


AAS2
(1979)
Spring



BB2
(1974)

OREGON
6W

7S
8S

Place of Use

Flow

MOUNTAIN MSMT

SALT LAKE RES

MALHEUR CO
OWYHEE CO


Stone Creek

Sheep Creek

Spring

Springs





AA52
(1969)

AA53
(1990)

Salt Lick Reservoir

POD

T7S
T8S

ROAD CLASSIFICATION

PRODUCED BY THE UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
CONTROL BY ... USGS, NOS/NOAA
FILMED FROM AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN ... 1963

Toppin Creek
A55-13685

Photos taken 6-23-93^(KW)
by KW & LW



Dirt Dam
& Ditch -
P/D on
Toppin
Creek -
Looking
upstream
towards
dam.



Ditch from
p/d - Point
where we
conducted
Mannings
Measurement.

~~Looking~~
~~upstream~~
~~towards dam~~ KW

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A55-13685
Date Received: 4/ 9/1998
Receipt No: W023700
Received By: CEW

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: TERRY V WARN 208-583-2248
Address: PO BOX 235
JORDAN VALLEY OR 97910

AND/OR

Name: ROSEMARY WARN 208-583-2248
Address: PO BOX 235
JORDAN VALLEY OR 97910

2. Date of Priority: OCT 10, 1958

3. Source: TOPPIN CREEK Trib. to: SOLDIER CREEK
STOVE CREEK SOLDIER CREEK

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
07S	06W	35	NW	SE	SW		OWYHEE
08S	06W	2	NW	NW	SW		OWYHEE

5. Description of diverting works:
DIVERSION DAM & DITCH TO PLACE OF USE

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION STORAGE	01/01	12/31			248.00
IRRIGATION FROM STORAGE	04/15	10/15			248.00
STOCKWATER STORAGE	01/01	12/31			2.70
STOCKWATER FROM STORAGE	01/01	12/31			2.70

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
C.F.S. (and/or) 248.00 A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
STOCKWATER, 200 RANGE CATTLE

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
----------	-------	---------	------------	-----	-----	-------

11. Place of use in counties:

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
55-10063

14. Remarks:

PLACE OF USE IS IN OREGON - TOTAL OF 128 ACRES IN SEC 23, 2 ACRES IN SEC 14, AND 21 ACRES IN SEC. 24, T32S, R46E, W.M. TOTAL = 160 ACRES.

THIS CLAIM COVERS THE ADDITIONAL WATER STORED IN SALT LICK RESERVOIR WHICH HOLDS 300 AF. CLAIM 55-10063 COVERS 52 ACRE FEET FOUND TO BE STORED IN 1922.

15. Basis of Claim: BENEFICIAL USE

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): X Terry Warn Date: 4-9-98

Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of Ada) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 9th day
of April 19 98

Kathlynn A. Walker
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at Boise, Idaho
My Commission Expires 2-14-1999

AJ5809NP
No. 55-13685

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM PROFILE REPORT

DATE: 08/26/98
PAGE: 15

OWNER CODE	NAME & ADDRESS	PRIORITY DATE	WATER USE	USE PERIOD FROM TO	DIVERSION RATE	DIVERSION VOLUME
C	TERRY V WARN	10/10/1958	IRRIGATION STORAGE	01-01 12-31		248.00 AFA
C	ROSEMARY WARN		IRRIGATION FROM STORAGE	04-01 10-31		248.00 AFA
			STOCKWATER STORAGE	01-01 12-31		2.70 AFA
			STOCKWATER FROM STORAGE	01-01 12-31		2.70 AFA
			DIVERSION TO STORAGE	01-01 12-31	2.78 CFS	
			TOTAL DIVERSION:		2.78 CFS	248.00 AFA

Water Source: TOPPIN CREEK
STOVE CREEK

Tributary to: COBURN CREEK
SHEEP CREEK

Stage: BENEFICIAL USE

Point(s) of Diversion:

T07S R06W S35
T08S R06W S02

NWSESW
NWNWSW

OWYHEE County
OWYHEE County

POU County:

Other Rights: 55-10063

Conditions of Approval:

- N05 - THE QUANTITY OF WATER UNDER THIS RIGHT FOR STOCKWATER USE
- SHALL NOT EXCEED 13,000 GALLONS PER DAY.
- N08 - THE QUANTITY OF WATER DECREED FOR THIS WATER RIGHT FOR
- STOCKWATER USE IS NOT A DETERMINATION OF HISTORICAL BENEFICIAL
- USE.
- A07 - IN THE NEAR FUTURE IDWR WILL RECOMMEND A GENERAL PROVISION
- FOR THIS SUB-BASIN REGARDING THE INTERCONNECTION OF WATER
- RESOURCES WITHIN THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN. AN OPPORTUNITY WILL BE
- PROVIDED AT THAT TIME TO FILE AN OBJECTION WITH THE DISTRICT
- COURT TO THAT PROVISION. THIS WATER RIGHT WILL BE SUBJECT TO
- TO SUCH GENERAL PROVISIONS AS THE DISTRICT COURT DETERMINES
- NECESSARY FOR THE DEFINITION OF THE RIGHTS OR FOR THE EFFICIENT
- ADMINISTRATION OF WATER RIGHTS.

Remarks: QNT FACILITY VOLUME 300.0 AF.

POU1 PLACE OF USE INCLUDES THE IRRIGATION OF 139 ACRES WITHIN THE
STATE OF OREGON.

EXP STOCKWATER, 200 RANGE CATTLE
STORAGE FACILITY IS KNOWN AS SALT LICK RESERVOIR LOCATED IN
T32S, R46E, S24, W.M.
RIGHT NO. 55-10063 IS ALSO DIVERTED THROUGH POINT OF DIVERSION
LOCATED IN T08S, R06W, S02, NWNWSW.

Dates: Exam Made Date: 06/23/1992

Verified Date:

Objection Filed Date:

Misc: Water District Number:

Field Inspection Flag:
Presumption Flag:



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Western Region, 2735 Airport Way, Boise, Idaho 83705-5082 - (208) 334-2190
FAX (208) 334-2348

April 29, 1998

PHILIP E. BATT
GOVERNOR

KARL J. DREHER
DIRECTOR

RE: Notice of Claims to Water Rights

Terry Warn
P.O. Box 235
Jordan Valley, OR 97910

Dear Mr. Warn:

I have re-evaluated the acreage to be recommended under Rt. 55-04070A and have found that the addition of the small field in the NE corner of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S12, Twp. 6S, Rge. 6W increased the number of acres to be irrigated to 54. Enclosed, for your records, is a copy of the GIS shape file that I made this change on.

I also prepared your claim on Toppin Creek for the report that will be mailed to the judge next week. I found that I could recommend 139 acres under this claim. I also added a diversion to storage component since the reservoir was off-stream. Enclosed is a profile report of how this right will be recommended to the court.

