


QUALITY REVIEW CHECKLIST FOR REPORT READY SRBA CLAIMS

	N/A	YES	NO	*
Does the <u>name</u> and <u>address</u> of the "owners" that will receive mail meet data entry standards? (Check the recommended right for compliance.)		☒	☐	☐
Does the CVR contain information as to how the <u>priority date</u> was determined?		☒	☐	☐
If the claim is based on beneficial use, is there an analysis of the <u>evidence of priority</u> submitted?		☒	☐	☐
Is the <u>stage code</u> correct?		☒	☐	☐
Did the reviewer <u>initial</u> the recommendation of the <u>priority date</u> ?		☒	☐	☐
Does the CVR contain information as to how the <u>point of diversion</u> was determined?		☒	☐	☐
Did the reviewer <u>initial</u> the recommendation of the <u>point of diversion</u> , the <u>source</u> and the <u>tributary</u> ?		☒	☐	☐
Does the CVR contain a <u>description of the system</u> that includes information as to how the source and/or tributary were determined?		☐	☒	☐
Does the CVR contain information as to how the <u>place of use</u> and <u>number of acres</u> (for irrigation rights) were determined?		☒	☐	☐
Did the reviewer <u>initial</u> the recommendation of the <u>place of use</u> ?		☒	☐	☐
If this right <u>overlaps</u> with other rights, was the <u>overlap review</u> completed?	☒	☐	☐	☐
Does the CVR contain information as to how the <u>nature of use</u> was determined?		☐	☒	☐
Does the CVR contain information as to how the <u>season of use</u> was determined?		☐	☒	☐
Does the CVR contain information as to how the <u>diversion rate</u> was determined?		☐	☒	☐
Does the CVR contain information as to how the <u>diversion volume</u> was determined?	☒	☐	☐	☐
Does the CVR contain information as to how the <u>consumptive use</u> volume was determined?	☒	☐	☐	☐
Did the reviewer <u>initial</u> the recommendation of the nature of use, the season of use, the diversion rate, the diversion volume and the consumptive use volume?		☒	☐	☐
If the claim is based on a right that has <u>conditions/remarks</u> , have these conditions/remarks been considered in the recommendation?	☒	☐	☐	☐
Are all appropriate <u>conditions/remarks</u> included in the recommendation?	☐	☒	☐	☐
If remarks are included, are the remarks consistent with the " <u>standard remarks</u> " described in Adjudication Memo 32?	☐	☒	☐	☐
Was a <u>split water right/diversion rate review</u> completed?	☒	☐	☐	☐
If this claim is <u>not</u> being recommended, is the <u>status code</u> correct?	☒	☐	☐	☐
Does the reviewer's <u>signature</u> appear on the CVR?		☒	☐	☐
Has the " <u>quicklook</u> " page been completed?		☒	☐	☐
If <u>additional documents</u> were used in the investigation of this right, are <u>copies of those documents</u> included in the file, or is there a reference to the identity and location of the documents?		☒	☐	☐
Does the file contain a <u>copy of the recommended right</u> ?		☒	☐	☐

* See attachment for additional information or explanation.

Quality control review by 2N date 4-7-94 Data entry changes by _____ date _____
 clf 03/18/94



R35-12771
T7S, R30E, S1.
Domestic
Scale = $\frac{1}{24000}$

