

29-00167, 29-00168 (Decree) PDU/POD

I. ORIGINAL WR (Decree) cites source(s) as; Dempsey Cr and East Cr. with POD's (5) in Secs. 4, 9 & 10.

Q: ⇒ A. Claims only East Creek and POD in Sec. 10
when? Always why? NO WAY to get Dempsey Cr up there. (p 3)

B. Claims total Q FROM E. Creek as 0.52 cfs and 0.54 cfs (Respt.)

II. POD cited BY Decree is wholly within Sect 4 (Acreage
UNSPECIFIED BY Decree = Best case is ≈ 160 ac [400x400].

Q: ⇒ A. Claims Total Ac as 144² ac. But, part is w/ Sec. 33
TGS. R3BE. when? Always - parts under gravity (p 5)

B. POD, BY CLAIMANTS MAP SHOWS 5 SEPARATE POLYGONS.

Q: ⇒ ARE THEY CONNECTED BY COMMON MAIN LINE FROM A
~~Pump~~ ... OR GRAVITY?

Q: ⇒ C. Claims Partial over lap of 29-167 & 168, 29-178 and 179.

Q: ⇒ III. Is this system UNDER WM control? / yes
1. What happened to OTHER POD's from Dempsey Cr? NOT USED
2. How much cfs was DIVORTED from Dempsey Cr HISTORICALLY?
NONE
3.

IV. FB 6/27/01 w/ Ron TILLOTSON.