If you have any questions in regard to these matters, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

KAY WALKER
Sr. Water Resource Agent
Adjudication Bureau

KW:k
Enclosures

AJ0749NP
No. R55-13685

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM PROFILE REPORT
(REGIONAL)

DATE: 04/29/98
PAGE: 1

OWNER CODE	NAME & ADDRESS	PRIORITY DATE	WATER USE	USE PERIOD FROM TO	DIVERSION RATE	DIVERSION VOLUME
C	TERRY V WARN	10/10/1958	IRRIGATION STORAGE	01-01 12-31		248.00 AFA
C	ROSEMARY WARN		IRRIGATION FROM STORAGE	04-15 10-15		248.00 AFA
	PO BOX 235		STOCKWATER STORAGE	01-01 12-31		2.70 AFA
	JORDAN VALLEY OR 97910		STOCKWATER FROM STORAGE	01-01 12-31		2.70 AFA
	(208) 583-2248		DIVERSION TO STORAGE	01-01 12-31	2.78 CFS	
TOTAL DIVERSION:					2.78 CFS	248.00 AFA

Water Source: TOPPIN CREEK
STOVE CREEK

Tributary to: COBURN CREEK
SHEEP CREEK

Stage: BENEFICIAL USE

Point(s) of Diversion:

T07S R06W S35
T08S R06W S02

NWSESW
NWNWSW

OWYHEE County
OWYHEE County

POU County:

Other Rights: 55-10063

Conditions of Approval:

- N05 - THE QUANTITY OF WATER UNDER THIS RIGHT FOR STOCKWATER USE
- SHALL NOT EXCEED 13,000 GALLONS PER DAY.
- N08 - THE QUANTITY OF WATER DECREED FOR THIS WATER RIGHT FOR
- STOCKWATER USE IS NOT A DETERMINATION OF HISTORICAL BENEFICIAL
- USE.

Remarks: QNT FACILITY VOLUME 300.0 AF.

POU1 PLACE OF USE INCLUDES THE IRRIGATION OF 139 ACRES WITHIN THE
STATE OF OREGON.

EXP STOCKWATER, 200 RANGE CATTLE
STORAGE FACILITY IS KNOWN AS SALT LICK RESERVOIR LOCATED IN
T32S, R46E, S24, W.M.
RIGHT NO. 55-10063 IS ALSO DIVERTED THROUGH POINT OF DIVERSION
LOCATED IN T08S, R06W, S02, NWNWSW.

Dates: Exam Made Date: 06/23/1992 Verified Date: 04/29/1998 Objection Filed Date:

Misc: Water District Number: Field Inspection Flag:
Presumption Flag:

AJ0749NP
No. R55-13685

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM PROFILE REPORT
(REGIONAL)

DATE: 04/29/98
PAGE: 2

=====

Comments: Date: 04/28/1998 User-ID: KWALKER Subject: COPIED FROM REMARKS
PLACE OF USE IS IN OREGON - TOTAL OF 128 ACRES IN SEC 23, 2
ACRES IN SEC 14, AND 21 ACRES IN SEC. 24, T32S, R46E, W.M. TOTAL
= 160 ACRES.
THIS CLAIM COVERS THE ADDITIONAL WATER STORED IN SALT LICK
RESERVOIR WHICH HOLDS 300 AF. CLAIM 55-10063 COVERS 52 ACRE FEET
FOUND TO BE STORED IN 1922.

Audit: 04/29/98 KWALKER

A55-13685

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT09:01:06
02/23/95
33PAGE: 16
PROFILE REPORT

CLAIM NUMBER: A55-10063

OWNER CODE	NAME & ADDRESS	PRIORITY DATE	WATER USE	USE PERIOD FROM TO	DIVERSION RATE	DIVERSION VOLUME
C	TERRY V. WARN	06/27/1922	IRRIGATION	04-01 11-01	3.02 CFS	
C	ROSEMARY WARN		IRRIGATION STORAGE	01-01 12-31		52.00 AFA
			IRRIGATION FROM STORAGE	04-01 11-01		52.00 AFA
			STOCKWATER	01-01 12-31	0.11 CFS	
			DIVERSION TO STORAGE	01-01 12-31	3.02 CFS	
			TOTAL DIVERSION:		3.02 CFS	52.00 AFA
			CONSUMPTIVE USE:			377.50 AFA

out-
covered
under
10063Stove Ck.
Toppin

Water Source: STOVE CREEK

Tributary to: SOLDIER CREEK

Stage: BENEFICIAL USE ✓

Point(s) of Diversion:

75 v 35
T8S R06W S02NWSE
NWNWSW

POU County:

OWYHEE County

Non-Irrigation Uses: S/500 RANGE

Other Rights: CLAIM TO BE FILED ON TOPPIN CREEK.

Remarks: POU IS IN OREGON TOTAL 128 ACRES IN SEC 23 AND 2 ACRES IN SEC 14 AND 21 ACRES IN SEC 24, T32S-R46E W.M. TOTAL = 151 ACRES.
SOURCES ARE STOVE CREEK AND TOPPIN CREEK BOTH TRIB. TO SOLDIER CREEK
POU IS 17 AC. NENE, 18 AC. NWNE, 3 AC SENE, 2 AC SWNE, 3 AC NENW, 31 AC SENW, 35 AC SWNW, 11 AC NWSE, 7 AC NESW, 1 AC NWSW IN SEC 23, 2 AC SESE SEC 14, AND 18 AC NWNW, 3 AC SWNW SEC 24 ALL IN T32S-R46E W.M.
RESERVOIR IS LOCATED IN NW1/4 SEC 24 T32S-R46E W.M. AND LOT 1 SEC 3 T8S-R6W B.M.
THIS CLAIM IS BASED PARTIALLY ON LICENSE NO. 55-2166.
PRIORITY DATE TAKEN FROM DATE OF ENGINEERS REPORT FOR LIC. NO. 55-2166.
CLAIM AMENDED TO TAKE TOPPIN CREEK OFF AND USE EARLIER PRIORITY DATE FOR STOVE CREEK WATER. ADDITIONAL CLAIM WILL BE FILED ON TOPPIN CREEK WHEN A PRIORITY DATE CAN BE IDENTIFIED. KW 7/3/91

Dates: Exam Made Date: 6-23-92 Verified Date:

Misc: Water District Number: Field Inspection Flag:
Presumption Flag:

same acres
irrig. as
under A55-10063

Need evidence of
priority & claim

Stock pond = 6' deep

Div. Works: Dam & Dirt Ditch

No How 4 Lots - Sec. 35.

