

o Vekker Rod, Pou

• Use is Dairy - SHOULD IT JUST BE STOCKWATER 100 DAIRY?

Part 2


TAKET

no GRS
read

A35-10512
E. L. GREGGENSEN
CORINE GREGGENSEN
1559 ~~1559~~ 300 N. ~~1559~~
BLACKFOOT

10 83221



A3510512

FILE NUMBER ----- A35-10512
 REVIEWER ----- ESV 6/4/92

DAIRIES: WATER USE WORKSHEET

REQUIRED DAIRY DATA *

NUMBER OF MILKING CATTLE ----- 65 ✓
 NUMBER OF NONMILKING CATTLE ----- 25 ✓
 IF LAAGOON IS AEROBIC, ENTER ITS SURFACE AREA IN ACRES;
 IF ANAEROBIC, ENTER ZERO ----- 0.1 ✓
 EVAPOTRANSPIRATION FACTOR FOR AREA (AC-FT/AC-YR) ----- 4 ✓
 ENTER 1 FOR MANUAL COW WASHING, 2 FOR AUTOMATIC, OR 3 IF
 SPRINKLERS ARE USED TO FLUSH HOLDING PEN AND WASH COMS -
 NUMBER OF MILKINGS PER DAY ----- 2 ✓

CALCULATIONS

DIVERSION RATE FOR STOCKWATER (CFS):

MILKING CATTLE (NUMBER OF CATTLE ROUNDED UP) * --- 0.06
 MILKING CATTLE @35 GPD/HD ----- 0.04
 NONMILKING CATTLE (NUMBER OF CATTLE ROUNDED UP) * --- 0.02
 NONMILKING CATTLE @12 GPD/HD ----- 0.01
 TOTAL (BASED UPON ROUNDED NUMBERS) ----- 0.09

DIVERSION VOLUME (AFA):

STOCKWATER
 MILKING CATTLE (NUMBER OF CATTLE ROUNDED UP) * --- 3.9
 MILKING CATTLE @35 GPD/HD ----- 2.5
 NONMILKING CATTLE (NUMBER OF CATTLE ROUNDED UP) * --- 0.4
 NONMILKING CATTLE @12 GPD/HD ----- 0.3
 TOTAL (BASED UPON ROUNDED NUMBERS) ----- 4.3 ✓

COMMERCIAL
 WASHING OF BULK TANK AND RELATED EQUIPMENT ----- 0.4
 PARLOR FLOOR AND MILKHOUSE FLOOR ----- 0.1
 CATTLE WASHING BASED UPON METHOD OF WASHING ----- 0.1
 TOTAL ----- 0.6 ✓

TOTAL DIVERSION VOLUME ----- 4.9 ✓

CONSUMPTIVE USE VOLUME (AFA):

STOCKWATER
 MILKING ----- 0.6 ✓
 NONMILKING ----- 0.0 ✓
 TOTAL ----- 0.6 ✓
 COMMERCIAL ----- 0.4 ✓
 TOTAL CONSUMPTIVE USE ----- 1.0 ✓

* NUMBER OF CATTLE ROUNDED UP TO AGREE WITH EXISTING TABLES.

THEORETICAL FLOW ESTIMATION WORKSHEET

REQUIRED PUMP INFORMATION *

	PUMP 1	PUMP 2	PUMP 3
PUMP HORSEPOWER (HP) -----	5.00 ✓	0.00	0.00
LIFT (FT) -----	80 ✓	0	0
DISCHARGE PRESSURE (PSI) -----	40 ✓	0	0
FRICTION LOSSES (FT) -----	0.0 ✓	0.0	0.0

CALCULATIONS

THEORETICAL FLOW RATE (CFS) * ----- 0.18 ✓ 0.00 0.00
 DIVERSION VOLUME (AFA) ** ----- 129.3 ✓ 0.0 0.0

* PUMP EFFICIENCY WAS ASSUMED TO BE 70%.
 * DIVERSION VOLUME IS THE ABSOLUTE MAXIMUM VOLUME BASED ON THE
 THEORETICAL FLOW RATE ASSUMING PUMP RUNS CONTINUOUSLY EVERY
 DAY OF THE YEAR.


