




AS1-00121







POO #1 5/10/96
D067+1562



POD #2
D067 + 1502

5/22/1996



① Southern
Pod



Pod's in
Three Creek
Ties Rile S.3
NENE NW

Pictures taken
10/02/1993
by H. M. M. M. M.

② Pod close
to Road
(picture taken
from road, looking
south)



Photo taken 10/03/1993 by M. Minicucci



Photo taken from road in section of S. 34,
looking back toward Three Creek & ~~Road~~
Ranch Vign's house & barn.

ASI-00121
T155 R11E S.34
ASCS (1987)
Scale: 1 mile $\approx$ 8.125 inches

27
34

ASI-00121
(ASCS
1987)
155 11E
S.34


27
34

2
9.2

34
3

155 11E

34
3



A51-00121

ASCS
1988

16S 11E
S.3

33 | 34

15S

16S

43

A51-00121

T16S R11E S.3

ASCS (1987)

Scale: 1 mile $\approx$ 8.125 inches

177

1224

T.1232

2.4

20
28

11.8

81


512

17.6

43

9 | 10

MAP DRAWN BY CHARLY LEISEN, THREE CREEK RANCH MANAGER



Idaho Dept

of

Water Resources

T15S, R11E, S34

T 16S, R11E, S03

Claim(s) : 51-00121

Owner(s): Three Creek RanchCo

Prepared by: Sue Bock

Date: 5/11/1998

22

Acres



Place of Use




Point of Diversion




Section Lines



1/4 1/4 lines



1987 NAPP



0.25

0.5 Miles

Total Acres = 30

51-00121

5/16/96

M.M. took
photos

Orange Dam in
Creek Ditch on left
to POU Ditch on
Right good new here

POD #1



Mannings
measurement
location
POD No 1
3 creek
NEWS 3



51-00121 photos taken by M.M. 5/16/98



End of ditch
which leaves
three creek on
the east side
POD No 1
Dead ends here-
side channels/
old ditches?
throughout willow
in NWNE, NENE
Sec 3



Wet area
E of 3 creek
& S. of Hwy
No direct
diversion
Found-
Sub irrigation

51-C0121 photos taken by M.M. 5/16/94



POD # 2
3Creek
Comes in
From the
left turns
through
wooden
head box
Div is on
right side,
which is
~~ced~~
culverted
under Hwy.



POD # 2
3Creek turns
through wooden
headbox.
Drainage on RT
is wastewater
From Neighbor's
Fields to the
East
Diversion is
culverted
under Hwy
to Fields
to the North

51-CO121 photos taken by M.M. 5/16/1996



3 creek
culverted
under hwy
NENENW
Sec 3



Culvert on S
Side of Hwy
From POD
NO. 1
NENENW
S 3

E1-00121

photos taken by M.M. 5/16/1996



POD # 1
culvert on N
Side of Hwy
Nampa NW
Sec 3



POD From
where
driveway
meets Hwy
looking N.

51-00121

Photos taken by M.M. Sluic 98



Pos from
driveway
looking E
along Hwy
Eastern most
Field is
naturally
irrigated by
Estes Draw
+ wastewater



Manning S
measurement
location N
of Hwy +
east of
driveway
Sluic SW
Sec 34
NWNE SW
Sec 3

51-00121

photos taken by M.M.

5/16/1996



Manning
Measurement
location PAD
NO. 2
SE SW 34

5/22/1996

Eastern most
3 creek Field
Receives water
From Estes Draw
although Mr.
Liesen states
no div from
Estes Draw
Wastewater
may also
flow into
this non-ditch



51-00121

photos taken by M.M.

5/22/1996



3 Creek Fields
From Hwy
SE SW S 34
Ditch from
Wooden
headgate x
road



PD #2
Wastewater
entering from
E (left) 3 creek
Flows toward
fence that
bends through
head box
Board in
headbox
to divert
water into
ditch, under
fence road
to pond

51-00121 photos taken by M.M. 5/22/1996



3 Creek Fields
51-00121
51-00126
photo taken
hill west of
driveway
SWSESW
S34



photo
taken from
SWSESW
S34

51-00121

5/22/1996

Photo taken From hill west of driveway
SWSESW Sec 34



Idaho Dept of Water Resources

T15S, R11E, S34
T 16S, R11E, S03
Claim(s) : 51-00121
Owner(s): Three Creek RanchCo
Prepared by: Sue Bock
Date: 5/11/1998

22 Acres



Place of Use



Point of Diversion




Section Lines



1/4 1/4 lines



Total Acres = 30



1987 NAPP

0

0.25

0.5 Miles

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A51-00121
Date Received: 3/1/89
Receipt No: 6005640
Received By: 

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RECORDED
MAR 01 1989

1. Name: THREE CREEK RANCH COMPANY
Address: P.O. BOX 27
BOISE, ID 83707

Department of Water Resources

2. Date of Priority: APR 10, 1885

3. Source: THREE CREEK Trib. to: BRUNEAU RIVER, EAST FORK

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
16S	11E	3	NE	NE	NW		OWYHEE

5. Description of diverting works:
DIVERSION DAM AND DITCHES

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/01	2.064		
STOCKWATER	01/01	12/31	0.350		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
2.064 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 211.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
S/1500 HEAD CATTLE

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
15S	11E	34	NE	SW		IRR	20.0
			NE	SW		STOCK	

A51-00121

Page 1

Date: 02/24/89

MICROFILMED

AUG 10 1992

10. Place of Use: Continued

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
15S	11E	34	SE	SW		IRR	35.6
			SE	SW		STOCK	
			SW	SE		IRR	40.0
			SW	SE		STOCK	
						Section Acres	95.6
16S	11E	3	NE	NW		IRR	10.0
			NE	NW		STOCK	
						Section Acres	10.0
						Total Acres	105.6

11. Place of use in counties: OWYHEE

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
NONE

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED
Case Number:
Court :
Decree date:

GRANDVIEW IRR. DIST.
Decree Plaintiff

vs

BRUNEAU BUCKAROO CO-OP DITCH C
Decree Defendant

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not X wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: 1 Map

For Organizations:

I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am Secretary of Three Creek Ranch Company, that I have signed the foregoing document in the space below as Secretary of Three Creek Ranch Company and that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

[Signature]
Signature of Authorized Agent

Secretary, Three Creek Ranch Company
Title and Organization

2-28-89
Date

State of Idaho)
County of Ada) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 28th day
of February 19 89

[Signature]
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at Meridian, Idaho

My Commission Expires 11-06-91

Topographic map of a section of Elko County, Nevada, showing a grid of townships and ranges. The map includes contour lines, water features, and a prominent black rectangular area labeled '3' and '4'. The map is oriented with North at the top. The grid lines are labeled with 'T 14 S', 'T 15 S', and 'T 16 S' on the right side, and 'R 10 E', 'R 11 E', and 'R 12 E' on the bottom side. The map is titled 'MICROFILMED' and '1932' in the bottom right corner. The map is oriented with North at the top.

4970000mN

175

175

T 15 S

150

150

T 16 S

125 000

FEET (CENTRA

102651

000'

30

BACK

CCP

WESTERN REGION

CLAIM NUMBERS 31-00021

AGENT SB DATE 5/28/98

TOTAL ACRES FROM RECOMMENDATION 30

GEOGRAPHIC INFO SYSTEM ACRES _____

READY FOR DECREE ____ (YES) SENT FOR DECREE ____ (YES)

RIGHT NO	TNS	RNG	SEC	NE				NW				SW				SE			
				NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE
RECOM																			
GIS																			
RECOM																			
GIS																			

POLYGON CREATED ON 80__

POLYGON # ()()()() _____ UPDATED BY

ATTRIBUTES OF B51-POU.SHP (~~43~~) (49) (50) (51) (52)

ATTRIBUTES OF B51-POD.SHP (59) (60) ()()()

B51-DBPOU.DBF _____ UPDATED BY

B51-DBPOD.DBF _____ UPDATED BY

Edited to match APR

RDH GPSTABLE

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM PROFILE REPORT
(REGIONAL)

AJ0749NP
No. R51-00121

OWNER CODE: C
NAME & ADDRESS: THREE CREEK RANCH COMPANY
PO BOX 27
BOISE ID 83707
(208) 857-2247
PRIORITY DATE: 04/10/1985
WATER USE: IRRIGATION STOCKWATER
USE PERIOD FROM TO: 04-01 10-31
01-01 12-31
DIVERSION RATE: 2.06 CFS
0.33 CFS
DIVERSION VOLUME: 2.06 CFS
TOTAL DIVERSION: 2.06 CFS

Water Source: THREE CREEK
Tributary to: CLOVER CREEK
Point(s) of Diversion: T16S R11E S03
NENEW
Stage: DECREED
ONYHEE County

Place of use Legal Description for: IRRIGATION

NE		NW		SW		SE		SUM
T	R	S	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	
15S	11E	34	:	:	:	:	:	23.0
16S	11E	3	:	:	:	:	:	7.0
TOTAL ACRES								30.0

Place of use Legal Description for: STOCKWATER

NE		NW		SW		SE		SUM
T	R	S	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	
15S	11E	34	:	:	:	:	:	23.0
16S	11E	3	:	:	:	:	:	7.0
TOTAL ACRES								30.0

POU County: ONYHEE
Other Rights: NONE

Conditions of Approval:
N08 - THE QUANTITY OF WATER DECREED FOR THIS WATER RIGHT FOR
- STOCKWATER USE IS NOT A DETERMINATION OF HISTORICAL BENEFICIAL
- USE.

