

I started school at the Wilson school in 1924. At this time there was a well in the schoolyard with a hand pump on it. A few years later water was piped into the building.

Morgen Van Orden

State of Idaho)
)
County of Bingham)

Subscribed and sworn before me this 16th day of January, 1992.

Bette Adams
Notary

My commission expires on May 20, 1992

MICROFILMED

DEC 11 1992

June 28, 1991

SNAKE RIVER SCHOOL DISTRICT #52
103 S 900 W
BLACKFOOT ID 83221

RE: **Evidence of Priority** A35-11209, A35-11208, A35-11211,
A35-11206 & A35-11210

Dear Claimant:

The Notices of Claim identified with the numbers shown at the end of this letter have been filed in the Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA). The Notices indicate the water usage is based on "Beneficial Use" or a "Statutory Claim". Water rights of this type are established by the historical use of water, dating back to when water was first used for the purpose claimed, at or on the place of use identified on the Notice. The date the water was first put to use, known as the priority date, has not been confirmed by a License, Decree, or Permit. For this reason, proof must be provided supporting the priority date.

For your reference I have provided a printout of your Notice and the pamphlet entitled "**Evidence of Priority**". The pamphlet describes the types of evidence required and suggests which documents will fulfill this requirement. While I encourage you to read all of the pamphlet, I direct your attention to the two sections entitled "**What Kind of Evidence is Required**" and "**Some Examples of Documents Which May Be Evidence of the Priority Date**".

It is our goal to recommend this historical water rights within the Snake River Basin as accurately as possible. Field exams to verify other items of the Notice have been or soon will be conducted by Department personnel. **But, the Department will not recommend the Notices be decreed by the court if no evidence of a priority date is submitted.**

Please submit the required evidence supporting the priority dates by **July 29, 1991**, referencing all documents with the corresponding Notice numbers. If you have any questions not covered by this letter or the enclosed pamphlet, please contact this office.

MICROFILMED

DEC 11 1992

Page 2

All Notices required to submit this evidence are currently being reviewed for recommendation to the court. Hence, the need for expediency on your part or your agency. Every effort should be made to submit this information within 30 days of the date of this letter.

Respectfully submitted,

Eric Verner
Senior Water Agent

EV:sc

Enclosure: Brochure on "Evidence of Priority"
Proof Report

MICROFILMED
DEC 11 1992

4/11/1989

IRBA CLAIMS RECEIVING CHECKLIST #2

CLAIMANT'S NAME Snake River School District #52 CLAIM IDENT. NO. A35-11206DATE RECEIVED 5/15/89 CK # 6007516 AMOUNT \$ 54.00

- | | YES | NO | Required Info? |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| 1. Is the name and address complete with zip code? | ☒ | ☐ | * |
| 2. Is a priority date entered? | ☒ | ☐ | * |
| Is the priority date acceptable in view of mandatory filing dates?
See Adjudication Memos #1 and #4 to determine if a Permit is required. | ☒ | ☐ | * |
| 3. (a) Is a source entered? | ☒ | ☐ | * |
| (b) Is a tributary to entered? (if required) N/A ☒ | ☐ | ☐ | |
| 4. Is the legal description of the p.d. sufficient? | ☒ | ☐ | * |
| 5. Is there a description of the diverting works? | ☒ | ☐ | |
| 6. Are the water uses appropriate for the form? The short form is limited to domestic and stockwater uses with direct diversion. All claims that include storage or instream stockwater must use long form. | ☒ | ☐ | * |
| Is the period of use included? | ☒ | ☐ | |
| Is a quantity claimed for each use? | ☒ | ☐ | * |
| 7. Is a total quantity claimed entered? | ☒ | ☐ | |
| 8. If required is a consumptive use entered? N/A ☒ | ☐ | ☐ | |
| Is the consumptive use correct? N/A ☒ | ☐ | ☐ | |
| 9. Are the non-irrigation uses listed properly? If this claim is on the short form, and more than one domestic use is listed, single ownership must be stated. If not under single ownership, see Adjudication Memo # 4. N/A ☒ | ☐ | ☐ | * |
| 10. Is (are) the place(s) of use and purpose(s) indicated? | ☒ | ☐ | * |
| 11. Is (are) the county(ies) entered? | ☒ | ☐ | |
| 12. Is the ownership of p.u. checked? | ☒ | ☐ | |
| 13. Are other water rights listed or "none" indicated? | ☒ | ☐ | |
| 14. If required, are remarks correct? (If the claimant does not own the place of use, authority for filing the claim must be explained in the remarks.) N/A ☒ | ☐ | ☐ | |
| 15. Is the basis of the claim indicated? | ☒ | ☐ | |
| 16. If the claimant requested a monthly docket sheet, is payment enclosed? (If yes, describe payment status in "Comments" below.) N/A ☒ | ☐ | ☐ | |
| 17. Is the claim signed by the claimant, and is the signature appropriate? Organizations must complete the organization block. | ☒ | ☐ | * |
| 18. Has the claim been signed, sealed, and dated by a notary? | ☒ | ☐ | * |
| 19. Was this claim filed on time, or was an extension granted? | ☒ | ☐ | * |
| 20. Is the fee enclosed correct? Exempt ☒ | ☐ | ☐ | * |
| 21. If this claim is based on an existing water right, has the identification number been entered? N/A ☒ | ☐ | ☐ | |
| 22. Should this claim be data entered? | ☒ | ☐ | |

Claim checked by: SLT Date: 5/25/89Claim must be returned to claimant: ☐ (Yes) ☒ (No)Claimant must be notified of modifications: ☐ (Yes) ☒ (No)

Receipt Prepared by:

Claim data entered by: SS-7-24-89

Sent to claimant by:

Date:

Date:

Date:

Checked by:

Date:

MICROFILMED

Comments:

DEC 11 1992