

29-00185 A Dec 2000 Sub Split History Attached

THE SPLIT OF 29-00185 A/B/C IS A MASS. GPS MAP SO ILLUSTRATES. CLAIMED PARCELS OVERLAP, THE RIGHT IS OVER-CLAIMED BOTH IN CFS & ACRES, OWNERSHIP IS A QUESTION...

I. PART A CLAIMED AS 0.40 AC ON 55° AC $\Rightarrow$ 0.0073 cfs/AC
WAY UNDERCLAIMED ON CFS; OVERCLAIMED ON ACRES.

RECOMMEND 23" AC @ 0.474 cfs

II. OWNERSHIP IS A QUESTION. LETTER TO POSSIBLE NEW OWNER SENT TODAY (5/31/01) CC ATTACHED, REQUESTS %.
7/19/01 NO RESPONSE.

FIELD BY AM
RSD'D

III APPEARS AN AMENDMENT WILL BE RSD'D.

29-001B5^A DECREED

I. THE SPLITTING OF 29-001B5 AS A/B/C IS PROBLEMATIC.

GPS MAPPING ILLUSTRATES OVERLAPS OF POD OF THE SEVERAL PARTS. AS CLAIMED, IN ITS SEVERAL PARTS, THE 29-001B5 IS OVERCLAIMED BOTH IN CTS AND ACRES. ALSO, OWNERSHIP IS QUESTIONABLE: APPARENTLY SOME SALES SINCE FILING.

SPLIT
Remark →

A. BEST CASE AC @ 0.02/AC = 0.80 cts ÷ 0.02 = 40 AC

II. ALL PORTIONS CLAIM DIFFERENT PODS. HOWEVER, ALL PARTS ARE DELIVERED THROUGH THE WEST DEMARCOY DITCH. THE ACTUAL POD IS AT T1D5 R3B6 SEC. 4 N6SWSW.

DELIVERY
Remark →
CP3

III. NEED CC OF ALL DEEDS W/ SURVEY PLATS

A. NEED C/O IN SOME CASES. I.E. A AND C

IV. SEE SPLIT HISTORY- ATTACHED. 29-001B5 IS OVERCLAIMED IN BOTH CTS (0.03 cts) AND ACRES (38.3 AC). TO DATE, NO OTHER RIGHTS CLAIMED.

A. APPEARS PART A NEEDS C/O TO CORRECT OWNER AND IS WAY OVERCLAIMED ON ACRES - SOME CLAIMED ON STEEP SIDE HILL ABOVE OLD DITCH.

B. PART B SEEMS OK BUT PROPERTY BOUNDARY IS UNCLEAR - REQUESTED CC DEED W/ SURVEY.

C. PART C CLAIMS 0.38 cts ON 21 AC ⇒ 0.0181 cts/AC
CONSIDER ORIGINAL @ 0.02 cts/AC - PART C APPEARS UNDERCLAIMED BY 0.002 cts/AC - HOWEVER, FIELD EXAM IS REQ'D. (FOR ALL PODS).

1. DON'T THINK THERE IS 21⁰ ACRES HERE.

NEW C/O J&TBuss → a. NEW C/O FOR A PORTION OF LANDS UNDER THIS CLAIM. 2.0 AC SHOWN AT HOMESTEAD ⇒ 0.040 cts.

1.) SEE SPLIT HISTORY.

FE REQ'D
ALL Pts →

CP5 AC