Remarks: POU 2 POINTS OF DIVERSION LOCATED IN NENEW, S03, T16S, R11E.
EXP STOCKWATER, 1500 RANGE CATTLE
Dates: Exam Made Date: 10/07/1993 Verified Date: 06/24/1998 Objection Filed Date:
Misc: Water District Number: 51A Field Inspection Flag: Presumption flag:

Comments:

Date: 02/02/1994 User-ID: MINICUCC Subject: PLACE OF USE
THE POU WAS DETERMINED USING OQ, MAP, AND ASCS MAPS, AS WELL AS
INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY THE RANCH MANAGER AND CONFIRMED DURING
FIELD EXAM. RANCH MANAGER AND F. BACHMAN OF SIMPLOT LIVESTOCK
BOTH STATED THAT ESTES DRAW WATER IS NOT DIVERTED TO THESE FIELDS
ALTHOUGH IT DOES NATURALLY DRAIN DOWN TOWARD THREE CREEK.
ACRES WERE DETERMINED USING ASCS MAP - DOT GRID METHOD.
SEE C. LEISEN'S (RANCH MANAGER) MAP FOR CONFIRMATION. ESTES DRAW
FIELDS WERE EXCLUDED.
POU ALSO KNOWN AS "THREE CREEK FIELDS".

Date: 02/02/1994 User-ID: MINICUCC Subject: POINTS OF DIVERSION
THIS RIGHT HAS TWO POD'S IN THE SAME TRACT. THE DECREE DOES NOT
DESCRIBE THE POD, AND THERE MAY HAVE ALWAYS BEEN TWO ACTUAL POD'S
THE OQ (1979) SHOWS THE TWO POD'S.

Date: 05/20/1998 User-ID: SBOCK Subject: EXCESSIVE FLOWS
IT HAS BEEN DECIDED TO ALLOW THE DECREE OR LICENSED AMOUNT OF
WATER FOR WILD FLOODING IF IT A COMMON AND ACCEPTED PRACTICE IN
A CERTAIN AREA, UNLESS LIMITED BY DITCH CAPACITY. REFERENCE IS
THE 706 REPORT PAGE 36.

Audit:

06/24/98 SBOCK

Comments:

Date: 02/02/1994 User-ID: MINICUCC Subject: POINTS OF DIVERSION
THIS RIGHT HAS TWO POD'S IN THE SAME TRACT. THE DECREE DOES NOT
DESCRIBE THE POD, AND THERE MAY HAVE ALWAYS BEEN TWO ACTUAL POD'S
THE QQ (1979) SHOWS THE TWO POD'S.

Date: 02/02/1994 User-ID: MINICUCC Subject: CFS
CLAIMANT HAS REQUESTED EXCESSIVE FLOWS ON THIS RIGHT.
04/21/1994: NO RESPONSE HAS BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CLAIMANT AFTER
REQUEST FOR INFORMATION NEEDED TO CALCULATE EXCESSIVE FLOWS.
CORR. IN FILE.

Date: 02/02/1994 User-ID: MINICUCC Subject: PLACE OF USE
THE POJ WAS DETERMINED USING QQ, MAP, AND ASCS MAPS, AS WELL AS
INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY THE RANCH MANAGER AND CONFIRMED DURING
FIELD EXAM. RANCH MANAGER AND F. BACHMAN OF SIMPLOT LIVESTOCK
BOTH STATED THAT ESTES DRAW WATER IS NOT DIVERTED TO THESE FIELDS
ALTHOUGH IT DOES NATURALLY DRAIN DOWN TOWARD THREE CREEK.
ACRES WERE DETERMINED USING ASCS MAP - DOT GRID METHOD.
SEE C. LEISEN'S (RANCH MANAGER) MAP FOR CONFIRMATION. ESTES DRAW
FIELDS WERE EXCLUDED.
POJ ALSO KNOWN AS "THREE CREEK FIELDS".

Audit: 00/00/00

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

PAGE: 1
GENERAL INFORMATION

LAST: YOUNG OR TERRY UNLING
ADDRESS: ENV AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
J R SIMPLOT COMPANY
PO BOX 27
CITY: BOISE
PHONE NUMBER: 208-389-7318
STATE: ID ZIP: 837070027

OWNER CODE: A

LAST: SIMPLOT
ADDRESS:
CITY: BOISE
PHONE NUMBER: 208-000-0000
STATE: ID ZIP: 83707

OWNER CODE: K

LAST: ROSS
ADDRESS:
CITY: X
PHONE NUMBER: 208-000-0000
STATE: ID ZIP: 0

OWNER CODE: O

LAST: THREE CREEK RANCH COMPANY
ADDRESS: PO BOX 27
CITY: BOISE
PHONE NUMBER: 208-000-0000
STATE: ID ZIP: 83707

OWNER CODE: C

FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?
VERIFICATION REQUIRED DUE TO REMARKS?
NO REMARKS IN CLAIM
DATABASE N
FIELD EXAMINER

CONTACT PERSON: FRANK BACHMAN

PHONE NUMBER: (208) 336-2110

DATE OF FIELD EXAM: 1/17/97 APPOINTMENT MADE: Y(X) N()

TIME: : : A() P()

ACCOMPANIED BY: CHARLEY LIESER

PHONE NUMBER: (208) 857-2247

RELATIONSHIP TO CLAIMANT: RANCH MANAGER

DIRECTIONS TO PROPERTY / NOTES:

FROM TWIN FALLS, AT 93 SOUTH, TURN OFF TO ROGERSON - IN ROGERSON
TURN RIGHT ON THESE CREEK HIGHWAY. PASS DAM - LOOK FOR WOODEN
SIGN FOR THESE CREEK. DRIVEWAY IS ON NORTH SIDE OF HWY. LIESER
HOME IS @ END OF DRIVEWAY. MULTIPLE FIELD EXAMS @ MR. LIESER
PRESENT FOR FIRST EXAM AND AVAILABLE FOR CONSULTATION ON SUBSEQUENT
EXAMS.

A. AJ CLAIM: # A51-00121 PRIORITY DATE: 04-10-1985 STAGE: D
B. WR RECORD: # 51-00121 PRIORITY DATE: 04-10-1985 STAGE: D
C. CAN THE PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED BE CONFIRMED BY A DECREE OR LICENSE? Y(☒) N(☐)
D. HAS THERE BEEN A LATER COURT DECREE OF THE WATER RIGHT(S) FROM THIS WATER SOURCE? Y(☐) N(☒)
E. IS THERE ANY INDICATION THAT THERE HAS BEEN A FIVE YEAR PERIOD OF CONTINUOUS NONUSE? (IF YES, DESCRIBE BELOW) Y(☐) N(☒)
F. IF THIS CLAIM IS BASED ON A PERMIT, WHERE IS THE PERMIT IN THE LICENSING PROCESS?
PROOF OF BENEFICIAL USE SUBMITTED N/A(☒) Y(☐) N(☐)
FIELD EXAM COMPLETED N/A(☒) Y(☐) N(☐)
FIELD EXAM SENT TO STATE OFFICE N/A(☒) Y(☐) N(☐)
LICENSE ISSUED N/A(☒) Y(☐) N(☐)

* IF THE PERMIT WILL NOT BE LICENSED PRIOR TO THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT, USE CONDITION CODE A01 AND RECOMMEND AS PERMITTED.

G. IF THIS CLAIM IS BASED ON BENEFICIAL USE, IS ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE OF THE PRIORITY DATE REQUIRED? N/A(☒) Y(☐) N(☐)

* FOR CLAIMS BASED ON BENEFICIAL USE, DESCRIBE THE EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY SUBMITTED, INCLUDING THE ANALYSIS OF THE EVIDENCE.

H. IS THE STAGE OF THE CLAIM CODED CORRECTLY? Y(☒) N(☐)

NOTES: 12/12/1996: Unlike some of the other rights on the Ranch, this water right was not represented by a license previous to the decree. Priority claimed as decreed. No evidence of non-use, although it does not appear that the recommended number of acres will be as many acres as claimed. m

RECOMMENDED PRIORITY DATE:

04-10-1985

REVIEWED BY mm

DATE 12/12/1996

FIELD SLOPE MEASUREMENT FORM FOR EXCESSIVE FLOW DATA

forms.slope.field



FIELD AGENT: MINICUCCI

FX DATE: 08/15/1996

CLAIM NUMBER(S): _____

FIELD NUMBER: _____
(Optional)

LOCATION: S.34 LEVEL POS. NO. 1

East of driveway, N of Hwy
Uphill inst was not quite to top of field. ≈ 20' below
Relly field - inconsistent slopes ditch

FIELD READINGS

SLOPE DATA:

	UPHILL		DOWNHILL	
UPPER STADIA	<u>1.25</u>		<u>6.41</u>	
MIDDLE (SLOPE)	<u>.79</u>		<u>5.77</u>	
LOWER STADIA	<u>.32</u>		<u>5.13</u>	
Stadia Difference:	<u>.93</u> UPHILL	+	<u>1.28</u> DOWNHILL 2.2'	X 100 = 221' SLOPE LENGTH
Slope Difference:	<u>5.77</u> DOWNHILL	-	<u>.79</u> UPHILL	= 4.98 FT DIST

NOTE: The downhill reading must be higher than the uphill reading.

