650	TJL Properties	2/24	1.76	88.0	Birch Ck	
29-11697	P Adams	4/24	5.64	282.2	Birch Ck	

Claimed in-full

Notes:

** Re; 29-10462 see Transfer #3032 Claim combines 29-317 and 29-330C (which recombines A & B)
 The Decree of Davis vs Treasure only involves Birch Creek water.