No gov't lots for S35, 75, 6W

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

08:18:34
04/10/98
16

PAGE: 16
PROFILE REPORT

CLAIM NUMBER: A55-13685

OWNER CODE	NAME & ADDRESS	PRIORITY DATE	WATER USE	USE PERIOD FROM TO	DIVERSION RATE	DIVERSION VOLUME
C	TERRY V WARN ✓	10/10/1958	IRRIGATION STORAGE	01-01 12-31		248.00 AFA
C	ROSEMARY WARN ✓		IRRIGATION FROM STORAGE	04-15 10-15		248.00 AFA
			STOCKWATER STORAGE	01-01 12-31		2.70 AFA
			STOCKWATER FROM STORAGE	01-01 12-31		2.70 AFA
			Div to Storage 1-11-31		X	2.70 AFA
			TOTAL DIVERSION:			248.00 AFA ✓

Water Source: TOPPIN CREEK ✓
STOVE CREEK ✓

Tributary to: ~~SOLDIER CREEK~~ Coburn Ck
~~SOLDIER CREEK~~ Sheep Ck.

Stage: BENEFICIAL USE ✓

Point(s) of Diversion: T07S R06W S35 NWSESW
T08S R06W S02 NWNWSW

OWYHEE County
OWYHEE County

POU County:

Non-Irrigation Uses: STOCKWATER, 200 RANGE CATTLE EXP

Other Rights: 55-10063

Remarks: GEN PLACE OF USE IS IN OREGON - TOTAL OF 128 ACRES IN SEC 23, 240
ACRES IN SEC 14, AND 21 ACRES IN SEC. 24, T32S, R46E, W.M. TOTAL
= 160 ACRES. 15
THIS CLAIM COVERS THE ADDITIONAL WATER STORED IN SALT LICK
RESERVOIR WHICH HOLDS 300 AF. CLAIM 55-10063 COVERS 52 ACRE FEET
FOUND TO BE STORED IN 1922.

comments

Dates: Exam Made Date: 6/23/92 Verified Date: Objection Filed Date:
Misc: Water District Number: Field Inspection Flag:
Presumption Flag:

Exp = Storage Facility aka
Salt Lick Reservoir.
POU1 = J05 (139 ac)
QNT = Facility Volume 300.0 AF
Exp = F05 (55-10063, 8S, 6W, 2)

Claimant paid
\$151.00 for action
under A55-10063 -
see Receipt #
W001953. OK
to allow this
under right.

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

08:17:30
04/10/98

PAGE: 1
GENERAL INFORMATION

1
CLAIM NUMBER: A55-13685

LAST: WARN
ADDRESS: PO BOX 235
CITY: JORDAN VALLEY
PHONE NUMBER: 208-583-2248

FIRST: TERRY V ✓
STATE: OR ZIP: 97910

OWNER CODE: C

LAST: WARN
ADDRESS: PO BOX 235
CITY: JORDAN VALLEY
PHONE NUMBER: 208-583-2248

FIRST: ROSEMARY ✓
STATE: OR ZIP: 97910

OWNER CODE: C

FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

VERIFICATION REQUIRED DUE TO REMARKS?

DATABASE
Y

FIELD
EXAMINER
Y(✓) N()

CLAIM REMARKS: GEN

PLACE OF USE IS IN OREGON - TOTAL OF 128 ACRES IN SEC 23, 2
ACRES IN SEC 14, AND 21 ACRES IN SEC. 24, T32S, R46E, W.M. TOTAL
= 160 ACRES.

THIS CLAIM COVERS THE ADDITIONAL WATER STORED IN SALT LICK
RESERVOIR WHICH HOLDS 300 AF. CLAIM 55-10063 COVERS 52 ACRE FEET
FOUND TO BE STORED IN 1922.

*to
comments*

CONTACT PERSON: _____

PHONE NUMBER: (____) ____-____

DATE OF FIELD EXAM: __/__/__

APPOINTMENT MADE: Y(____) N(____)

TIME: ____:____ A(____) P(____)

ACCOMPANIED BY: _____

PHONE NUMBER: (____) ____-____

RELATIONSHIP TO CLAIMANT: _____

DIRECTIONS TO PROPERTY / NOTES:

Field exam. conducted, 6/23/92
when exam done on Stove Creek
#55-10063. Accompanied by Linda
Werner (IDWR) and Terry Warn
(claimant).

A. AJ CLAIM: # A55-13685 PRIORITY DATE: 10-10-1958 STAGE: J

B. WR RECORD: NO WATER RIGHT RECORD TO MATCH THIS AJ CLAIM

C. CAN THE PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED BE CONFIRMED BY A DECREE OR LICENSE?

Y() N(☒)

D. HAS THERE BEEN A LATER COURT DECREE OF THE WATER RIGHT(S) FROM THIS WATER SOURCE?

Y() N(☒)

E. IS THERE ANY INDICATION THAT THERE HAS BEEN A FIVE YEAR PERIOD OF CONTINUOUS NONUSE?
(IF YES, DESCRIBE BELOW)

Y() N(☒)

F. IF THIS CLAIM IS BASED ON A PERMIT, WHERE IS THE PERMIT IN THE LICENSING PROCESS?

PROOF OF BENEFICIAL USE SUBMITTED

N/A() Y() N()

FIELD EXAM COMPLETED

N/A() Y() N()

FIELD EXAM SENT TO STATE OFFICE

N/A() Y() N()

LICENSE ISSUED

N/A() Y() N()

* IF THE PERMIT WILL NOT BE LICENSED PRIOR TO THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT,
USE CONDITION CODE A01 AND RECOMMEND AS PERMITTED.

G. IF THIS CLAIM IS BASED ON BENEFICIAL USE, IS ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE
OF THE PRIORITY DATE REQUIRED?

N/A() Y() N(☒)

* FOR CLAIMS BASED ON BENEFICIAL USE, DESCRIBE THE EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY SUBMITTED,
INCLUDING THE ANALYSIS OF THE EVIDENCE.

H. IS THE STAGE OF THE CLAIM CODED CORRECTLY?

Y(☒) N()

NOTES:

Statement submitted by person
that constructed ~~ditch~~ into Salt
Lick Reservoir. ditch
from
toppin CR.
(KW 4/28/98)

RECOMMENDED PRIORITY DATE:

10-10-1958

REVIEWED BY

KW

DATE

4/28/98

RECEIVED

APR 09 1998

WATER RESOURCES
WESTERN REGION

April 9 1998

I Carl F. Fretwell
in the year 1958 October 10
constructed a dam converting
Topping Creek into Salt Lake
Reservoir.

A. AJ CLAIM POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	TWP. RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	POD FLAG	COUNTY	DIVERSION NAME	DIVERSION NUMBER
A55-13685	07S 06W	35	NW	SE	SW			OWYHEE		
A55-13685	08S 06W	2	NW	NW	SW			OWYHEE		

OTHER AJ CLAIMS WITH SAME AJ POD
A PREFIX R PREFIX
A55-10063 R55-10063

WR RECORDS IN SAME 40 ACRE TRACT

55-02166
55-07374

SOURCE: TOPPIN CREEK
STOVE CREEK

TRIB: SOLDIER CREEK
SOLDIER CREEK

B. WR POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

THERE IS NO WATER RIGHT RECORD WITH THE SAME CLAIM NUMBER

SOURCE: NO SOURCE FOR RECORD

TRIB: NO TRIBUTARY FOR RECORD

C. IS THE CLAIMED POD WITHIN THE SAME 40 ACRE TRACT THAT IS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE? N/A(✓) Y() N()

* IF NO, AND IF THE CHANGE IS VERIFIED AS AN ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN POD, DESCRIBE THE INJURY ANALYSIS PERFORMED AND ENTER FLAG "C" WITH THE APPROPRIATE EXPLANATORY CODE.