CALCULATIONS:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \boxed{4.98} \text{ FT DIST} \div \boxed{221} \text{ SLOPE LENGTH} = \boxed{\begin{array}{l} 23 \text{ FT} / 1,000 \\ .023 \text{ FT} / \text{FT} \end{array}} \text{ SLOPE FT/FT} \\
 \approx 121.4 \text{ FT/MILE}
 \end{array}$$





08/15/1996 11 Timicucci AS1-00121

Location of level for field slope test

S.34 SE5W

25.57 25.57

MANNING EQUATION CHANNEL CAPACITY RESULTS

05-17-1996

File Number: A51-000121

Claimant: Simplot Livestock

Channel measured: **Diversion No. 1** from Three Creek

Date of measurement: 05/16/1996

Measurement location:

T16S

Calculation prepared by: M. Minicuc

Comments about the measurement:

Measurements taken approx. 100' (+/-) from diversion pt.

The results of the calculation are:

The channel cross section is 2.71 ft-sq.

The wetted perimeter is 4.80 feet.

The hydraulic radius is 0.56 feet.

The slope is 0.0005

The roughness coefficient is 0.0350

The flow velocity is 0.631 ft/sec.

The rate of flow is 1.71 cfs.

MANNING EQUATION CHANNEL CAPACITY RESULTS

05-17-1996

File Number: A51-00121
Claimant: Simplot Livestock
Channel measured: Field ditch
Date of measurement: 05/16/1996
Measurement location:

T15S

Calculation prepared by: M. Minicucci
Comments about the measurement:

Data collected by MM & KW.

Measurement on north side of hwy & pasture fence

The results of the calculation are:

The channel cross section is 0.96 ft-sq.
The wetted perimeter is 2.81 feet.
The hydraulic radius is 0.34 feet.
The slope is 0.0026
The roughness coefficient is 0.0350
The flow velocity is 1.058 ft/sec.
The rate of flow is 1.01 cfs.

CROSS SECTION NUMBER 1

For File Number A51-000121 for the Three Creek Diversion

Number	Rod Reading	Water Depth	Distance
1	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.10	0.10	0.40
3	0.45	0.45	0.80
4	0.82	0.82	1.20
5	0.98	0.98	1.60
6	1.40	1.40	2.00
7	1.60	1.60	2.40
8	1.00	1.00	2.80
9	0.83	0.83	3.20
10	0.00	0.00	3.70

The area for this cross section is 2.91 square feet
and the wetted perimeter is 5.07 feet.

CROSS SECTION NUMBER 2

For File Number A51-000121 for the Three Creek Diversion

Number	Rod Reading	Water Depth	Distance
1	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.44	0.44	0.40
3	0.90	0.90	0.80
4	1.00	1.00	1.20
5	0.94	0.94	1.60
6	0.86	0.86	2.00
7	0.86	0.86	2.40
8	0.74	0.74	2.80
9	0.50	0.50	3.20
10	0.04	0.04	3.60
11	0.00	0.00	3.80

The area for this cross section is 2.51 square feet
and the wetted perimeter is 4.53 feet.

MANNING EQUATION - DATA COLLECTION

Claim Number(s): ASJ-000121

Name of Claimant(s): Sim/Lot Livestock

Name of Ditch, Canal, or Stream: _____

Date of Measurement: 05/16/1996 Data Collected By: nn + kw

Measurement Location: 1/4 1/4 Section T R

Cross Section Data

Basis: high water mark(/) maximum capacity()

Note: minimum of five depth or rod readings; first and last must be equal or zero

	depth or rod reading	distance from initial point		depth or rod reading	distance from initial point
#1	~~REW 0.2~~ <u>0.18</u>	<u>0.4</u>	#2	~~LEW 0.4~~ <u>.16</u>	<u>.3</u>
1.	<u>0.25</u>	<u>0.8</u>	7.	<u>.28</u>	<u>.5</u>
2.	<u>0.6</u>	<u>1.2</u>	8.	<u>.45</u>	<u>.7</u>
3.	<u>.66</u>	<u>1.6</u>	9.	<u>.52</u>	<u>.9</u>
4.	<u>.60</u>	<u>2.0</u>	10.	<u>.52</u>	<u>1.1</u>
5.	<u>.38</u>	<u>2.4</u>	11.	<u>.53</u>	<u>1.3</u>
6.	<u>REW 2.7</u>	<u>2.7</u>	12.	<u>.50</u>	<u>1.5</u>
				<u>.48</u>	<u>1.7</u>
				<u>.38</u>	<u>1.9</u>
				<u>.26</u>	<u>2.1</u>
				<u>.14</u>	<u>2.3</u>
				<u>REW</u>	<u>2.5</u>
					<u>2.7</u>

Slope Data

Basis: high water mark(X) channel bottom() land surface()

Level Readings: upstream downstream

upper stadia 5.11 5.50

center 4.78 5.08

lower stadia 4.44 4.67

channel
length

stadia difference (.67 + .83) x 100 = 150

Note: for elevations, the downstream rod reading must be higher than the upstream rod reading

Comments

Are maps and/or photos attached? yes()

MANNING'S "n" (roughness coefficient)

BASIC "n" CHANNEL MATERIAL				CHANNEL OBSTRUCTIONS			
earth	.020		(1)	negligible	.000		(1)
rock	.025		(2)	minor	.010		(2)
fine gravel	.024		(3)		.015		(3)
coarse gravel	.028		(4)	appreciable	.020		(4)
					.030		(5)
				severe	.040		(6)
					.050		(7)
					.060		(8)
SURFACE IRREGULARITY				VEGETATION			
smooth	.000		(1)	low	.005		(1)
minor	.005		(2)		.010		(2)
moderate	.010		(3)	medium	.015		(3)
severe	.020		(4)		.020		(4)
					.025		(5)
				high	.030		(6)
					.040		(7)
					.050		(8)
				very high	.060		(9)
					.075		(10)
					.100		(11)
				VARIATIONS IN SIZE AND SHAPE OF CROSS-SECTION			
gradual	.000		(1)	minor	1.00		(1)
occasional	.005		(2)	appreciable	1.15		(2)
frequent	.010		(3)	severe	1.30		(3)
	.015		(4)				

MANNING EQUATION - DATA COLLECTION

Claim Number(s): AS1-00121 3 Creek Diversion - West Ditch

Name of Claimant(s): Simplot Livestock

Name of Ditch, Canal, or Stream: 3 Creek - West Ditch

Date of Measurement: 5/16/1996 Data Collected By: TTT

Measurement Location: 1/4 1/4 Section 3 T R

Cross Section Data

Basis: high water mark() maximum capacity(X)

Note: minimum of five depth or rod readings; first and last must be equal or zero

	depth or rod reading	distance from initial point		depth or rod reading	distance from initial point
1.	LEW 0	0	7.	1.6	2.4
2.	.1	.4	8.	1.0	2.8
3.	.45	.8	9.	.83	3.2
4.	.82	1.2	10.	REW	3.7
5.	.98	1.6	11.		
6.	1.4	2.0	12.		

Slope Data

Basis: high water mark() channel bottom() land surface(X)

Level Readings:	upstream	downstream	
upper stadia	<u>5.32</u>	<u>5.40</u>	
center	<u>4.94</u>	<u>4.96</u>	
lower stadia	<u>4.50</u>	<u>4.52</u>	channel length
stadia difference	(<u>82</u> + <u>.88</u>) x 100 =		<u>170</u>

Note: for elevations, the downstream rod reading must be higher than the upstream rod reading

Comments

Are maps and/or photos attached? yes()

MANNING'S "n" (roughness coefficient)

BASIC "n" CHANNEL MATERIAL				CHANNEL OBSTRUCTIONS			
earth	.020		(1)	negligible	.000		(1)
rock	.025		(2)	minor	.010		(2)
fine gravel	.024		(3)		.015		(3)
coarse gravel	.028		(4)	appreciable	.020		(4)
					.030		(5)
				severe	.040		(6)
					.050		(7)
					.060		(8)
SURFACE IRREGULARITY				VEGETATION			
smooth	.000		(1)	low	.005		(1)
minor	.005		(2)		.010		(2)
moderate	.010		(3)	medium	.015		(3)
severe	.020		(4)		.020		(4)
					.025		(5)
				high	.030		(6)
					.040		(7)
					.050		(8)
				very high	.060		(9)
					.075		(10)
					.100		(11)
VARIATIONS IN SIZE AND SHAPE OF CROSS-SECTION				DEGREE OF MEANDERING			
gradual	.000		(1)	minor	1.00		(1)
occasional	.005		(2)	appreciable	1.15		(2)
frequent	.010		(3)	severe	1.30		(3)
	.015		(4)				

MANNING EQUATION - DATA COLLECTION

Claim Number(s): A ASI-00021

Name of Claimant(s): SIMPLON LIVESTOCK

Name of Ditch, Canal, or Stream: West Div

Date of Measurement: 05/16/1996 Data Collected By: MM + KW

Measurement Location: 1/4 1/4 Section T R

Cross Section N 100'
from pld

Cross Section Data

Basis: high water mark() maximum capacity()

Note: minimum of five depth or rod readings; first and last must be equal or zero

#2	depth or rod reading	distance from initial point	depth or rod reading	distance from initial point
1.	<u>LEW</u>	<u>0</u>	7.	<u>.86</u>
2.	<u>.44</u>	<u>.4</u>	8.	<u>.74</u>
3.	<u>.90</u>	<u>.8</u>	9.	<u>.5</u>
4.	<u>1.0</u>	<u>1.2</u>	10.	<u>.04</u>
5.	<u>.94</u>	<u>1.4</u>	11.	<u>REW</u>
6.	<u>.86</u>	<u>1.0</u>	12.	<u> </u>

Slope Data

Basis: high water mark() channel bottom() land surface(X)

Level Readings: upstream downstream

upper stadia

center

lower stadia

channel
length

stadia difference (+) x 100 =

Note: for elevations, the downstream rod reading must be higher than the upstream rod reading

