

Full E/O Exam

7/9/92

10:00

@ HOSKELMSD

ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

ALONN HALE

684-3001

(R)

A35-11208
SNAKE RIVER SCHOOL DISTRICT #1
103 S. 900 W.

BLACKFOOT

IN 83221



A3511208

Rec # 6043
Box # 971

November 20, 1998

**NOTICE OF ERROR
REPLY**

Please type or print clearly, fill out this form completely and mail.

Return this reply form to IDWR by the deadline date printed below if you want IDWR to change your recommendation or you want to let IDWR know that you agree with its recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR RETURNING A NOTICE OF ERROR REPLY IS: December 18, 1998

Water right number: 35-11208

SNAKE RIVER SCHOOL DISTRICT N 52
103 S 900 W
BLACKFOOT ID 83221

Daytime Telephone Number:


Evening Telephone Number:

1. Describe the portion of the proposed recommendation with which you disagree (e.g. priority date, period of use, source):
2. Describe the changes you wish to make in the proposed recommendation:
3. Give a brief explanation as to why you disagree with these portions:

*Needs to Amend claim
to add HRC -
\$4.00
P.E.*

 I AGREE with this proposed recommendation; no changes need to be made.

DATE: 12-16-98

SIGNATURE: 

Please print your name: Alden Hale

A

9/24/98 SINCE THIS IS A BU CLAIM.

AN AMENDED CLAIM ADDING 4AC
CAN BE DONE INSTEAD OF ANOTHER
ENLARG... CLAIM. Cost \$400 (not worth it)

12/16 No! 1955 priority

16 September 1998

Snake River School District #52
Attn: Business Administrator
103 S 900 W
Blackfoot, ID 83221

Re: Irrigated lands not covered by Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA) claim A35-11208

To whom it may concern:

During a recent review of the Notice of Claim to the water right referenced above, the irrigated acreage identified exceeds the acreage covered by the water right. Pursuant to § 42-1426 IC, the additional acreage may qualify for an "enlargement claim" thus allowing continued irrigation of these lands. For your reference, I have enclosed a computer-simulated illustration of the lands being irrigated. And, I have enclosed an (SRBA) Profile Report of the water right referenced above. When comparing acreage amounts of the profile report and the GIS illustration it appears there are approximately 4 acres being irrigated which are not covered by the license, but may qualify for an enlargement claim.


To file an enlargement claim you will need to furnish evidence showing when the additional land was placed under irrigation. The enclosed pamphlet entitled "Evidence of Priority" provides some guidance for fulfilling this requirement.

Notices of Claim for the area in question are currently being reviewed by the Department prior to submitting them to the court. Hence, the need for expediency on your part. Please call this office to schedule an appointment if you wish to discuss this important matter or obtain assistance if filing an enlargement claim. I look forward to your timely response.

Respectfully submitted,

Allen B. Ruberry
Sr. Water Resource Agent
Adjudication Bureau

CC: file
Enclosures: Proof of Priority pamphlet
SRBA Profile Report of A35-11208
Computer simulated illustration



1511

3
4.5

2
11.9

17.2

23

28

26

PLACE OF USE
SCHOOL
LAWN

9

Moreland

POINT OF DIVERSION

T 2 R34E S
FIELD EXAM MAP FOR
A35-11208, 7/9/92
Eric J. [unclear]

T2147
3237

3
4.5

5
67.1

26

35

1135-11208
and 11209

To whom it may concern:

We Jay Lee Cornelison and Lawana Hale Cornelison attended High School at Snake River High in Moreland (1951 to 1954) the old school was on the same block and just east of the existing Moreland Elementary school. Well water served the school and adjacent cafeteria.

In 1955, the High school was transferred to the present Snake River Jr. High adjacent to Highway 39. A well served water to the school and another well irrigated the ball fields.

Sincerely

Jay L. Cornelison
Lawana Cornelison

Jay L. Cornelison and Lawana Hale Cornelison

State of Idaho)
)
County of Bingham)

Subscribed and sworn before me this 16th day of January, 1992.

Bette Adams
Notary

My commission expires on May 20, 1992.

MICROFILMED
DEC 11 1992

A35-11206,11208,11209,11210,11211

December 18, 1991

SNAKE RIVER SCHOOL DISTRICT #52
103 S 900 W
BLACKFOOT ID 83221

RE: Evidence of Priority *****FINAL REQUEST*****
 RESPONSE DEADLINE
 JANUARY 20, 1992

Dear Sir:

Our records indicate that your claim, listed above, is based upon either a "Statutory Claim" or "Beneficial Use" of the water. For water rights of this type, the claimed "Priority Date" must be substantiated with supporting evidence. We previously requested this supporting evidence be submitted by July 25, 1991, and to date we have not received the requested documentation.

Before the Department can make a recommendation to the Court to decree the claim, some evidence of the priority date must be submitted. We are enclosing a brochure outlining the types of evidence you may submit, and a printout of your claim for reference. We request that you submit your evidence of priority as soon as possible.

If we do not receive the requested documentation, the Department will recommend the claim be disallowed, due to lack of evidence supporting any priority date.