D. CAN THE CLAIMED POD BE VERIFIED USING THE WATER RIGHT FILE OR GPS DATA? N/A(✓) Y() N()

E. SHOULD THE CLAIMED POD BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM? Y(✓) N()

F. IS THE CLAIMED SOURCE THE SAME AS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE? N/A(✓) Y() N()

* IF NO, AND IF THE CHANGE IS VERIFIED AS AN ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN SOURCE, DESCRIBE THE INJURY ANALYSIS PERFORMED AND ENTER FLAG "C" WITH THE APPROPRIATE EXPLANATORY CODE.

G. CAN THE CLAIMED SOURCE BE VERIFIED USING THE WATER RIGHT FILE? Y() N(✓)

H. SHOULD THE CLAIMED SOURCE BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM? Y(✓) N()

I. HOW WAS THE POD AND SOURCE VERIFIED?

LICENSING FIELD EXAM (DATE OF FIELD EXAM _____) Y() N()

AJ FIELD EXAM (DATE OF FIELD EXAM 6/23/92) NAME OF EXAMINER K.W. & L.W. Y(✓) N()

OTHER (DESCRIBE IN NOTES BELOW)

NOTES: _____

RECOMMENDED POINT(S) OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE: CHECK HERE IF RECOMMENDED AS CLAIMED (✓)

TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	POD FLAG	COUNTY	DIVERSION NAME	DIVERSION NBR
07S	06W	35	NW	SE	SW	✓		OWYHEE	Toppin CK.	
08S	06W	2	NW	NW	SW	✓		OWYHEE	Stove CK.	

SOURCE: Toppin CK TRIB: Caburn CK
Stove CK Sheep CK

REVIEWED BY: KW DATE: 4/28/98

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION: DESCRIBED BELOW (✓), SEE LICENSE FILE (), OR SEE ATTACHMENT (✓ photos attached & photos in file A55-10063.)

2 large dirt ditches to dam area
Salt Lick Reservoir; ~~to stock~~
flood irrigation to stock pond & flood
irrigation west of road.

A. ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBERS: A55-13685

B. POU LISTING *See next sheet #6a*

TWP	RNG	SEC	1/4	1/4	LOT	NAME	AJ #/ACRES	WR #/ACRES	LANDSAT DATA	FIELD EXAM.	RECOMM. ACRES
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------	---------------	---------------	-----------------	----------------	------------------

ADDITIONAL POU FOUND

*P/U on same land as A55-10063
all in State of Oregon. Use POU 1-J05 (139ac)*

TOTAL ACRES A55-13685

POU COUNTY:

C. IS WATER USAGE FOUND IN TRACTS OTHER THAN THOSE CLAIMED?

Y() N(☒)

* IF YES, ARE THESE TRACTS LISTED ABOVE (), OR ARE THEY LISTED ON AN ATTACHED SHEET ()

LIST OF ALL RIGHTS WITH A SAME POU AND IRRIGATION USAGE

NO CLAIMS FOUND WITH SAME POU AND IRRIGATION USAGE

NO RIGHTS WITH SAME POU BUT NOT USED FOR IRRIGATION

D. ARE OTHER WATER RIGHTS USED FOR THE SAME PURPOSE?

Y(☒) N()

* IF YES, COMPLETE OVERLAP REVIEW:

OTHER RIGHTS LISTED IN CLAIM: 55-10063

could have up to 5.0 AF/AC - 139ac x 5.0 = 695 AF - both claims

E. IS THE CLAIMED POU THE SAME AS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE?

N/A(☒) Y() N()

* IF NO, AND IF THE CHANGE IS VERIFIED AS AN ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN POU, DESCRIBE THE INJURY ANALYSIS PERFORMED AND ENTER FLAG "C" WITH THE APPROPRIATE EXPLANATORY CODE.

F. CAN THE CLAIMED POU BE VERIFIED USING THE WATER RIGHT FILE AND AVAILABLE AERIAL PHOTOS?

N/A(☒) Y() N()

G. SHOULD THE CLAIMED POU BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM?

Y(☒) N()

H. IS THERE ANY INDICATION THAT THERE HAS BEEN A FIVE YEAR PERIOD OF CONTINUOUS NONUSE OF THIS RIGHT, OR ANY PORTION OF THIS RIGHT?

Y() N(☒)

when combined do not have this amount - storage facility = 300.0 AF

[illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible]

CHECK DOCUMENTS USED TO VERIFY POU:

☒ ORTHOPHOTOQUAD, IDWR NO. AA52

☒ TOPOGRAPHIC QUAD, IDWR NO. AA52 & AA53

☐ NAPP, IDWR NO. _____

☐ ASCS PHOTO;

☐ OTHER _____

NOTES:

RECOMMENDATIONS:

TOTAL ACRES:

139

N/A()

REVIEWED BY:

KW

DATE:

4/28/98

A. AJ CLAIMED USES:

	PURPOSE OF USE	PERIOD OF USE (MONTH/DAY)	DIV. RATE FOR EACH USE	DIV. VOL. FOR EACH USE
A55-13685	IRRIGATION STORAGE	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31		248.00 AF/A
A55-13685	IRRIGATION FROM STORAGE	FROM 04-15 TO 10-15		248.00 AF/A
A55-13685	STOCKWATER STORAGE	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31		2.70 AF/A
A55-13685	STOCKWATER FROM STORAGE	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31		2.70 AF/A
	TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME			248.00 AF/A

B. WR RECORDED USES:

PURPOSE OF USE	PERIOD OF USE (MONTH/DAY)	DIV. RATE FOR EACH USE	DIV. VOL. FOR EACH USE
----------------	---------------------------	------------------------	------------------------

C. DOES THE CLAIMED PURPOSE OF USE CORRECTLY DESCRIBE THE WATER USE?

Y() N(☒)

D. IS THE CLAIMED PURPOSE OF USE THE SAME AS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE?

N/A(☒) Y() N()

* IF NO, AND IF THE ACTUAL WATER USE IS VERIFIED AS AN ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN PURPOSE OF USE, DESCRIBE THE INJURY ANALYSIS PERFORMED AND ENTER FLAG "C" WITH THE APPROPRIATE EXPLANATORY CODE.