Comments

Are maps and/or photos attached? yes()

MANNING'S "n" (roughness coefficient)

BASIC "n" CHANNEL MATERIAL				CHANNEL OBSTRUCTIONS			
earth	.020		(1)	negligible	.000		(1)
rock	.025		(2)	minor	.010		(2)
fine gravel	.024		(3)		.015		(3)
coarse gravel	.028		(4)	appreciable	.020		(4)
					.030		(5)
				severe	.040		(6)
					.050		(7)
					.060		(8)
SURFACE IRREGULARITY				VEGETATION			
smooth	.000		(1)	low	.005		(1)
minor	.005		(2)		.010		(2)
moderate	.010		(3)	medium	.015		(3)
severe	.020		(4)		.020		(4)
					.025		(5)
				high	.030		(6)
					.040		(7)
					.050		(8)
				very high	.060		(9)
					.075		(10)
					.100		(11)
VARIATIONS IN SIZE AND SHAPE OF CROSS-SECTION				DEGREE OF MEANDERING			
gradual	.000		(1)	minor	1.00		(1)
occasional	.005		(2)	appreciable*	1.15		(2)
frequent	.010		(3)	severe	1.30		(3)
	.015		(4)				

POB #1 5/10/1962
DOL 3 + 1000

MANNING EQUATION CHANNEL CAPACITY RESULTS

05-28-1996

File Number: A51-00121
Claimant: Simplot Livestock
Channel measured: Div. pt. No. 2
Date of measurement: 05/22/1996
Measurement location:

LINE BTWN T16S

Calculation prepared by: M. MINICUCCI
Comments about the measurement:

MEASUREMENTS BY KW & MM. DITCH HAS UNDERCUT SIDES. NO WATER IN DITCH -
WEATHER IS COOL AND RAINY/SNOWY.

The results of the calculation are:

The channel cross section is 2.72 ft-sq.
The wetted perimeter is 4.40 feet.
The hydraulic radius is 0.62 feet.
The slope is 0.0055
The roughness coefficient is 0.0500
The flow velocity is 1.604 ft/sec.
The rate of flow is 4.36 cfs.

Measuring (1) 1/2 ve

MANNING EQUATION CHANNEL CAPACITY RESULTS

05-28-1996

File Number: A51-00121

Claimant: Simplot Livestock

Channel measured: Field Ditch on N side of Hwy

Date of measurement: 05/22/1996

Measurement location:

Near line btwn T16S

Calculation prepared by: M. Minicucci

Comments about the measurement:

Div. pt. No. 2 on Mr. Liesen's map. Sides of ditch are undercut - no water in ditch because of rainy

The results of the calculation are:

The channel cross section is 2.72 ft-sq.

The wetted perimeter is 4.40 feet.

The hydraulic radius is 0.62 feet.

The slope is 0.0109

The roughness coefficient is 0.0450

The flow velocity is 2.506 ft/sec.

The rate of flow is 6.81 cfs.

17000 Acres (2) Valve

Claim Number(s): A51 - 1121
 Name of Claimant(s): S M P L T Livestock
 Name of Ditch, Canal, or Stream: Div. #2 3CR
 Date of Measurement: 5/22/1996 Data Collected By: D D + KW
 Measurement Location: 1/4 1/4 Section T R

Cross Section Data

Basis: high water mark() maximum capacity(X)

Note: minimum of five depth or rod readings; first and last must be equal or zero

depth or rod reading	distance from initial point	depth or rod reading	distance from initial point
1. <u>LEW</u>	<u>0</u>	7. <u>1.21</u>	<u>1.2</u>
2. <u>.99</u>	<u>.2</u>	8. <u>1.23</u>	<u>1.4</u>
3. <u>1.00</u>	<u>.4</u>	9. <u>1.24</u>	<u>1.6</u>
4. <u>1.00</u>	<u>.6</u>	10. <u>1.27</u>	<u>1.8</u>
5. <u>1.14</u>	<u>.8</u>	11. <u>1.29</u>	<u>2.0</u>
6. <u>1.20</u>	<u>1.0</u>	12. <u>1.27</u>	<u>2.2</u>
		13. <u>1.23</u>	<u>2.4</u>
		14. <u>1.18</u>	<u>2.6</u>

Slope Data

Basis: high water mark() channel bottom() land surface()
 15. REW 2.7

Level Readings:	upstream	downstream	channel length
upper stadia	<u>5.08</u>	<u>6.82</u>	
center	<u>4.66</u>	<u>5.48</u>	
lower stadia	<u>4.26</u>	<u>5.14</u>	
stadia difference	$(\underline{.82} + \underline{78}) \times 100 = \underline{160}$		

Note: for elevations, the downstream rod reading must be higher than the upstream rod reading

Comments

Are maps and/or photos attached? yes()

MANNING'S "n" (roughness coefficient)

BASIC "n" CHANNEL MATERIAL				CHANNEL OBSTRUCTIONS			
earth	.020	X	(1)	negligible	.000		(1)
rock	.025		(2)	minor	.010	X	(2)
fine gravel	.024		(3)		.015		(3)
coarse gravel	.028		(4)	appreciable	.020		(4)
Channel undercut					.030		(5)
				severe	.040		(6)
					.050		(7)
					.060		(8)
SURFACE IRREGULARITY				VEGETATION			
smooth	.000		(1)	low	.005		(1)
minor	.005	X	(2)		.010	X	(2)
moderate	.010		(3)	medium	.015		(3)
severe	.020		(4)		.020		(4)
					.025		(5)
				high	.030		(6)
					.040		(7)
					.050		(8)
				very high	.060		(9)
					.075		(10)
					.100		(11)
	VARIATIONS IN SIZE AND SHAPE OF CROSS-SECTION				DEGREE OF MEANDERING		
gradual	.000		(1)	minor	1.00		(1)
occasional	.005	X	(2)	appreciable	1.15		(2)
frequent	.010		(3)	severe	1.30		(3)
	.015		(4)				

MANNING EQUATION : DATA COLLECTION

Claim Number(s): AB1-00121

Name of Claimant(s): S. M. PLOT LIVESTOCK

Name of Ditch, Canal, or Stream: DIV No 2 3 CR

Date of Measurement: 5/22/1996 Data Collected By: T.M. + KW

Measurement Location: 1/4 1/4 Section T R

Cross Section Data

Basis: high water mark ☒ maximum capacity ()

Note: minimum of five depth or rod readings; first and last must be equal or zero

depth or rod reading	distance from initial point	depth or rod reading	distance from initial point
1. <u>LEW</u>	<u>0</u>	7. <u>1.22</u>	<u>1.2</u>
2. <u>1.24</u>	<u>.2</u>	8. <u>1.19</u>	<u>1.4</u>
3. <u>1.21</u>	<u>.4</u>	9. <u>1.08</u>	<u>1.6</u>
4. <u>1.22</u>	<u>.6</u>	10. <u>.93</u>	<u>1.8</u>
5. <u>1.25</u>	<u>.8</u>	11. <u>.86</u>	<u>2.0</u>
6. <u>1.24</u>	<u>1.0</u>	12. <u>.73</u>	<u>2.2</u>
		13. <u>REW</u>	<u>2.4</u>

Slope Data

Basis: high water mark () channel bottom () land surface ()

Level Readings: upstream downstream

upper stadia

center

lower stadia

channel
length

stadia difference (+) x 100 =

Note: for elevations, the downstream rod reading must be higher than the upstream rod reading

Comments

Are maps and/or photos attached? yes ()

MANNING'S "n" (roughness coefficient)


BASIC "n" CHANNEL MATERIAL				CHANNEL OBSTRUCTIONS			
earth	.020		(1)	negligible	.000		(1)
rock	.025		(2)	minor	.010		(2)
fine gravel	.024		(3)		.015		(3)
coarse gravel	.028		(4)	appreciable	.020		(4)
					.030		(5)
				severe	.040		(6)
					.050		(7)
					.060		(8)
				SURFACE IRREGULARITY			
smooth	.000		(1)	low	.005		(1)
minor	.005		(2)		.010		(2)
moderate	.010		(3)	medium	.015		(3)
severe	.020		(4)		.020		(4)
					.025		(5)
				high	.030		(6)
					.040		(7)
					.050		(8)
				very high	.060		(9)
					.075		(10)
					.100		(11)
VARIATIONS IN SIZE AND SHAPE OF CROSS-SECTION				DEGREE OF MEANDERING			
gradual	.000		(1)	minor	1.00		(1)
occasional	.005		(2)	appreciable	1.15		(2)
frequent	.010		(3)	severe	1.30		(3)
	.015		(4)				

5/22/19


POD #2
DOB 1-15-01

POD's in
Thae Creek
Tues 12:15 S.3
NENE NW

Pictures taken
10/02/1993
by H. H. H. H.



① Southern
Pod



② Pod close
to Road
(Picture taken
from road, looking
south)

Photo taken 10/03/1993 by H. Minicucci




Photo taken from road in S.E.S.W. of S. 34,
looking back toward Three Creek & ~~Road~~
Kane's house & barn

A. AJ CLAIM POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	TWP. RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	1/4	POD	COUNTY	DIVERSION NAME	DIVERSION NUMBER
A51-00121	16S	11E	3	NE	NE	NW		OWYHEE		

OTHER AJ CLAIMS WITH SAME AJ POD

A PREFIX

R PREFIX

NONE

A51-00126

SOURCE: THREE CREEK

TRIB: BRUNEAU RIVER, EAST FORK

B. WR POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

5100121 THERE IS NO POD ASSOCIATED WITH THIS WATER RIGHT NUMBER

SOURCE: THREE CREEK

TRIB: BRUNEAU RIVER, EAST FORK

C. IS THE CLAIMED POD WITHIN THE SAME 40 ACRE TRACT THAT IS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE? N/A(X) Y() N()

* IF NO, AND IF THE CHANGE IS VERIFIED AS AN ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN POD, DESCRIBE THE INJURY ANALYSIS PERFORMED AND ENTER FLAG "C" WITH THE APPROPRIATE EXPLANATORY CODE.