* REQUIRED INFORMATION FROM PUMP
 CONVEYANCE w/ 6000 GPM, 6/4/92 GSV

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Domestic; 1 Home; 0.04cfs
 Stockwater; 65 DAIRY; 0.08cfs
 25 RANGE

Commercial; 0.04cfs (18gpm)

Total: 0.11cfs as claimed



DAVIS INSURANCE

1219 YELLOWSTONE AVE. POCA TELLO, IDAHO 83201
PHONE (208) 237-8071

356-9110
Rexburg
Harold Jones

Gale Davis
Blake D. Frasure

226-5941
American Falls
Mel Chipps

We moved to this location in 1970 and the culinary well was put in about 1952. There was a dairy operating here when we moved on & we have since been operating one. We milk about 100 cows and raise their calves which puts us working about 200-250 cattle a year.

B. Frasure
1-19-92

A35-10512

MICROFILMED

DEC 10 1992

104 N 1600 W
Blackfoot, Idaho
January 20, 1992

To whom this may concern

I moved on to my present farm
in February 1952. The Culinary Well
that is on the C.L. Gregerson place
now was in place at that time.

Douglas R Merrill

A35-10512

MICROFILMED

DEC 10 1992

1/20/92

He moved here in 1950 and as
close as we can remember the well
went in about 1952 and was used
for culinary purposes.

The farm had been rented out to
different occupants and some had
milked cows & ran cattle on it.

There is a dam on it now.

Robert Dwyer
1/20/92

A35-10512

MICROFILMED

DEC 10 1992

December 18, 1991

A35-10512

E L GREGERSEN
1559 W 300 N
BLACKFOOT ID 83221

RE: Evidence of Priority *****FINAL REQUEST*****
 RESPONSE DEADLINE
 JANUARY 20, 1992

Dear Mr. Gregersen:

Our records indicate that your claim, listed above, is based upon either a "Statutory Claim" or "Beneficial Use" of the water. For water rights of this type, the claimed "Priority Date" must be substantiated with supporting evidence. We previously requested this supporting evidence be submitted by July 25, 1991, and to date we have not received the requested documentation.

Before the Department can make a recommendation to the Court to decree the claim, some evidence of the priority date must be submitted. We are enclosing a brochure outlining the types of evidence you may submit, and a printout of your claim for reference. We request that you submit your evidence of priority as soon as possible.

If we do not receive the requested documentation, the Department will recommend the claim be disallowed, due to lack of evidence supporting any priority date.

Please submit the required evidence supporting the priority date by January 20, 1992. Please reference the claim number on your correspondence. If you have questions or need other assistance, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

Eric Verner
Senior Water Rights Agent

EV:jt

Enclosure: Brochure/Proof Report

MICROFILMED

DEC 10 1992

28-Jun-1991

E L GREGERSEN
1559 W 300 N
BLACKFOOT, ID 83221

RE: **Evidence of Priority** A35-10512

Dear Claimant:

The Notice of Claim identified with the numbers shown at the end of this letter has been filed in the Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA). The Notice indicates the water usage is based on "Beneficial Use" or a "Statutory Claim". Water rights of this type are established by the historical use of water, dating back to when water was first used for the purpose claimed, at or on the place of use identified on the Notice. The date the water was first put to use, known as the priority date, has not been confirmed by a License, Decree, or Permit. For this reason, proof must be provided supporting the priority date.

For your reference I have provided a printout of your Notice and the pamphlet entitled **"Evidence of Priority"**. The pamphlet describes the types of evidence required and suggests which documents will fulfill this requirement. While I encourage you to read all of the pamphlet, I direct your attention to the two sections entitled **"What Kind of Evidence is Required"** and **"Some Examples of Documents Which May Be Evidence of the Priority Date"**.

It is our goal to recommend this historical water rights within the Snake River Basin as accurately as possible. Field exams to verify other items of the Notice have been or soon will be conducted by Department personnel. **But, the Department will not recommend the Notice be decreed by the court if no evidence of a priority date is submitted.**

Please submit the required evidence supporting the priority date by JULY 29, 1991, referencing all documents with the corresponding Notice number. If you have any questions not covered by this letter or the enclosed pamphlet, please contact this office.

MICROFILMED
DEC 10 1992

Page 2

All Notices required to submit this evidence are currently being reviewed for recommendation to the court. Hence, the need for expediency on your part or your agency. Every effort should be made to submit this information within 30 days of the date of this letter.

Respectfully submitted,

Eric Verner
Senior Water Agent

EV:sc

Enclosure: Brochure on "Evidence of Priority"
Proof Report

MICROFILMED

DEC 10 1992