E. SHOULD THE CLAIMED PURPOSE OF USE BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM?

Y(☒) N()

F. DOES THE CLAIMED PERIOD OF USE CONFORM TO IDWR STANDARDS?

Y(☒) N()

G. SHOULD THE PERIOD OF USE BE RECOMMENDED TO IDWR STANDARDS?

Y(☒) N()

* IF THE RECOMMENDED PERIOD OF USE IS DIFFERENT THAN IDWR STANDARDS, EXPLAIN IN NOTES BELOW.

H. IS THE CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE FOR EACH USE THE SAME AS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE?

N/A(☒) Y() N()

* IF NO, DESCRIBE IN NOTES BELOW HOW THE RECOMMENDED DIVERSION RATE FOR EACH USE WAS DETERMINED.

I. SHOULD THE CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE FOR EACH USE BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM?

N/A() Y(☒) N()

J. IS THE CLAIMED DIVERSION VOLUME FOR EACH USE THE SAME AS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE?

N/A(☒) Y() N()

* IF NO, DESCRIBE IN NOTES BELOW HOW THE RECOMMENDED DIVERSION VOLUME FOR EACH USE WAS DETERMINED.

K. SHOULD THE CLAIMED DIVERSION VOLUME FOR EACH USE BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM?

N/A() Y() N(☒)

*add
diversion
to storage
parameter*

NOTES: Oregon & Idaho water rights are
copied & in file A55-10063. See that
file for documents showing storage
capacity.

AJ CLAIM TOTAL DIVERSION RATE:

CFS

WR ROOT RIGHT TOTAL DIVERSION RATE:

CFS

A. IS THE CLAIMED TOTAL DIVERSION RATE THE SAME AS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE?

N/A(✓) Y() N()

B. CAN THE CLAIMED TOTAL DIVERSION RATE BE VERIFIED USING THE WATER RIGHT FILE?

N/A() Y() N(✓)

* ALL CLAIMS BASED ON BENEFICIAL USE (INCLUDING POSTED NOTICES AND STATUTORY CLAIMS) REQUIRE A FLOW MEASUREMENT.

C. IS THERE ANY INDICATION THAT THERE HAS BEEN A FIVE YEAR PERIOD OF CONTINUOUS NONUSE OF THIS RIGHT, OR ANY PORTION OF THE DIVERSION RATE?

Y() N(✓)

* IF AN AMOUNT SMALLER THAN THE ORIGINAL DIVERSION RATE IS CLAIMED AND A FIELD EXAM IS NOT REQUIRED, EXPLAIN IN NOTES.

D. SHOULD THE CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM?

Y() N(✓)

E.

FLOW MEASUREMENT

MEASUREMENT METHOD

Mannings Equation

SEE ATTACHED CALCULATIONS.

F.

RATE/VOLUME CALCULATIONS: (IRRIGATION)

1a. IRRIGATION RATE

ACRES 139 x 0.02 CFS/ACRE = 2.78 CFS
(Taken from pou review)

1b. CALCULATIONS

IS A DIVERSION RATE PER ACRE GREATER THAN 0.02 CFS/ACRE CLAIMED? Y() N(✓)

IF YES, IS AN ADDITIONAL WATER USE FORM FILED AND ATTACHED? Y() N(✓)

2. IRRIGATION DIVERSION VOLUME

CLAIMED DIVERSION VOLUME = 248.00 AF/A

ACRES _____ x DIVERSION VOL. AF/A FOR AREA _____ =

TOTAL DIVERSION VOLUME _____ AF/A

3. IRRIGATION CONSUMPTIVE USE

CLAIMED CONSUMPTIVE USE = _____ AF/A

ACRES _____ x CONSUMPTIVE VOL. AF/A FOR AREA _____ =

TOTAL CONSUMPTIVE USE _____ AF/A

4. CALCULATIONS FOR USES OTHER THAN IRRIGATION: SEE BELOW () OR SEE ATTACHMENT ()

139 ac x 5.0 AF = 695 AF System does not provide allowable 5.0 acre-feet per acre.

139 acres total under 55-10063 which covers 52.0 acre feet storage & under this claim which covers the remaining storage water under later priority date.

NOTES:

Add "Diversion to Storage" parameter to Claim. Both ditches are off-stream so this addition just clarifies the right in our records.

Allow: $139 \text{ ac} \times 0.02 = 2.78 \text{ cfs}$

REC. PURPOSE OF USE	REC. PERIOD FROM TO		REC. DIV RATE CFS	REC. DIV VOL. AF/A	REC. CONS. USE
IRRIGATION STORAGE	01-01	12-31	DATABASE EXAMINER	DATABASE EXAMINER	DATABASE EXAMINER
IRRIGATION FROM STORAGE	04-15	10-15	248.00	248.00	0.0
STOCKWATER STORAGE	01-01	12-31	2.70	2.70	0.0
STOCKWATER FROM STORAGE	01-01	12-31	2.70	2.70	0.0
<i>Div to Storage</i>	<i>1-1</i>	<i>12-31</i>	<i>2.78</i>		
TOTAL FOR THIS RIGHT			<i>2.78</i>	<i>248.0</i>	
NON-IRRIGATION USES CLAIMED: STOCKWATER, 200 RANGE CATTLE EXP					
NON-IRRIGATION USES RECOMMENDED: CHECK HERE IF RECOMMENDED AS CLAIMED					

DATE:

STANDARD CONDITIONS (CODE):

STANDARD REMARKS (CODE):

CONDITIONS (CODE):
N05, N08

EXPLANATORY REMARKS (CODE):
EXP = F05(55-10063, p/d in 8S, 6W, 5Z, NW/NWSW.

A. CHECK ONLY THE FOLLOWING THAT APPLY:

	ACCEPTED AS CLAIMED	NEEDS REVIEW
OWNERSHIP _____	(✓)	()
FORFEIT/ABANDON _____	()	()
ADDITIONAL DIV. AMOUNT _____	()	()

B. SPLIT WATER RIGHT REVIEW

ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 55-13685

N/A

TOTAL DIVERSION RATE OF WR SPLITS

DATABASE

EXAMINER

CFS

SPLITS:

OLD RIGHT
NUMBERS

CURRENT
CLAIM NUMBERS

DIVERSION
RATE

CURRENT "R"
CLAIM NUM.

"R" DIV.
RATE

WR RIGHT
NUMBERS

DIVERSION
RATE

-

A55-13685

-

-

TOTAL CFS

DIVERSION RATE REVIEW

DO THE SUM CFS RATE OF THE SPLITS EQUAL THE ROOT RIGHT CFS RATE? (Y/N)
IF NO, IS THE WRITTEN REVIEW DESCRIBED BELOW () OR SEE ATTACHMENT ()?