D. CAN THE CLAIMED POD BE VERIFIED USING THE WATER RIGHT FILE OR GPS DATA? N/A() Y() N(X)

E. SHOULD THE CLAIMED POD BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM? Y(X) N()

F. IS THE CLAIMED SOURCE THE SAME AS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE? N/A() Y(X) N()

* IF NO, AND IF THE CHANGE IS VERIFIED AS AN ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN SOURCE, DESCRIBE THE INJURY ANALYSIS PERFORMED AND ENTER FLAG "C" WITH THE APPROPRIATE EXPLANATORY CODE.

G. CAN THE CLAIMED SOURCE BE VERIFIED USING THE WATER RIGHT FILE? Y(X) N()

H. SHOULD THE CLAIMED SOURCE BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM? Y() N(X)

I. HOW WAS THE POD AND SOURCE VERIFIED?

LICENSING FIELD EXAM (DATE OF FIELD EXAM)

AJ FIELD EXAM

(DATE OF FIELD EXAM)

NAME OF EXAMINER

OTHER (DESCRIBE IN NOTES BELOW)

TH. WILCOX

Charles Creek

Claimed
Incorrectly

NOTES: 12/12/1996: A51-00126 was also claimed from these PODs but that water right receives its water from Deer Creek (even though the land is adjacent to A51-00121). Two points of diversion located in T16S, R11E, S.3, NE1/4, corresponding to T16S, R12E, S.3, NE1/4.

[illegible]

REVIEWED BY: _____ DATE: _____

=====

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION: DESCRIBED BELOW (), SEE LICENSE FILE (), OR SEE ATTACHMENT (X)

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION ATTACHMENT

A51-00121

There are two points of diversion for this water right. Both are located in the NENW, section 3, T16S, R11E.

One diversion is located on the eastern edge of the QQ, approximately on the line between the NENENW and SENENW. The diversion consists of a canvas dam in the stream which dams up the water to two ditches. One ditch goes to the east but does not appear to go anywhere in particular. It dead ends in the willows - the area to the east of the creek (south of the highway) appears to be sub-irrigated. See photographs in file. The second ditch goes to the west and parallels the creek as it flows to the north. It is culverted under the highway to the fields on the north side of the highway, and irrigates the fields adjacent to the driveway. This diversion is identified as No. 1 by Mr. Liesen on his map (see file).

The second diversion is located in the NENENW, adjacent to and south of the highway. The diversion consists of a wooden headbox which backs the water up into a culvert which goes under the highway to fields on the north side of the highway. Wastewater dumps into Three Creek from the east at the same spot as the diversion. See photographs in file. This diversion, labeled No. 2 by Mr. Liesen, sends water to the middle fields of the "Three Creek fields".

All irrigation is flood irrigation from earth ditches. Mr. Liesen described the irrigation practices as follows:

Water flows into the ditches during Spring run-off. Active diversion may or may not be required, but in high water flows go down the ditches regardless of the diversion structures in the stream. Diversion structures are used if needed. After Spring run-off the fields are allowed to dry out in order to cut hay. No active irrigation is conducted after the hay is cut because Mr. Liesen and his help are busy moving cattle, and other ranch duties. Water flows in the creeks all summer, but the labor necessary to irrigate is not available later in the irrigation season.

The fields on the eastern-most side of this area receive water from Estes Draw as well as the neighbor's waste water, and are not included in the recommendation for A51-00121. Both Mr. Liesen and Mr. Frank both concurred that there is no diversion from Estes Draw and no effort is made to divert water to the eastern-most field.

M. Minicucci 12/12/1996

TT

CLAIMANT'S NAME: 3 CREEK RANCH - FRANK BACHMANDATE: 01/26/1994COUNTY: OmahaEMPLOYEE: M. MINICUCCI

Mr. Bachman was in the office today to discuss Three Creek Ranch claims. We discussed

① Ester Draw & lands to the west of Ester Draw.

Mr. Bachman concurred with Charley Liesen's statement that there is no diversion from Ester Draw, and that the ¹¹⁶ acres to the ~~west~~ immediate west receive no irrigation water from any source.

② Springs above (SW) of Mud Flat Draw which are developed (as per C. Liesen) but apparently have no claims filed on them. I said I would continue to search for a previous water claim/license/deed, otherwise a 8/10 claim was in order.

③ Lands above Deer Creek (south) which are at a higher elevation have a claim on them based on a license. Mr. Liesen told us during the field exam that he had come across old ditches, but they were no longer used & the flood had washed out long ago. Mr. Bachman agreed that these acres (51-02026) were not irrigated & had not been irrigated in some time. Since the lands are significantly higher than the creek, a flood irrigation system would have been difficult to keep operational, and Mr. Bachman felt that these ditches ~~was~~ ^{were} ~~have~~ probably not ~~been~~ used for very long.

A. ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBERS: A51-00121
R51-00121

B. POU LISTING										LANDSAT DATA	FIELD EXAM.	RECOMM. ACRES
TWP	RNG	SEC	1/4	1/4	LOT	NAME	AJ #/ACRES	MR #/ACRES				
15S	11E	34	NE	SW		THREE CREEK RANCH COMPANY	A51-00121	20.0	51-00121	20.0	35.0	8
			NE	SW		YOUNG OR TERRY UHLING, GRAY	A51-00126	15.0	51-00126	20.0		
			NE	SW		YOUNG OR TERRY UHLING, GRAY	R51-00121	14.0	-			
15S	11E	34	NE	SW		THREE CREEK RANCH COMPANY	A51-00121		51-00121	20.0	35.0	
			NE	SW		YOUNG OR TERRY UHLING, GRAY	A51-00126	15.0	51-00126	20.0		
			NE	SW		YOUNG OR TERRY UHLING, GRAY	R51-00121	14.0	-			
15S	11E	34	SE	SW		THREE CREEK RANCH COMPANY	A51-00121	35.6	51-00121	40.0	33.0	15
			SE	SW		YOUNG OR TERRY UHLING, GRAY	R51-00121	19.0	-			
15S	11E	34	SE	SW		THREE CREEK RANCH COMPANY	A51-00121		51-00121	40.0	33.0	
			SE	SW		YOUNG OR TERRY UHLING, GRAY	R51-00121	19.0	-			
15S	11E	34	SW	SE		THREE CREEK RANCH COMPANY	A51-00121	40.0	51-00121	40.0	6.0	
			SW	SE		THREE CREEK RANCH COMPANY	A51-00121		51-00121	40.0	6.0	
16S	11E	3	NE	NW		THREE CREEK RANCH COMPANY	A51-00121	10.0	51-00121	40.0	15.0	7
			NE	NW		YOUNG OR TERRY UHLING, GRAY	R51-00121	10.0	-			
16S	11E	3	NE	NW		THREE CREEK RANCH COMPANY	A51-00121		51-00121	40.0	15.0	
			NE	NW		YOUNG OR TERRY UHLING, GRAY	R51-00121	10.0	-			


ADDITIONAL POU FOUND

TOTAL ACRES	A51-00121	105.60	280.00	178.00
-------------	-----------	--------	--------	--------

POU COUNTY: OMYHEE

30

ASI-00121
T155 R11E S.34
ASCS (1987)
Scale: 1 mile = 8.125 inches



ASI-00121
(ASCS
1987)
T155 R11E
S.34

34
3
T155 R11E

2
92

A51-00121

ASCS
1988

16S 11E
S.3

33 34

15S

16S

43

A51-00121

T16S211E S.3

ASCS (1987)

Scale: 1 mile to 8.725 inches

177

1224

T-1632

118

176

43

910

AS1-00121

ASC (1988) MAP

TISS R11E S. 34

DOT GRID METHOD

SESW 19 ACRES


NE SW 14 ACRES

TISS R11E S. 03

NE NW 10 ACRES

43 TOTAL ACRES

MAP DRAWN BY Charley Leisen, Three Creek Ranch manager



3 CREEK RANCH
CHARLY LISEN
ROGERSON. ID.
83302 —H—
FO 8572247

RECEIVED
JAN 03 1994
WATER RESOURCES
WESTERN REGION

3 CREEK, ROGERSON
DEC, 28th, 1993
—H—

Maria...

Please find here an attempt to map
you the water system for the irrigation's points of
diversion... it's fairly complex for some water is "recol-
-lected" (after going through the pasture) to another ditch
that will bring it further down the meadows... ~~HA~~

H^① HEADGATES ON THE MAIN CREEKS

MAIN CREEK BED

MAIN IRRIGATION DITCHES + ~~THE~~ DIRECTION of Flow

ACRAGES IRRIGATED from ditch.

● SPRINGS

- NOTES ① point of diversion ③* on deer creek hasn't been used this year
(ditch washed out) & will be replaced by point ③
- ② The water irrigating mud flat pasture also provide the only
water available to water BLM cattle in the dirt tank
- ③ water coming from the ESTES DRAINAGE is run off water, doesn't
have any diversions (at best of my knowledge) and ends up in three creek
pasture
- ④ water from the white side springs is used to water cattle
as it runs through in the spring on the south side of the road.../...

