

29-00167, 29-00168 (Decree) PPU/POD

I. ORIGINAL WR (Decree) cites source(s) as; Dempsey Cr and East Cr. with POD's (5) in Secs. 4, 9 & 10.

Q: $\Rightarrow$ A. Claims only East Creek and POD in Sec. 10
when? Always why? NO WAY to get Dempsey Cr up there. $\phi 3$
B. Claims total Q FROM E. Creek as 0.52 cfs and 0.54 cfs (Respt.)

II. POD cited BY Decree is wholly within Sect 4 (Acreage
UNSPECIFIED BY Decree = Best case is ≈ 160 ac [400x400].

Q: $\Rightarrow$ A. Claims Total Ac as 144² ac. But, part is w/ Sec. 33
TGS. R3BE. when? Always - parts under gravity $\phi 5$

B. POD, BY CLAIMANTS MAP SHOWS 5 SEPARATE POLYGONS.

Q: $\Rightarrow$ ARE THEY CONNECTED BY COMMON MAIN LINE FROM A
~~Pump~~ ... OR GRAVITY?

Q: $\Rightarrow$ C. Claims Partial over lap of 29-167 & 168, 29-178 and 179.

Q: $\Rightarrow$ III. Is this system UNDER WM control? yes
1. What happened to OTHER POD's from Dempsey Cr? NOT USED
2. How much cfs was DIVERTED from Dempsey Cr HISTORICALLY?
NONE
3.

IV. FB 6/27/01 w/ Ron TILLOTSON.