DATABASE
Y

FIELD
EXAMINER
Y() N()

NOTES:

AUTHENTICATION:

SIGNATURE:

Ray Walker

DATE:

4/29/98

NAME OF FIELD EXAMINER:

RAY WALKER

TITLE:


Sr. Agent

MAPS AND AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS USED TO REVIEW THIS NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT

TYPE OF MAP OR PHOTOGRAPH (CHECK IF USED)	IF USED, SUPPLY APPROPRIATE DATE AND OTHER INFORMATION	COPY INCLUDED IN FILE?
☒ ORTHOPHOTOQUAD	DATE OF PHOTO <u>AA52-1979</u> IDWR ID NO. <u>882-1974</u>	Y(☒) N(☐)
☒ TOPOGRAPHIC	DATE FIELD CHECKED <u>2AA52-1969</u> IDWR ID NO. <u>AA53-1990</u>	Y(☐) N(☐)
☐ NAPP	DATE OF PHOTO _____ IDWR ID NO. _____	Y(☒) N(☐)
☐ ASCS AERIAL PHOTO	DATE OF PHOTO _____	Y(☐) N(☐)
☐ ASCS COLOR SLIDE	DATE OF PHOTO _____	Y(☐) N(☐)
☐ BLM OR USFS AERIAL PHOTO	DATE OF PHOTO _____	Y(☐) N(☐)
☐ TOWNSHIP SURVEY (GOVERNMENT PLAT)	DATE OF SURVEY _____	Y(☐) N(☐)
☐ SCS SOIL SURVEY MAP	DATE ISSUED _____	Y(☐) N(☐)
☐ SUBDIVISION PLAT MAP	DATE OF MAP _____	Y(☐) N(☐)
☐ OTHER _____	DATE _____	Y(☐) N(☐)
☐ OTHER _____	DATE _____	Y(☐) N(☐)
☐ OTHER _____	DATE _____	Y(☐) N(☐)


LIST OF ATTACHMENTS (CHECK IF ATTACHED)	IF ATTACHED, SUPPLY APPROPRIATE INFORMATION	NO. OF PAGES	NOTES
☐ LICENSING FIELD EXAM	DATE OF FIELD EXAM _____	_____	_____
☐ WATER RIGHT LICENSE	DATE LICENSE SIGNED _____	_____	_____
☐ IDWR APPROVED TRANSFER	DATE TRANSFER APPROVED _____	_____	_____
☐ SYSTEM DESCRIPTION	DATE DRAWN _____	_____	_____
☒ PHOTOGRAPHS	DATE(S) PHOTOS TAKEN _____	<u>1</u>	<u>see file A55-10063</u>
☐ SPLIT RIGHT REVIEW	DATE REVIEW COMPLETED _____	_____	<u>for photo's of</u>
☐ HUBBLE ANALYSIS	DATE ANALYSIS COMPLETED _____	_____	<u>Stove Creek Diversion</u>
☐ CONVEYANCE LOSS ANALYSIS	DATE ANALYSIS COMPLETED _____	_____	_____
☒ FLOW MEASUREMENT CALCULATIONS	DATE SYSTEM MEASURED <u>6-23-92</u>	<u>8</u>	_____
☐ OTHER _____	DATE _____	_____	_____
☐ OTHER _____	DATE _____	_____	_____






. Toppin Creek
A55-13685

Photos taken 6-23-92
by kw & lw



Dirt Dam
& Ditch-
P/D on
Toppin
Creek-
Looking
upstream
towards
dam.



Ditch from
p/d - Point
where we
conducted
Mannings
Measurement.

~~Looking~~
~~upstream~~
~~towards dam~~ kw

MANNING EQUATION CHANNEL CAPACITY RESULTS

02-15-1995

File Number: A55-10063

Claimant: TERRY WARN

Channel measured: TOPPIN CREEK

Date of measurement: JUNE 23 1992

Measurement location: *SESWSW - KW*

P/D
↓
~~NWSESW~~ SEC 35 T07S R06W

Calculation prepared by: KAY WALKER

Comments about the measurement:

The results of the calculation are:

The length of the channel measured is 134 feet.

The upstream and downstream rod readings are 1.67 and 11.54 feet.

The channel cross section is 9.29 ft-sq.

The wetted perimeter is 9.94 feet.

The hydraulic radius is 0.94 feet.

The slope is 0.0737

The roughness coefficient is 0.0287

The flow velocity is 13.450 ft/sec.

The rate of flow is 125.00 cfs.

CROSS SECTION NUMBER 1

For File Number A55-10063 for the TOPPIN CREEK

Number	Rod Reading	Water Depth	Distance
1	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.39	0.39	1.00
3	0.85	0.85	2.00
4	1.20	1.20	3.00
5	1.49	1.49	4.00
6	1.50	1.50	5.00
7	1.40	1.40	6.00
8	1.20	1.20	7.00
9	1.03	1.03	8.00
10	0.39	0.39	9.00
11	0.00	0.00	9.20

The area for this cross section is 9.29 square feet
and the wetted perimeter is 9.94 feet.

Claim No(s):

A55-10063

Name of Claimant:

T. Warm

Name of Ditch, Canal, or Stream Name:

Tappin Creek

Date of Measurement:

6-23-92

Data Collected By:

Kay Walker, Linda

Measurement Location:

NWSE 1/4 SW

1/4

Section

35

T

7S

R

6W

Werner

General Description and Comments

Cross-section Data

Basis: High water mark ☒ Maximum capacity ()

Note: A minimum of five readings is necessary; first and last rod reading must be equal or zero.

Depth or Rod Reading	Dist. from Initial Point	Depth or Rod Reading	Dist. from Initial Point
1. 0	0 LEW	11. _____	_____
2. .39	1. '	12. _____	_____
3. .85	2. '	13. _____	_____
4. 1.20	3. '	14. _____	_____
5. 1.49	4. '	15. _____	_____
6. 1.50	5. '	16. _____	_____
7. 1.40	6. '	17. _____	_____
8. 1.20	7. '	18. _____	_____
9. 1.03	8. '	19. _____	_____
10. .39	9. '	20. _____	_____
0	9.2 REW		

Slope Data

Basis: High water mark () Channel bottom () Land surface ☒

Channel length from cross section: Upstream

62'

Downstream

72'

Total channel length used for slope measurement:

134'

Rod reading at engineers level: _____

Upstream Rod Readings

TOP 1.98

MIDDLE 1.67

BOTTOM 1.36

Downstream Rod Readings

TOP 11.91

MIDDLE 11.54

BOTTOM 11.19

Upstream rod reading 1.67 - High Water Mark(if taken from channel bottom) _____ = _____

Downstream rod reading 11.54 - High Water Mark(if taken from channel bottom) _____ = _____

Note: The downstream rod reading must be higher than the upstream rod reading.

