

29-88 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Andrus} \quad 29-13231 \quad (0.07) \\ \text{Perkins} \quad 29-10248 \quad (0.07) \end{array} \right.$
 0.14 cfs
 7-1-1906

29-89 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Andrus} \quad 29-13232 \quad (0.11) \\ \text{Perkins} \quad 29-13248 \quad (0.11) \end{array} \right.$
 0.22 cfs
 7-1-1907

29-90 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Andrus} \quad 29-10329 \quad (0.30 \text{ cfs}) \\ \text{Perkins} \quad 29-90A \quad (0.30 \text{ cfs}) \end{array} \right.$
 0.60
 7-1-1908

29-91 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Andrus} \quad \text{renumber } 29-91B \quad (0.48) \\ \text{Perkins} \quad 29-91A \quad (0.48) \end{array} \right.$
 0.96
 7-1-1909

29-92 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Andrus} \quad 29-10330 \quad (0.14) \quad (0. \\ \text{Perkins} \quad 29-92A \quad (0.15) \end{array} \right.$
 0.29
 7-1-1910

29-93 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Andrus} \quad \text{Renumber } 29-93B \quad (0.10) \quad (0.095) \\ \text{Perkins} \quad 29-93A \quad (0.09) \quad (0.095) \end{array} \right.$
 0.19
 7-1-1913

$\frac{1}{2}$ to Andrus ; $\frac{1}{2}$ to Perkins.

original Decreed POU described 120 acre POU, but irrigation of only 100 acres. EACH party NOW OWNES 60 acres of the decreed POU. Splitting the rights in half between W. Perkins and Andrus.

Perkins / Andrus:

Decreed @ 0.19 cfs.

A29-93 claimed by Andrus: 0.19 cfs

A29-93A claimed by Perkins: 0.055 cfs

- Priority date - matches
- Source - matches.

POD: change for Andrus to.

06 S 38 E sect 35 SW SENW.


what was it in 1987?

Perkins POD: As decreed.

POU - Perkins owns 60 of the original 100 acres with the same decreed POU.

E 1/2 NESW (20 acres) & SESW. of section 26.

Andrus owns 60: 20 acres w/ 1/2 NESW; NWSW



DiversiON rate: 0.19 cfs total decreed.

1/2 of 0.19 cfs = 0.095 cfs. (Perkins?)

decreed diversion rate is enough for 120 acres. (2.4 cfs for 29-88 thru 93.

A29-93 SOTU. 4/15 - 9/15 (matches decree).

- recommend 0.095 cfs.