Please submit the required evidence supporting the priority date by **January 20, 1992**. **Please reference the claim number on your correspondence.** If you have questions or need other assistance, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

Eric Verner
Senior Water Rights Agent

EV:jt

Enclosure: Brochure/Proof Report

MICROFILMED
DEC 11 1992

June 28, 1991

SNAKE RIVER SCHOOL DISTRICT #52
103 S 900 W
BLACKFOOT ID 83221

RE: **Evidence of Priority** A35-11209, A35-11208, A35-11211,
A35-11206 & A35-11210

Dear Claimant:

The Notices of Claim identified with the numbers shown at the end of this letter have been filed in the Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA). The Notices indicate the water usage is based on "Beneficial Use" or a "Statutory Claim". Water rights of this type are established by the historical use of water, dating back to when water was first used for the purpose claimed, at or on the place of use identified on the Notice. The date the water was first put to use, known as the priority date, has not been confirmed by a License, Decree, or Permit. For this reason, proof must be provided supporting the priority date.

For your reference I have provided a printout of your Notice and the pamphlet entitled "**Evidence of Priority**". The pamphlet describes the types of evidence required and suggests which documents will fulfill this requirement. While I encourage you to read all of the pamphlet, I direct your attention to the two sections entitled "**What Kind of Evidence is Required**" and "**Some Examples of Documents Which May Be Evidence of the Priority Date**".

It is our goal to recommend this historical water rights within the Snake River Basin as accurately as possible. Field exams to verify other items of the Notice have been or soon will be conducted by Department personnel. **But, the Department will not recommend the Notices be decreed by the court if no evidence of a priority date is submitted.**

Please submit the required evidence supporting the priority dates by **July 29, 1991**, referencing all documents with the corresponding Notice numbers. If you have any questions not covered by this letter or the enclosed pamphlet, please contact this office.

MICROFILMED

DEC 11 1992

Page 2

All Notices required to submit this evidence are currently being reviewed for recommendation to the court. Hence, the need for expediency on your part or your agency. Every effort should be made to submit this information within 30 days of the date of this letter.

Respectfully submitted,

Eric Verner
Senior Water Agent

EV:sc

Enclosure: Brochure on "Evidence of Priority"
Proof Report

MICROFILMED
DEC 11 1992

4/11/1989

TRBA CLAIMS RECEIVING CHECKLIST #2

CLAIMANT'S NAME Snake River School District #52 CLAIM IDENT. NO. A35-11208

DATE RECEIVED 5/15/89 CK # E007516 AMOUNT \$ 6400 YES NO Required Info?

1. Is the name and address complete with zip code? ☒ YES ☐ NO *
2. Is a priority date entered? ☒ YES ☐ NO *
- Is the priority date acceptable in view of mandatory filing dates?
See Adjudication Memos #1 and #4 to determine if a Permit is required. ☒ YES ☐ NO *
3. (a) Is a source entered? ☒ YES ☐ NO *
- (b) Is a tributary to entered? (if required) ☐ YES ☒ N/A ☐ NO *
4. Is the legal description of the p.d. sufficient? ☒ YES ☐ NO *
5. Is there a description of the diverting works? ☒ YES ☐ NO *
6. Are the water uses appropriate for the form? The short form is limited to domestic and stockwater uses with direct diversion. All claims that include storage or instream stockwater must use long form. ☒ YES ☐ NO *
- Is the period of use included? ☒ YES ☐ NO *
- Is a quantity claimed for each use? ☒ YES ☐ NO *
7. Is a total quantity claimed entered? ☒ YES ☐ NO *
8. If required is a consumptive use entered? ☐ YES ☒ N/A ☐ NO 150 ft
- Is the consumptive use correct? ☐ YES ☒ N/A ☐ NO 150 ft
9. Are the non-irrigation uses listed properly? If this claim is on the short form, and more than one domestic use is listed, single ownership must be stated. If not under single ownership, see Adjudication Memo # 4. ☐ YES ☒ N/A ☐ NO ok see remarks *
10. Is (are) the place(s) of use and purpose(s) indicated? ☒ YES ☐ NO *
11. Is (are) the county(ies) entered? ☒ YES ☐ NO *
12. Is the ownership of p.u. checked? ☒ YES ☐ NO *
13. Are other water rights listed or "none" indicated? ☒ YES ☐ NO *
14. If required, are remarks correct? (If the claimant does not own the place of use, authority for filing the claim must be explained in the remarks.) ☒ YES ☒ N/A ☐ NO *
15. Is the basis of the claim indicated? ☒ YES ☐ NO *
16. If the claimant requested a monthly docket sheet, is payment enclosed? (If yes, describe payment status in "Comments" below.) ☒ YES ☒ N/A ☐ NO *
17. Is the claim signed by the claimant, and is the signature appropriate? Organizations must complete the organization block. ☒ YES ☐ NO *
18. Has the claim been signed, sealed, and dated by a notary? ☒ YES ☐ NO *
19. Was this claim filed on time, or was an extension granted? ☒ YES ☐ NO *
20. Is the fee enclosed correct? ☐ YES ☒ Exempt ☐ NO *
21. If this claim is based on an existing water right, has the identification number been entered? ☐ YES ☒ N/A ☐ NO *
22. Should this claim be data entered? ☒ YES ☐ NO *

Claim checked by: Chat Date: 5/25/89
Claim must be returned to claimant: ☐ (Yes) ☒ (No)
Claimant must be notified of modifications: ☐ (Yes) ☒ (No)

Receipt Prepared by: _____ Date: _____
Claim data entered by: SS-7-24-89 Date: _____
Sent to claimant by: _____ Date: _____
Checked by: _____ Date: _____

Comments: School use listed as domestic. The fees are correct (10 acres + \$9 for domestic). Review should probably change the use to "commercial". **MICROFILMED** **DEC 11 1992**