Are maps and/or photos attached? Yes ()

MANNING'S "n" (roughness coefficient)

BASIC "n" CHANNEL MATERIAL				CHANNEL OBSTRUCTIONS			
earth	.020	/	(1)	negligible	.000	/	(1)
rock	.025		(2)	minor	.010		(2)
fine gravel	.024		(3)		.015		(3)
coarse gravel	.028		(4)	appreciable	.020		(4)
					.030		(5)
				severe	.040		(6)
					.050		(7)
					.060		(8)
SURFACE IRREGULARITY				VEGETATION			
smooth	.000	/	(1)	low	.005	/	(1)
minor	.005		(2)		.010		(2)
moderate	.010		(3)	medium	.015		(3)
severe	.020		(4)		.020		(4)
					.025		(5)
				high	.030		(6)
					.040		(7)
					.050		(8)
				very high	.060		(9)
					.075		(10)
					.100		(11)
VARIATIONS IN SIZE AND SHAPE OF CROSS-SECTION				DEGREE OF MEANDERING			
gradual	.000	/	(1)	minor	1.00		(1)
occasional	.005		(2)	appreciable	1.15	/	(2)
frequent	.010		(3)	severe	1.30		(3)
	.015		(4)				

MANNING EQUATION CHANNEL CAPACITY RESULTS

02-15-1995

File Number: A55-10063

Claimant: TERRY WARN

Channel measured: STOVE CREEK

Date of measurement: JUNE 23 1992

Measurement location:

NWNWSW SEC 02 T08S R06W

Calculation prepared by: KAY WALKER

Comments about the measurement:

The results of the calculation are:

The length of the channel measured is 106 feet.

The upstream and downstream rod readings are 3.20 and 6.37 feet.

The channel cross section is 12.49 ft-sq.

The wetted perimeter is 11.80 feet.

The hydraulic radius is 1.06 feet.

The slope is 0.0299

The roughness coefficient is 0.0900

The flow velocity is 2.975 ft/sec.

The rate of flow is 37.16 cfs.

*copy-see
original in
A55-10063*

CROSS SECTION NUMBER 1

For File Number A55-10063 for the STOVE CREEK

Number	Rod Reading	Water Depth	Distance
1	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.80	0.80	1.00
3	1.48	1.48	2.00
4	1.40	1.40	3.20
5	1.41	1.41	4.00
6	1.60	1.60	5.00
7	1.50	1.50	6.00
8	1.18	1.18	7.00
9	0.92	0.92	8.00
10	0.84	0.84	9.00
11	0.73	0.73	10.00
12	0.00	0.00	10.90

The area for this cross section is 11.83 square feet
and the wetted perimeter is 11.77 feet.

CROSS SECTION NUMBER 2

For File Number A55-10063 for the STOVE CREEK

Number	Rod Reading	Water Depth	Distance
1	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.92	0.92	1.00
3	1.08	1.08	2.00
4	1.90	1.90	3.00
5	1.44	1.44	4.00
6	1.63	1.63	5.00
7	1.76	1.76	6.00
8	1.59	1.59	7.00
9	1.28	1.28	8.00
10	1.11	1.11	9.00
11	0.56	0.56	10.00
12	0.00	0.00	10.60

The area for this cross section is 13.16 square feet
and the wetted perimeter is 11.83 feet.

Claim No. (S): 1122 1000
Name of Claimant: T. Warm
Name of Ditch, Canal, or Stream Name: Stone Creek
Date of Measurement: 5-23-92 Data Collected By: Ray Walker, Linda Werner
Measurement Location: NNW 1/4 SW 1/4 Section 2 T 8S R 6W

General Description and Comments

#1 Cross-section Data

Notes: High water mark ☒ Maximum capacity ()

Note: A minimum of five readings is necessary; first and last rod reading must be equal or zero.

Depth or Rod Reading	Dist. from Initial Point	Depth or Rod Reading	Dist. from Initial Point
<u>0</u>	<u>0 REW</u>	11. <u>.73</u>	<u>10'</u>
<u>.80</u>	<u>1.0</u>	12. <u>0</u>	<u>10.9' LEW</u>
<u>1.48</u>	<u>2.0</u>	13. _____	_____
<u>1.40</u>	<u>3.2</u>	14. _____	_____
<u>1.41</u>	<u>4.0</u>	15. _____	_____
<u>1.60</u>	<u>5.0</u>	16. _____	_____
<u>1.50</u>	<u>6.0</u>	17. _____	_____
<u>1.18</u>	<u>7.0</u>	18. _____	_____
<u>.92</u>	<u>8.0</u>	19. _____	_____
<u>.84</u>	<u>9.0</u>	20. _____	_____

Slope Data

Notes: High water mark ☒ Channel bottom () Land surface ()

Channel length from cross section: Upstream 60' Downstream 46'

Actual channel length used for slope measurement: 106'

Reading at engineers level: _____

Upstream Rod Readings
TOP 3.5
MIDDLE 3.2
BOTTOM 2.9

Downstream Rod Readings
TOP 6.6
MIDDLE 6.37
BOTTOM 6.14

Upstream rod reading 3.2 - High Water Mark (if taken from channel bottom) _____ = _____

Downstream rod reading 6.37 - High Water Mark (if taken from channel bottom) _____ = _____

Note: The downstream rod reading must be higher than the upstream rod reading.

Are maps and/or photos attached? Yes ()

MANNING'S "n" (roughness coefficient)

BASIC "n" CHANNEL MATERIAL				CHANNEL OBSTRUCTIONS			
earth	.020		(1)	negligible	.000		(1)
rock	.025	X	(2)	minor	.010		(2)
fine gravel	.024		(3)		.015		(3)
coarse gravel	.028		(4)	appreciable	.020		(4)
					.030	X	(5)
				severe	.040		(6)
					.050		(7)
					.060		(8)
				SURFACE IRREGULARITY			
smooth	.000		(1)	low	.005		(1)
minor	.005		(2)		.010		(2)
moderate	.010	X	(3)	medium	.015	X	(3)
severe	.020		(4)		.020		(4)
					.025		(5)
				high	.030		(6)
					.040		(7)
					.050		(8)
				very high	.060		(9)
					.075		(10)
					.100		(11)
VARIATIONS IN SIZE AND SHAPE OF CROSS-SECTION				DEGREE OF MEANDERING			
gradual	.000		(1)	minor	1.00	X	(1)
occasional	.005		(2)	appreciable	1.15		(2)
frequent	.010	X	(3)	severe	1.30		(3)
	.015		(4)				

aim NO(s): _____
ne of Claimant: T. Warm
ne of Ditch, Canal, or Stream Name: Stone Creek
e of Measurement: 6-23-92 Data Collected By: _____
asurement Location: _____ 1/4 _____ 1/4 Section _____ T _____ R _____

General Description and Comments

(#2) Cross-section Data

sis: High water mark ☒ Maximum capacity ()

ge: A minimum of five readings is necessary; first and last rod reading must be equal or zero.