I had to use permanent markers... the colored ones wouldn't stay on paper...


All the informations given here are at best of my knowledge, but shouldn't be taken as "official"


Best regards.

CHARLES J. LIESSEN

 diversion from head gate ①


 " " " " ②

 " " " " ③


 " " " " ④

(includes Mud FLAT pasture
+ BLT TANK + lower deer creek field)




 Diversion from # ⑥



 " " # ⑤



 " " # ⑦
with lots of red

 ACRES irrigated from Estes drainage -

 ACRES " " SPRINGS.



State of Idaho
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Western Region, 2735 Airport Way, Boise, Idaho 83705-5082 - (208) 334-2190
FAX (208) 334-2348

CECIL D. ANDRUS
GOVERNOR

December 13, 1993

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
DIRECTOR

Mr. Charles Liesen
Three Creek Ranch
Rogerson ID 83302

RE: Snake River Basin Adjudication Notice of Claims for Three Creek Ranch

Dear Mr. Liesen:

Enclosed are copies of ^{NAPP}satellite photos of the Three Creek Ranch area. You had mentioned during our field exam of the ranch that you would be willing to draw in the points of diversions and their associated irrigated acres, if we could provide these photos to you.

I have enclosed some colored pens and markers. I find that using colors can be the best way to visually connect diversion points to irrigated acres.

Your assistance with this matter is greatly appreciated. I hope to use your completed maps to support the findings of the field exam and to help decipher the irrigation system on Three Creek Ranch.

Thank you once again for your help. Please contact me at the number at the top of this letter if you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Maria Minicucci
Water Agent, Adjudication Bureau

MM/m
Enc.

C. IS WATER USAGE FOUND IN TRACTS OTHER THAN THOSE CLAIMED?

Y() N(X)

* IF YES, ARE THESE TRACTS LISTED ABOVE (), OR ARE THEY LISTED ON AN ATTACHED SHEET ()

LIST OF ALL RIGHTS WITH A SAME POU AND IRRIGATION USAGE

CLAIM NO.	SOURCE	NAME	DIV. RATE	DIV. RATE/ACRE	DIV. VOL.	DIV. VOL./ACRE
A51-00121	THREE CREEK	THREE CREEK RANCH COMPANY	2.064	0.019		
R51-00121	THREE CREEK	YOUNG OR TERRY UHLING, GRAY	1.190	0.027		
A51-00126	THREE CREEK	YOUNG OR TERRY UHLING, GRAY	2.700	0.018		

LIST ALL RIGHTS WITH SAME POU BUT NOT USED FOR IRRIGATION.

CLAIM NO.	PURPOSES	NAME	MONTH/DAY	RATE	VOLUME
A51-00121	STOCKWATER	THREE CREEK RANCH COMPANY	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	0.350 CFS	
A51-00126	STOCKWATER	YOUNG OR TERRY UHLING, GRAY	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	0.350 CFS	
A51-00126	DOMESTIC	YOUNG OR TERRY UHLING, GRAY	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	0.040 CFS	
R51-00121	STOCKWATER	YOUNG OR TERRY UHLING, GRAY	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	0.330 CFS	

D. ARE OTHER WATER RIGHTS USED FOR THE SAME PURPOSE?

Y() N(X)

* IF YES, COMPLETE OVERLAP REVIEW:

OTHER RIGHTS LISTED IN CLAIM: NONE

E. IS THE CLAIMED POU THE SAME AS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE?

N/A() Y() N()

* IF NO, AND IF THE CHANGE IS VERIFIED AS AN ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN POU, DESCRIBE THE INJURY ANALYSIS PERFORMED AND ENTER FLAG "C" WITH THE APPROPRIATE EXPLANATORY CODE.

F. CAN THE CLAIMED POU BE VERIFIED USING THE WATER RIGHT FILE AND AVAILABLE AERIAL PHOTOS?

N/A() Y() N(X)

G. SHOULD THE CLAIMED POU BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM?

Y(X) N()

H. IS THERE ANY INDICATION THAT THERE HAS BEEN A FIVE YEAR PERIOD OF CONTINUOUS NONUSE OF THIS RIGHT, OR ANY PORTION OF THIS RIGHT?

Y() N(X)

CHECK DOCUMENTS USED TO VERIFY POI: ☒ ORTHOPHOTOQUAD, IDMR NO. DD67, DD66 ☐ TOPOGRAPHIC QUAD, IDMR NO. _____;

☒ NAPP, IDMR NO. DD67UE; ☒ ASCS PHOTO; ☐ OTHER _____

NOTES: The record acres are those acres found + confirmed by field exam. Those acres were determined using the ASCS map & a dot grid overlay. Future techniques may be more precise and change the recommended number of acres. The acres of Field No. 17 are not included because the acres are irrigated from either the natural flow of Estes Draw, or from the ~~other~~ north via diversion point No. 7 on Deer Creek (51-00126). See TLE. Liesen's map in file. Field exams confirmed his PODs + PODs, although the ditch from POD No. 7 appears to extend a bit further south than he shows. Stock found, although not 1500 head. It would be difficult to pack 1500 CATTLE onto the place of use without turning it into a feed lot. Stock water is included in the Beaver River Decree. n n

RECOMMENDATIONS:

TOTAL ACRES: _____ N/A()

REVIEWED BY: _____ DATE: _____

PURPOSE OF USE, PERIOD OF USE, DIVERSION RATE AND DIVERSION VOLUME REVIEW SHEET

A. AJ CLAIMED USES:

PURPOSE OF USE	PERIOD OF USE (MONTH/DAY)	DIV. RATE FOR EACH USE	DIV. VOL. FOR EACH USE
A51-00121 IRRIGATION	FROM 04-01 TO 11-01	2.064 CFS	
A51-00121 STOCKWATER	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	0.350 CFS	
TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME		2.064 CFS	

B. NR RECORDED USES:

PURPOSE OF USE	PERIOD OF USE (MONTH/DAY)	DIV. RATE FOR EACH USE	DIV. VOL. FOR EACH USE
51-00121 IRRIGATION	FROM TO	2.064 CFS	
51-00121 STOCKWATER	FROM TO		
51-00121 DOMESTIC	FROM TO		
TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME		2.064 CFS	

C. DOES THE CLAIMED PURPOSE OF USE CORRECTLY DESCRIBE THE WATER USE? Y(X) N()D. IS THE CLAIMED PURPOSE OF USE THE SAME AS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE? N/A() Y(X) N()

* IF NO, AND IF THE ACTUAL WATER USE IS VERIFIED AS AN ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN PURPOSE OF USE, DESCRIBE THE INJURY ANALYSIS PERFORMED AND ENTER FLAG "C" WITH THE APPROPRIATE EXPLANATORY CODE.

E. SHOULD THE CLAIMED PURPOSE OF USE BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM? Y() N(X)F. DOES THE CLAIMED PERIOD OF USE CONFORM TO IDWR STANDARDS? Y() N(X)G. SHOULD THE PERIOD OF USE BE RECOMMENDED TO IDWR STANDARDS? Y(X) N()

* IF THE RECOMMENDED PERIOD OF USE IS DIFFERENT THAN IDWR STANDARDS, EXPLAIN IN NOTES BELOW.

H. IS THE CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE FOR EACH USE THE SAME AS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE? N/A() Y() N(X)

* IF NO, DESCRIBE IN NOTES BELOW HOW THE RECOMMENDED DIVERSION RATE FOR EACH USE WAS DETERMINED.

I. SHOULD THE CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE FOR EACH USE BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM? N/A() Y() N()J. IS THE CLAIMED DIVERSION VOLUME FOR EACH USE THE SAME AS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE? N/A() Y() N()

* IF NO, DESCRIBE IN NOTES BELOW HOW THE RECOMMENDED DIVERSION VOLUME FOR EACH USE WAS DETERMINED.

K. SHOULD THE CLAIMED DIVERSION VOLUME FOR EACH USE BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM? N/A() Y() N()

STOCKWATER NOT IN
DISSECTED OUT IN
DECE

NOTES: 12/22/1996: Claimed flow rate is greater than 1 inch per acre for the number of acres found during field exams. Mr. Vic Conrad of Simplot Livestock has indicated that they want the full amount of water as decreed regardless of the number of ~~acre~~ recommended acres. He indicated that the reason for this is because the weather is too hot + dry for standard irrigation practices - that is the ground must be thoroughly soaked early in the season to get the pasture through the summer. This conflicts somewhat with Mr. Liesen's explanation that the lack of irrigation later in the season is due to an unavailability of labor rather than a lack of water. Either way, measurements for "Excessive Flow" calculations were done for this water right as well as all the other claims for Three Creek Ranch. 177

AJ CLAIM TOTAL DIVERSION RATE: 2.064 CFS

WR ROOT RIGHT TOTAL DIVERSION RATE: 2.064 CFS

A. IS THE CLAIMED TOTAL DIVERSION RATE THE SAME AS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE? N/A() Y(☒) N()

B. CAN THE CLAIMED TOTAL DIVERSION RATE BE VERIFIED USING THE WATER RIGHT FILE? N/A() Y() N()

* ALL CLAIMS BASED ON BENEFICIAL USE (INCLUDING POSTED NOTICES AND STATUTORY CLAIMS) REQUIRE A FLOW MEASUREMENT.

C. IS THERE ANY INDICATION THAT THERE HAS BEEN A FIVE YEAR PERIOD OF CONTINUOUS NONUSE OF THIS RIGHT, OR ANY PORTION OF THE DIVERSION RATE? Y() N(☒)

* IF AN AMOUNT SMALLER THAN THE ORIGINAL DIVERSION RATE IS CLAIMED AND A FIELD EXAM IS NOT REQUIRED, EXPLAIN IN NOTES.

