

Bird, Cindy

From: Carlsen, Ernie
Sent: Tuesday, February 05, 2002 7:56 AM
To: Bird, Cindy
Subject: RE: recommendation for 29-10196

Cindy,
I suggest you renumber 29-10196 to 29-100.
Ernie

-----Original Message-----

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Monday, February 04, 2002 4:35 PM
To: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: recommendation for 29-10196

Hi Ernie,
Claim 29-10196 was created from the combination of 29-99 and 29-100. 29-99 was not decreed to property owned by the claimant and I didn't find any beneficial use under 29-99 for this claimant. So, this claim would be based on 29-100. Should I make the recommendation under 29-10196 and note that it is based on 29-100 or disallow 29-10196 and make the recommendation under 29-100?

cindy

CLAIM NUMBERS

A29-10196

DATE

9/25/01

AGENT

CB

TRANSFER NUMBER

HOMESTEAD ENTRY NO.

CLAIMED ACRE TOTAL

GEOGRAPHIC INFO ACRES

	TNS	RNG	SEC	NE				NW				SW				SE			
				NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE
CLAIM	<u>65</u>	<u>38E</u>	<u>34</u>													<u>29</u>			<u>29</u>
GIS																			
RECOM																			
CLAIM																			
GIS																			
RECOM																			
CLAIM																			
GIS																			
RECOM																			
CLAIM																			
GIS																			
RECOM																			

B29-POU.SHP

SHAPE NOS.

B29-POD.SHP

NOS. DESCRIPTION

GPS'D POD UNDER HatchWrecommended under 29-100 = 29 acres, .58 cfs✓ imagery - They sold 5 of the 40 acres originally owned.

DBF TABLES UPDATED

B29-DBPOU.DBF

B29-DBPOD.DBF

JPEG LAYOUT

D:\Data\Cindy\Forms\GIS-LLIST-29-4SEC-4LINES1.doc