<u>Depth or Rod Reading</u>	<u>Dist. from Initial Point</u>	<u>Depth or Rod Reading</u>	<u>Dist. from Initial Point</u>
<u>0 LEW</u>	<u>0</u>	11. <u>.56</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>.92</u>	<u>1.</u>	12. <u>0</u>	<u>10.6 REW</u>
<u>1.08</u>	<u>2.</u>	13. _____	_____
<u>1.90</u>	<u>3.</u>	14. _____	_____
<u>1.44</u>	<u>4.</u>	15. _____	_____
<u>1.63</u>	<u>5.</u>	16. _____	_____
<u>1.76</u>	<u>6.</u>	17. _____	_____
<u>1.59</u>	<u>7.</u>	18. _____	_____
<u>1.28</u>	<u>8.</u>	19. _____	_____
<u>1.11</u>	<u>9.</u>	20. _____	_____

Slope Data

sis: High water mark () Channel bottom () Land surface ()

annel length from cross section: Upstream _____ Downstream _____

cal channel length used for slope measurement: _____

d reading at engineers level: _____

stream rod reading _____ - High Water Mark(if taken from channel bottom) _____ = _____

wnstream rod reading _____ - High Water Mark(if taken from channel bottom) _____ = _____

ge: The downstream rod reading must be higher than the upstream rod reading.

e maps and/or photos attached? Yes ()

Upstream Rod Readings
TOP _____
MIDDLE _____
BOTTOM _____

Downstream Rod Readings
TOP _____
MIDDLE _____
BOTTOM _____

MANNING'S "n" (roughness coefficient)

BASIC "n" CHANNEL MATERIAL				CHANNEL OBSTRUCTIONS			
earth	.020		(1)	negligible	.000		(1)
rock	.025		(2)	minor	.010		(2)
fine gravel	.024		(3)		.015		(3)
coarse gravel	.028		(4)	appreciable	.020		(4)
					.030		(5)
				severe	.040		(6)
					.050		(7)
					.060		(8)
SURFACE IRREGULARITY				VEGETATION			
smooth	.000		(1)	low	.005		(1)
minor	.005		(2)		.010		(2)
moderate	.010		(3)	medium	.015		(3)
severe	.020		(4)		.020		(4)
					.025		(5)
				high	.030		(6)
					.040		(7)
					.050		(8)
				very high	.060		(9)
					.075		(10)
					.100		(11)
VARIATIONS IN SIZE AND SHAPE OF CROSS-SECTION				DEGREE OF MEANDERING			
gradual	.000		(1)	minor	1.00		(1)
occasional	.005		(2)	appreciable	1.15		(2)
frequent	.010		(3)	severe	1.30		(3)
	.015		(4)				



State of Idaho
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Western Region, 2735 Airport Way, Boise, Idaho 83705-5082 - (208) 334-2190
FAX (208) 334-2348

PHILIP E. BATT
GOVERNOR

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
DIRECTOR

March 10, 1995

RE: Notice of Claims to Water Rights

Terry V. or Rosemary Warn
P.O. Box 235
Jordan Valley, OR 97910

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Warn:

I have finally reviewed your claims, submitted in the Snake River Basin Adjudication, and feel comfortable that I can relay what we need in addition to what you have already submitted.

As we previously discussed, you need to submit an additional claim for the water you are diverting into Salt Lick Reservoir. You have claimed 52 acre-feet of storage under No. A55-10063, however, in looking at your Oregon Water Right Certificates Nos. R-499 and R-501, and in talking with the Oregon Water Resource Department, I found your reservoir has a facility volume of 300 acre-feet. Since the water you store is diverted in Idaho, you need to file another claim for storage of the additional water. I believe that we should prepare the claim for Stove Creek and Toppin Creek water, since both sources are diverted into your reservoir. You will need to come up with a date of priority that reflects the date of enlargement of the dam and/or date that Toppin Creek was diverted to the reservoir. We will need to have evidence of the date of priority you claim. If you did not add Toppin Creek around the time of enlargement, you may want to submit two claims, one for the enlargement and one for addition of Toppin Creek as a source.

In regard to the reservoir that is south-west of Salt Lick Reservoir, I see that the ditch you utilize and Toppin Creek come together in the N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, Section 23, Twp. 32S, Rge. 46E, W.M., making any water diverted below that point Oregon water. You may want to contact the State of Oregon to record that water use if you have not previously done so.

After reviewing your claim for Lone Tree Creek, A55-04070, I found we can recommend only 56 acres under your 1904 priority date. You had indicated that the field south of your home was not irrigated until 1953 and the rest of the fields were sub-irrigated. You need to file a claim for the land located in the 1953 field and provide evidence of it's priority date.

I found that the measurement we made on your Lone Tree Creek diversion did not come up with enough water. I would like to come up sometime this spring and make another measurement of your ditches from Lone Tree Creek if you are agreeable.

I am enclosing several "Notice of Claim to Water Right" forms for your convenience. However, if you would like to come into the office I would be happy to assist you in filing the additional claims. If you have any questions in regard to this letter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Kay Walker". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the typed name.

KAY WALKER
Sr. Water Resource Agent
Adjudication Bureau

KW:k
Enclosures

Reservoir enlarged in 1950's

Claim necessary for 1919 priority for 54.8 AF
from Store co.


What is reservoir capacity?

OR license

1.375 cfs - 110 Ac - 3-29-1919

Enlarged res. by 24.8.2 AF in 1921

Ditch # 2^a dimensions from POD # 2 = 4.4' W x 11' D
& 2b dimension



Ditch # 3 msmts. = .6' D x 4' W - Max. capacity

For M. Warr. Need to go to Store co. &
look at his diversion & POD.

Terry Warm

1. A55-4070 - Conveyance loss 1/2 or less. etc.
2. POD in sec. 13, NWNW - Is it a shared diversion?
3. ~~Missouri~~ (homestead) patent info. on: Sec. 13, NW SW & Sec. 18, SENE. Also, does he have an affidavit on anything else.

A55-10063

1. Partial expansion from 55-2166?
Licensed for 110 ac. & claim for 151 Ac.
2. The POD for license & claim is the same.
3. Storage right priority is 1922. Did they actually start use then? Priority of license is 1936.
4. OR right priority is 3-29-1919 but that is from OR water.
5. Do memt. on div. to storage

T Warm

Topping on 4 pds top on. use the same ground.

Topping on would be 1957 priority
The other place west of the main
reservoir should have another filling.

Question is - does the Topping on H_2O
also use the lands around the
smaller reservoir.

See NAPP AA52 SE

T, Warm

Toppin cr. - T. Warm
1953 priority - No claim.
✓ POD & POD

Mohave lie right still in. It's just N. of
the land on stat claim.

T. Warm
Store cr.
✓ 1. POD - dirt dam to ditch - looking upstream.
✓ 2. Ditch @ main. site looking upstream to
dam.
✓ 3. Toppin cr. dam & dirt ditch
✓ Do there a spring on Store cr. filed by
BLM? yes - 55-07041.

Toppin cr. in. land by the no. west of
the Store cr. riv. Water conveyed to
this riv. by ditch. - Ditch joins Toppin Cr.
in Sec 23 then Toppin cr. conveys to 2nd Res.

6/30/2001 Send him a brochure on min.
stream flow.