D. SHOULD THE CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM? Y(☒) N()

for calculations
for > 1" / acre

E. FLOW MEASUREMENT

MEASUREMENT METHOD Flanrings Regulator, SEE ATTACHED CALCULATIONS.

F.

RATE/VOLUME CALCULATIONS: (IRRIGATION)

1a. IRRIGATION RATE
ACRES x 0.02 CFS/ACRE = CFS
(Taken from pop review)

IS A DIVERSION RATE PER ACRE GREATER THAN 0.02 CFS/ACRE CLAIMED? Y() N()

IF YES, IS AN ADDITIONAL WATER USE FORM FILED AND ATTACHED? Y() N()

2. IRRIGATION DIVERSION VOLUME

CLAIMED DIVERSION VOLUME = AF/A

ACRES x DIVERSION VOL. AF/A FOR AREA =

TOTAL DIVERSION VOLUME AF/A

3. IRRIGATION CONSUMPTIVE USE

CLAIMED CONSUMPTIVE USE = 211.0 AF/A

ACRES x CONSUMPTIVE VOL. AF/A FOR AREA =

TOTAL CONSUMPTIVE USE AF/A

4. CALCULATIONS FOR USES OTHER THAN IRRIGATION: SEE BELOW () OR SEE ATTACHMENT ()

1b. CALCULATIONS

NOTES: 5/30/1998 As per current divorce decree, if an auto purchase would ~~be made~~ with a common accepted practice, then we can allow the full amount charged without deducting company as a limiting factor. See 704 report page 30

6/1/1998 Denies the divorce did not specify insurance premium, we are using FIDUCIARY standards

NR RECORD CONDITIONS/REMARKS:



State of Idaho
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Western Region, 2735 Airport Way, Boise, Idaho 83705-5082 - (208) 334-2190
FAX (208) 334-2348

February 4, 1994

CECIL D. ANDRUS
GOVERNOR

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
DIRECTOR

FRANK BACHMAN
SIMPLOT LIVESTOCK
THREE CREEK RANCH
PO BOX 27
BOISE ID 83707

RE: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA) Notices of Claims for Three Creek Ranch

Dear Mr. Bachman:

The Department is currently in the process of reviewing and preparing the following Notices of Claims for court decree in the SRBA. This process includes field verification, use of orthophotoquad and ASCS maps, NAPP photography, and correspondence with the ranch manager. During this review process a question has arisen concerning the flow rate claimed for these claims.

You indicated during a visit to this office that you wish to claim the full amount of each decreed right because the irrigation practices on Three Creek Ranch require more than the normal duty of water under Idaho water law.

Department policy requires excessive flow calculations for each of these claims which claim more than 1 inch of water per acre. These calculations require information which is not readily available to me, such as set times, frequencies, and breakdowns in acres of the types of crops grown. In order to determine if more than one inch per acre is needed, the enclosed Irrigation Flow Rate Questionnaire must be completed for each right. Once this form is completed and returned, the Department can determine if more than 1 inch per acre is needed.

Please return these forms to this office within 30 days of the date of this letter. If we do not receive these forms within that time period the Department will recommend 1 inch per acre for these claims. The claims will also include an amount of water for stock water in addition to the irrigation water amount.

Notice of Claim No. A51-00121:

This claim was filed for 2.06 cfs for 105.6 acres. The review found 42 acres. This is almost 2 1/2 inches of water per acre.

Notice of Claim No. A51-00124:

This claim was filed for 3.06 cfs for 160 acres. The review found 50.5 acres. This is over 3 inches of water per acre of land.

Notice of Claim No. A51-00125:

This claim was filed for 1.50 cfs for 80 acres. The review found 40 acres. This is almost 2 inches of water per acre of land.

Notice of Claim No. A51-00126:

This claim was filed for 2.70 cfs for 145 acres. The review found 85 acres. This just over 1 1/2 inches of water per acre of land. An amended claim is enclosed for this claim. We discussed that this right was decreed with the source of Deer Creek, but was incorrectly claimed as Three Creek. The amended claim changes the source back to the originally decreed source of Deer Creek. The point of diversion was also changed to reflect the diversion point for the ditch which serves the decreed acres. Please review this amended claim, initial any changes you wish to make, complete the claim by adding the appropriate notarized signature, and return it to this office.

Notice of Claim No. A51-00127:

This claim was filed for 1.88 cfs for 94 acres. The review found 37 acres. This is more than 2 1/2 inches of water per acre of land.

Notice of Claim No. A51-00128:

This claim was filed for 4.28 cfs for 225 acres. The review found 106 acres. This is over 2 inches of water per acre of land.

Notice of Claim No. A51-00129:

This claim was filed for 2.40 cfs for 120 acres. The review found that these acres, which are on high ground between Three Creek and Deer Creek, are not irrigated. This finding was confirmed during conversations with the ranch manager and with you.

Enclosed are copies of orthophotoquads for each of these claims, with the points of diversion and places of use indicated on each map. If you do not agree with delineations of each of these claims, or have any questions or comments, please contact me at this office.

Sincerely,



Maria Minicucci

Water Agent, Adjudication Bureau

Enc: Excessive flow sheets
OQ for each listed claim
Amended claim A51-00126

RECOMMENDATIONS: CHECK HERE IF RECOMMENDED AS CLAIMED ()

REC. PURPOSE OF USE	REC. PERIOD FROM TO	REC. DIV RATE CFS	REC. DIV VOL. AF/A	REC. CONS. USE
IRRIGATION	04-01 11-01	DATABASE EXAMINER 2.064	DATABASE EXAMINER	DATABASE EXAMINER 211.0
STOCKWATER	01-01 12-31	0.350		211.0
<u>IRR</u>	<u>4-15 10-15</u>			
<u>IRR</u>	<u>4-1 10-31</u>			
<u>New Standard</u>	<u>198</u>			
TOTAL FOR THIS RIGHT				

NON-IRRIGATION USES CLAIMED: S/1500 HEAD CATTLE

NON-IRRIGATION USES RECOMMENDED: CHECK HERE IF RECOMMENDED AS CLAIMED ()

REVIEWED BY: Sue Boer DATE: 6/1/98

FLAG:

STANDARD CONDITIONS (CODE):

STANDARD REMARKS (CODE):

POU + Standard EX

EXPLANATORY CONDITIONS (CODE):

EXPLANATORY REMARKS (CODE):

A. CHECK ONLY THE FOLLOWING THAT APPLY:

OWNERSHIP _____
FORFEIT/ABANDON _____
ADDITIONAL DIV. AMOUNT _____

ACCEPTED AS CLAIMED ()
NEEDS REVIEW ()
()
()
()

B. SPLIT WATER RIGHT REVIEW

ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 51-00121

TOTAL DIVERSION RATE OF WR SPLITS

DATABASE
2.064

EXAMINER

CFS

SPLITS:

OLD RIGHT NUMBERS	CURRENT CLAIM NUMBERS	DIVERSION RATE	CURRENT "R" CLAIM NUM.	"R" DIV. RATE	WR RIGHT NUMBERS	DIVERSION RATE
-	A51-00121	2.064	R51-00121	1.190	51-00121	2.064
TOTAL CFS		2.064		1.190		2.064

DIVERSION RATE REVIEW

DO THE SUM CFS RATE OF THE SPLITS EQUAL THE ROOT RIGHT CFS RATE? (Y/N)
IF NO, IS THE WRITTEN REVIEW DESCRIBED BELOW () OR SEE ATTACHMENT ()?

DATABASE Y
FIELD EXAMINER Y() N()

NOTES:

12/12/1996: Record reflects rate of diversion @ 1" / acre for the number of acres found. nn

AUTHENTICATION:

SIGNATURE: _____ DATE: _____

NAME OF FIELD EXAMINER: _____ TITLE: _____

MAPS AND AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS USED TO REVIEW THIS NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT

TYPE OF MAP OR PHOTOGRAPH (CHECK IF USED)	IF USED, SUPPLY APPROPRIATE DATE AND OTHER INFORMATION	COPY INCLUDED IN FILE?
☒ ORTHOPHOTOQUAD	DATE OF PHOTO <u>1979</u> IDWR ID NO. <u>DD67</u>	Y() N()
☐ TOPOGRAPHIC	DATE FIELD CHECKED _____ IDWR ID NO. _____	Y() N()
☒ MAP	DATE OF PHOTO <u>08/04/1987</u> IDWR ID NO. <u>DD67 NE</u>	Y() N()
☒ ASCS AERIAL PHOTO	DATE OF PHOTO <u>08/04/1987</u>	Y() N()
☐ ASCS COLOR SLIDE	DATE OF PHOTO _____	Y() N()
☐ BLM OR USFS AERIAL PHOTO	DATE OF PHOTO _____	Y() N()
☐ TOWNSHIP SURVEY (GOVERNMENT PLAT)	DATE OF SURVEY _____	Y() N()
☐ SCS SOIL SURVEY MAP	DATE ISSUED _____	Y() N()
☐ SUBDIVISION PLAT MAP	DATE OF MAP _____	Y() N()
☒ OTHER <u>172. Liesen's map</u>	DATE _____	Y() N()
☒ OTHER <u>GIS map</u>	DATE _____	Y() N()
☐ OTHER _____	DATE _____	Y() N()

