

AJ0749NP
No. R35-08039

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM PROFILE REPORT
(REGIONAL)

DATE: 07/29/93
PAGE: 1

OWNER CODE	NAME & ADDRESS	PRIORITY DATE	WATER USE	USE PERIOD FROM TO	DIVERSION RATE	DIVERSION VOLUME
C	US DEPT OF INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT IDAHO STATE OFFICE 3380 AMERICANA TERRACE BOISE ID 83706 (208) 334-1401	05/28/1982	STOCKWATER WILDLIFE	05-01 12-31 01-01 12-31	0.06 CFS 0.02 CFS	14.9 AFA 6.0 AFA
TOTAL DIVERSION:					0.06 CFS	20.9 AFA

Water Source: GROUNDWATER

Tributary to:

Stage: LICENSE

Point(s) of Diversion:

T03S R28E S27

NMSNM

BLAINE County

Place of use Legal Description for: STOCKWATER

NE	NW	SW	SE
NENE NME SNE : NENW NNM SNN : NESW NMSW SWSW SESW : NESE NME SWE SESE :			
T R S : Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac : Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac : Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac : Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac :			
03S 28E 27 :	S		

Place of use Legal Description for: WILDLIFE

NE	NW	SW	SE
NENE NME SNE : NENW NNM SNN : NESW NMSW SWSW SESW : NESE NME SWE SESE :			
T R S : Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac : Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac : Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac : Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac :			
03S 28E 27 :	W		

POU County: BLAINE

Non-Irrigation Uses: STOCKWATER, 10000 SHEEP; WILDLIFE, 75 ANTELOPE & 25 SAGE GROUSE

Other Rights: NONE

Conditions of Approval:

- L12 - THIS RIGHT AND OTHER RIGHTS COMMON TO THE SAME GRAZING
- ALLOTMENT SHALL BE LIMITED TO THE QUANTITY OF WATER BENEFICIALLY
- USED BY THE NUMBER OF STOCK WITHIN THE ALLOTMENT.

Dates: Exam Made Date: 08/29/1993 Verified Date: 09/09/93. Enc.

Misc: Water District Number: Field Inspection Flag: Presumption Flag:

Comments: Date: 07/29/1993 User-ID: KEEN Subject: COPIED FROM REMARKS
BLM RECORD ID = 3503488106
PREVIOUSLY FILED WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Date: 07/29/1993 User-ID: KEEN Subject: CLAIM REVIEW

OK
S.W. Keen
7-29-93

AJ0749NP
No. R35-08039

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM PROFILE REPORT
(REGIONAL)

DATE: 07/29/93
PAGE: 2

Comments: Date: 07/29/1993 User-ID: KEEN Subject: CLAIM REVIEW
DESKTOP REVIEW, DRAFT RECOMMENDATIONS, AND DATA ENTRY BY
S.W. KEEN.

Audit: 07/29/93 KEEN

QUALITY REVIEW CHECKLIST FOR REPORT READY SRBA CLAIMS

	N/A	YES	NO	*
1. Does the name and address meet the USPS and our data entry standards?		☒		
2. Does the CVR contain information as to how the priority date was determined?		☒		
If the claim is based on beneficial use, is there an analysis of the evidence of priority submitted?	☒			
Is the stage code correct?		☒		
Did the reviewer initial the recommendation of the priority date ?		☒		
3. Does the CVR contain information as to how the point of diversion was determined?		☒		
Did the reviewer initial the recommendation of the point of diversion and the source and tributary ?		☒		
Does the CVR contain a description of the system that includes information as to how the source and/or tributary were determined?		☒		
4. Does the CVR contain information as to how the place of use and number of acres (for irrigation rights) were determined?		☒		
Did the reviewer initial the recommendation of the place of use ?		☒		
5. Does the CVR contain information as to how the nature of use and the season of use were determined?		☒		
Does the CVR contain information as to how the diversion rate was determined?		☒		
Does the CVR contain information as to how the diversion volume was determined?		☒		
Does the CVR contain information as to how the consumptive use volume was determined?		☒		
Did the reviewer initial the recommendation of the nature of use , the season of use , the rate of diversion , the diversion volume and the consumptive use volume ?		☒		
6. If the claim is based on a right recorded with IDWR, was the total diversion rate claimed?		☒		
If the total diversion rate was not claimed, is a review sheet attached?	☒			
7. If the claim is based on a right that has conditions/remarks , have these conditions/remarks been considered in the recommendation?				
Are all appropriate conditions/remarks included in the recommendation?				
8. If remarks are included, are the remarks consistent with the " standard remarks " described in Adjudication Memo 32?	☒			
9. If this claim is not being recommended, is the status code correct?	☒			
10. Did the reviewer sign the CVR?		☒		
11. Has the " quicklook " page been completed?		☒		
12. If additional documents were used in the investigation of this right, are copies of those documents included in the file, or is there a reference to the identity and location of the documents?	☒			
13. Does the file contain a copy of the recommended right ?		☒		

* See attachment for additional information or explanation.