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS (CHECK IF ATTACHED)	IF ATTACHED, SUPPLY APPROPRIATE INFORMATION	NO. OF PAGES	NOTES
☐ LICENSING FIELD EXAM	DATE OF FIELD EXAM _____	_____	_____
☐ WATER RIGHT LICENSE	DATE LICENSE SIGNED _____	_____	_____
☐ IDWR APPROVED TRANSFER	DATE TRANSFER APPROVED _____	_____	_____
☐ SYSTEM DESCRIPTION	DATE DRAWN _____	_____	_____
☐ PHOTOGRAPHS	DATE(S) PHOTOS TAKEN _____	_____	_____
☐ SPLIT RIGHT REVIEW	DATE REVIEW COMPLETED _____	_____	_____
☐ HUBBLE ANALYSIS	DATE ANALYSIS COMPLETED _____	_____	_____
☐ CONVEYANCE LOSS ANALYSIS	DATE ANALYSIS COMPLETED _____	_____	_____
☐ FLOW MEASUREMENT CALCULATIONS	DATE SYSTEM MEASURED _____	_____	_____
☐ OTHER _____	DATE _____	_____	_____
☐ OTHER _____	DATE _____	_____	_____

Base drawn on NAPP (Magnified)

5/11/1998

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

09:43:03
12/12/96

PAGE: 16
QUICKLOOK INFORMATION

16
CLAIM NUMBER: A51-00121

WR ELEMENT	DATA DISCREPANCY Y/N	CORRESPONDENCE SENT/RECEIVED BY WHOM/DATE	FIELD EXAM BY WHOM/DATE	CHANGE CLAIM Y/N	ELEMENT DOCUMENTED WHOM/DATE	COMPLETED REVIEW WHOM/DATE	COMPLETED DATA ENTRY WHOM/DATE	VERIFIED DATE WHOM/DATE
PRIORITY DATE			TD	N		3/6/98		
POINT OF DIV	Y		Multiple	Y				
SOURCE			exams	N				
PLACE OF USE	Y			Y				
DIV. AMOUNT CFS/VOLUME	Y			Y				
CONSUMPTIVE USE	-			-				
PURPOSES OF USE	Y			-				
PERIOD OF USE	Y			Y				
BASIS OF CLAIM				-				
REMARKS				-				

BARCODE UPDATED
WHOM/DATE

Water Source:	THREE CREEK	Tributary to:	BRUNEAU RIVER, EAST FORK	Stage:	DECREED
) of Diversion:	T16S R11E S03	DEWENNA	DEWENNA	OWNEE	County

[illegible][illegible]

Other Rights: NONE

Dates:	Exam Made Date:	Verified Date:	Objection Filed Date:
Misc:	Water District Number: 51A	Field Inspection Flag: Presumption Flag:	

NO GOUT LOTS
POD F02 2 PODS T16S R11E S.3 NENW

SOLOMON A. NEWELL:- NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 1, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 1, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec.

Sec. 4, Township 16 South, Range 11 East, Boise Meridian, containing 160 acres. Out of the waters of Cherry Creek, tributary of Three Creek, tributary of Bruneau River:

51 0119

83 inches as of April 1, 1885.

S. A. GILLET:- W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 1, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 2, Sec. 3, Township 16 South, Range 11 East, Boise Meridian, containing 200 acres. Out of the waters of Three Creek, tributary of Bruneau River:

51 0120

144 inches as of April 1, 1885.

C. A. ROSS:- NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 3, Township 16 South, Range 11 East, Boise Meridian, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 34, Township 16 South, Range 11 East, Boise Meridian, containing 140 acres. Out of the waters of Three Creek, tributary of Bruneau River:

51 0121

103.2 inches as of April 10, 1885.

NEIL BOLTON:- W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 14, Township 16 South, Range 11 East, Boise Meridian, containing 80 acres. Out of the waters of Three Creek, tributary of Bruneau River:

51 0122

23.8 inches as of April 10, 1885.

FRED WALTERS:- W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 11; the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 16, the E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 17, Township 16 South, Range 11 East, Boise Meridian, containing 480 acres. Out of the waters of Three Creek, tributary of Bruneau River:

51 0123

249 inches as of April 5, 1885.

Idaho Dept

of

Water Resources

T15S, R11E, S34

T 16S, R11E, S03

Claim(s) : 51-00121

Owner(s): Three Creek RanchCo

Prepared by: Sue Bock

Date: 5/11/1998

22

Acres



Place of Use




Point of Diversion



Section Lines



1/4 1/4 lines



Total Acres = 30

1987 NAPP

0

0.25

0.5 Miles



51-00121

5/16/96

M.M. took
photos

Orange Dam in
Creek Ditch on left
to POW Ditch on
Right goes nowhere

POD #1



Mannings
Measurement
Location
POD No 1
3 creek
NEWS 3



51 - 05121 photos taken by M.M. 5/16/78




End of ditch
which leaves
three creek on
the east side
POD No 1

Dead ends here -
Side channels/
old ditches?
throughout willows
in NWNE, NENE
Sec 3




Wet Area
E of 3 creek
& S of Hwy
No direct
diversion
Found -
Sub irrigation

51-C0121 photos taken by M.M. 5/16/94




POD # 2
3 creek
Comes in
From the
left & turns
through
wooden
head box
Div is on
right side,
which is
~~covered~~
Culverted
under Hwy.




POD # 2
3 creek turns
through wooden
headbox.
Drainage on RT
is wastewater
From Neighbor's
Fields to the
East
Diversion is
culverted
under hwy
to Fields
to the North

51-02121

photos taken by M.M. Shick 1996



3 creek
culverted
under hwy
NE NE NW
Sec 3



Culvert on S
Side of Hwy
From POD
NO. 1
NW NE NW
3 3

E1-00171

photos taken by M.Y

5/16/1996



POD # 1
colvert on N
Side of Hwy
NUNENW
Sec 3




POU From
where
driveway
meets Hwy
looking N.

51-00121

photos taken by M.Y. 5/16/98



POV From
driveway
looking E
along hwy
Eastern most
Field is
naturally
irrigated by
Estes Draw
+ wastewater



Manning S
measurement
location N
of hwy +
east of
driveway
SWSEW
See 34
NWSEW
See 3


51-00121 photos taken by M.Y. 5/16/1996



Manning
Measurement
Location PAD
NO. 2
38 SW 34

5/22/1996

House Tree




Eastern most
3 creek field
Receives water
from Estes Draw
although Mr.
Liesen states
no div from
Estes Draw
Wastewater
may also
flow into
this nonditch


51-00121

photos taken by M.M.

5/22/1996



Creek Fields
From Hwy
SESW 34
Ditch from
wooden
headgate x
road




PD #2
Wastewater
entering from
E (left) Creek
Flows toward
fence that
bends through
head box
Barrel in
headbox
to divert
water into
ditch, under
fence road
to POU

51-00121

photos taken by M.M

5/22/1996



3 Creek Fields

51-00121

51-00126

photo taken

hill west of

driveway

SWSEW

S34



photo

taken from

SWSEW

S34

51-00121

5/22/1996

Photo taken from hill west of driveway
SWSESW Sec 34



DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

A51-00121

Staff Analysis Sheet For

SRBA Claim Verification Field Report

A. Prior to Conducting the Exam, the File Contains:

- ☐ Claim Verification Report(CVR)
☒ Copy of Adjudication Claim
☒ Copies of pertinent files(prev. rts., exams, etc.)
☒ Well Inspection Form (If Needed)
☒ Copy of U.S.G.S. Quad map, orthophotoquad, or NAPP photography
☒ Check P.D. & P.U. desc. for Govt. Lots(if a lot is found, a copy of the Govt. plat is needed to add the lot onto the R claim file later.)
☒ Check CVR for P.D. overlap(see page titled Pt. of Div. Review Sheet)
☒ Check AJ & WR P/D indexes(to ck. accuracy of CVR overlap ckd. above)
Overlap ☒ yes ☐ no If yes: ☐ copy of claims & water rights incl?
Type of Overlap? ☐ Add acres or uses to existing system
☐ Increase rate of flow or volume
☐ Other: A51-00126
☒ Check CVR for P.U. overlaps(see page titled Pl. of Use Review Sheet)
☒ Check AJ & WR P/U indexes(to ck. accuracy of CVR overlap ckd. above)
Overlap ☒ yes ☐ no If yes: ☐ copy of claims & water rights incl?
Type of Overlap? ☐ Increase rate of flow or volume
☐ Add P/D's
☐ Increase number of acres within tracts
☒ Different source for same acres? A51-00126
☐ Other: _____
☐ Appointment Letter Sent? _____(initial & date)
☒ Appointment Made and Telephone Conversation Memo Attached

B. After Conducting the Exam, the File Contains:

- ☐ Fully Completed and Signed CVR Form
☒ Photographs of Point(s) of Diversion and Place of Use
☒ Completed map outlining Point(s) of Diversion and Place of Use

C. Review and Processing:

Claim No. submitted for weekly exam completed prog. report? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Exam completed date data entered on claim _____(initial & date)
Claim Verification Report completed _____(initial & date)
Was CVR spot-checked by Supervisor? ☐ Yes ☐ No _____(initial & date)
R claim file created 04/21/1994 nn _____(initial & date)
Recommendations entered on R claim file 04/21/1994 nn _____(initial & date)

Idaho Dept of Water Resources

T15S, R11E, S34
T 16S, R11E, S03
Claim(s) : 51-00121
Owner(s): Three Creek Ranch Co
Prepared by: Sue Bock
Date: 5/11/1998

22 Acres



Place of Use




Point of Diversion



Section Lines



1/4 1/4 lines



Total Acres = 30

1987 NAPP

